

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 05:45 PM

Council File No: 23-0623-S1

Comments for Public Posting: We urge you to only support ED1 Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 with the following changes: Projects in HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, active CRA projects areas, and any kind of specific plan/overlay should be ineligible under the new Ordinance. Projects in National Register or CA Register Districts, or listed in official, adopted surveys (e.g., SurveyLA, CRA, Bureau of Engineering) should also be ineligible for the ED1 streamlining, since historic resources should be subject to CEQA review. Language should be added that for infill developments, massing, scale, volume, and setbacks shall adhere to the specific design standards that City Council has previously adopted for these districts and overlays. The new Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 rules shall not supersede HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, Specific Plans nor active CRA project areas. Waiver requests and off-menu incentives shall not be unlimited; the Planning Commission's recommendations to limit waivers and incentives to six also seems excessive, since any of these projects can still be approved with review through existing land use processes. Only one waiver allowed if it is an environmental category (such as reduction of open space or reduced number of trees). Require rear 15-foot setbacks to allow for trees to grow and storm water to be captured. Front setbacks should align with building lines and/or adjoining sites' prevailing setbacks. Prevent post-development conversion of nonresidential spaces to market rate units (recently some developers are showing "rec rooms" with kitchens, baths and closets on their plans that clearly resemble future studio apartments) Sincerely, CD10 Resident

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 10:10 PM

Council File No: 23-0623-S1

Comments for Public Posting: We urge you to only support ED1 Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 with the following changes: Projects in HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, active CRA projects areas, and any kind of specific plan/overlay should be ineligible under the new Ordinance. Projects in National Register or CA Register Districts, or listed in official, adopted surveys (e.g., SurveyLA, CRA, Bureau of Engineering) should also be ineligible for the ED1 streamlining, since historic resources should be subject to CEQA review. Language should be added that for infill developments, massing, scale, volume, and setbacks shall adhere to the specific design standards that City Council has previously adopted for these districts and overlays. The new Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 rules shall not supersede HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, Specific Plans nor active CRA project areas. Waiver requests and off-menu incentives shall not be unlimited; the Planning Commission's recommendations to limit waivers and incentives to six also seems excessive, since any of these projects can still be approved with review through existing land use processes. Only one waiver allowed if it is an environmental category (such as reduction of open space or reduced number of trees). Require rear 15-foot setbacks to allow for trees to grow and storm water to be captured. Front setbacks should align with building lines and/or adjoining sites' prevailing setbacks Prevent post-development conversion of nonresidential spaces to market rate units (recently some developers are showing "rec rooms" with kitchens, baths and closets on their plans that clearly resemble future studio apartments) Sincerely, CD10 Resident

Communication from Public

Name: LA Resident and Homeowner

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 08:51 PM

Council File No: 23-0623-S1

Comments for Public Posting: We urge you to only support ED1 Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 with the following changes: Projects in HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, active CRA projects areas, and any kind of specific plan/overlay should be ineligible under the new Ordinance. Projects in National Register or CA Register Districts, or listed in official, adopted surveys (e.g., SurveyLA, CRA, Bureau of Engineering) should also be ineligible for the ED1 streamlining, since historic resources should be subject to CEQA review. Language should be added that for infill developments, massing, scale, volume, and setbacks shall adhere to the specific design standards that City Council has previously adopted for these districts and overlays. The new Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 rules shall not supersede HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, Specific Plans nor active CRA project areas. Waiver requests and off-menu incentives shall not be unlimited; the Planning Commission's recommendations to limit waivers and incentives to six also seems excessive, since any of these projects can still be approved with review through existing land use processes. Only one waiver allowed if it is an environmental category (such as reduction of open space or reduced number of trees). Require rear 15-foot setbacks to allow for trees to grow and storm water to be captured. Front setbacks should align with building lines and/or adjoining sites' prevailing setbacks Prevent post-development conversion of nonresidential spaces to market rate units (recently some developers are showing "rec rooms" with kitchens, baths and closets on their plans that clearly resemble future studio apartments) Sincerely, CD10 Resident

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 09:15 PM

Council File No: 23-0623-S1

Comments for Public Posting: Case number for the draft ordinance is CF 23-0623-S1. We urge you to only support ED1 Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 with the following changes: Projects in HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, active CRA projects areas, and any kind of specific plan/overlay should be ineligible under the new Ordinance. Projects in National Register or CA Register Districts, or listed in official, adopted surveys (e.g., SurveyLA, CRA, Bureau of Engineering) should also be ineligible for the ED1 streamlining, since historic resources should be subject to CEQA review. Language should be added that for infill developments, massing, scale, volume, and setbacks shall adhere to the specific design standards that City Council has previously adopted for these districts and overlays. The new Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 rules shall not supersede HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, Specific Plans nor active CRA project areas. Waiver requests and off-menu incentives shall not be unlimited; the Planning Commission's recommendations to limit waivers and incentives to six also seems excessive, since any of these projects can still be approved with review through existing land use processes. Only one waiver allowed if it is an environmental category (such as reduction of open space or reduced number of trees). Require rear 15-foot setbacks to allow for trees to grow and storm water to be captured. Front setbacks should align with building lines and/or adjoining sites' prevailing setbacks Prevent post-development conversion of nonresidential spaces to market rate units (recently some developers are showing "rec rooms" with kitchens, baths and closets on their plans that clearly resemble future studio apartments) Sincerely, CD10 Resident

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 05:30 PM

Council File No: 23-0623-S1

Comments for Public Posting: Case number for the draft ordinance is CF 23-0623-S1. We urge you to only support ED1 Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 with the following changes: • Projects in HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, active CRA projects areas, and any kind of specific plan/overlay should be ineligible under the new Ordinance. • Projects in National Register or CA Register Districts, or listed in official, adopted surveys (e.g., SurveyLA, CRA, Bureau of Engineering) should also be ineligible for the ED1 streamlining, since historic resources should be subject to CEQA review. • Language should be added that for infill developments, massing, scale, volume, and setbacks shall adhere to the specific design standards that City Council has previously adopted for these districts and overlays. • The new Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 rules shall not supersede HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, Specific Plans nor active CRA project areas. • Waiver requests and off-menu incentives shall not be unlimited; the Planning Commission's recommendations to limit waivers and incentives to six also seems excessive, since any of these projects can still be approved with review through existing land use processes. Only one waiver allowed if it is an environmental category (such as reduction of open space or reduced number of trees). • Require rear 15-foot setbacks to allow for trees to grow and storm water to be captured. Front setbacks should align with building lines and/or adjoining sites' prevailing setbacks • Prevent post-development conversion of nonresidential spaces to market rate units (recently some developers are showing "rec rooms" with kitchens, baths and closets on their plans that clearly resemble future studio apartments) Sincerely, CD10 Resident

Communication from Public

Name: Skei Saulnier

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 01:35 PM

Council File No: 23-0623-S1

Comments for Public Posting: Only support ED1 Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 with the following changes: Projects in HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, active CRA projects areas, and any kind of specific plan/overlay should be ineligible under the new Ordinance. Projects in National Register or CA Register Districts, or listed in official, adopted surveys (e.g., SurveyLA, CRA, Bureau of Engineering) should also be ineligible for the ED1 streamlining, since historic resources should be subject to CEQA review. Language should be added that for infill developments, massing, scale, volume, and setbacks shall adhere to the specific design standards that City Council has previously adopted for these districts and overlays. The new Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 rules shall not supersede HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, Specific Plans nor active CRA project areas. Waiver requests and off-menu incentives shall not be unlimited; the Planning Commission's recommendations to limit waivers and incentives to six also seems excessive, since any of these projects can still be approved with review through existing land use processes. Only one waiver allowed if it is an environmental category (such as reduction of open space or reduced number of trees). Require rear 15-foot setbacks to allow for trees to grow and storm water to be captured. Front setbacks should align with building lines and/or adjoining sites' prevailing setbacks Prevent post-development conversion of nonresidential spaces to market rate units (recently some developers are showing "rec rooms" with kitchens, baths and closets on their plans that clearly resemble future studio apartments) Sincerely, CD10 Resident

Communication from Public

Name: Anna Lane

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 02:15 PM

Council File No: 23-0623-S1

Comments for Public Posting: We urge you to only support ED1 Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 with the following changes: Projects in HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, active CRA projects areas, and any kind of specific plan/overlay should be ineligible under the new Ordinance. Projects in National Register or CA Register Districts, or listed in official, adopted surveys (e.g., SurveyLA, CRA, Bureau of Engineering) should also be ineligible for the ED1 streamlining, since historic resources should be subject to CEQA review. Language should be added that for infill developments, massing, scale, volume, and setbacks shall adhere to the specific design standards that City Council has previously adopted for these districts and overlays. The new Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 rules shall not supersede HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, Specific Plans nor active CRA project areas. Waiver requests and off-menu incentives shall not be unlimited; the Planning Commission's recommendations to limit waivers and incentives to six also seems excessive, since any of these projects can still be approved with review through existing land use processes. Only one waiver allowed if it is an environmental category (such as reduction of open space or reduced number of trees). Require rear 15-foot setbacks to allow for trees to grow and storm water to be captured. Front setbacks should align with building lines and/or adjoining sites' prevailing setbacks Prevent post-development conversion of nonresidential spaces to market rate units (recently some developers are showing "rec rooms" with kitchens, baths and closets on their plans that clearly resemble future studio apartments) Sincerely, CD10 Resident Anna Lane These suggested changes are all about building healthy homes for their residents and for our neighborhoods. Good projects should go through the fast track process, but problematic projects should go through discretionary review.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 01:42 PM

Council File No: 23-0623-S1

Comments for Public Posting: As a long-time resident in an HPOZ in CD 10 , I urge you to only support ED1 Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 with the following changes: Projects in HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, active CRA project areas, and any kind of specific plan/overlay should be ineligible under the new Ordinance. The whole point of an HPOZ is to preserve the nature and character of not only the individual homes, but of the homes as a collection. Simply because there is an affordable housing crisis in California, should not instigate short-term thinking to fix a long-term problem. Los Angeles is already a mishmash of styles and errors pressed together uncomfortably, and this will only exacerbate a feeling of disconnectedness from any sense of "neighborhood". Therefore: - Projects in National Register or CA Register Districts, or listed in official, adopted surveys (e.g., SurveyLA, CRA, Bureau of Engineering) should also be ineligible for the ED1 streamlining, since historic resources should be subject to CEQA review. Language should be added that for infill developments, massing, scale, volume, and setbacks shall adhere to the specific design standards that City Council has previously adopted for these districts and overlays. - The new Ordinance CF 23-0623-S1 rules shall not supersede HPOZs, CPIOs, NSOs, Specific Plans nor active CRA project areas. - Waiver requests and off-menu incentives shall not be unlimited; the Planning Commission's recommendations to limit waivers and incentives to six also seems excessive, since any of these projects can still be approved with review through existing land use processes. Only one waiver allowed if it is an environmental category (such as reduction of open space or reduced number of trees). - Require rear 15-foot setbacks to allow for trees to grow and storm water to be captured. Front setbacks should align with building lines and/or adjoining sites' prevailing setbacks - Prevent post-development conversion of nonresidential spaces to market rate units (recently some developers are showing "rec rooms" with kitchens, baths and closets on their plans that clearly resemble future studio apartments) Sincerely, 35+ year CD10 Resident

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 09:26 AM

Council File No: 23-0623-S1

Comments for Public Posting: I love the city of Los Angeles and want to help solve the affordable housing crisis. However, some proposed ED-1 projects do not have common sense and should not be fast tracked without discretionary review. Ridiculous projects in High Severity Fire Zones, egress on substandard streets, located in areas without access to transit and schools SHOULD be subject to planning review and input from the public. These types of misguided projects only benefit developers and, without "red tape", put the safety and quality of life of residents at risk. There are numerous locations that would benefit from the City's ED-1 legislation, and these prudent sites should be streamlined, but the unsafe and unsuitable projects demand government review and public comment. We need developers building intelligent affordable housing sites in the right places to benefit the entire community. Please amend ED-1 for the good of all the people in our great city.