



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Community Impact Statement - Submission Details

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org

Thu, Mar 14, 2024 at 11:47 AM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Greater Wilshire

Name: Conrad Starr

Email: conradstarr@outlook.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(13) Nay(2) Abstain(3) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 03/13/2024

Type of NC Board Action: For if Amended

Impact Information

Date: 03/14/2024

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 23-0623

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: On March 13, 2024 at a duly noticed meeting of the Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council (GWNC) Board of Directors, the GWNC approved the following motion: "The GWNC supports the mayor's intent to expedite worthy 100% affordable projects, however we feel guidelines must be added to prevent problematic projects from being expedited as well. We feel the following guidelines should be added to the draft ordinance for ED1 as follows. The Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council will file a CIS, directed to City Council and Committees, on the ED 1 Ordinance (CF 23-0623), listing its position as "For if Amended," and listing the guidelines sent by United Neighbors to the PLUM Committee, with a particular focus on the importance of the following required amendments: 1) to limit the number of waivers and off-menu incentives to a total of 6; 2) to require rear 15' setbacks to allow for trees to grow and stormwater to be captured; 3) to

prevent post-development conversion of nonresidential spaces to market rate units; 4) to protect R1, HPOZs, and identified historic districts in their entirety; 5) to ensure that substandard streets/high-fire zones do not qualify for ED 1 fast track approval; 6) to require that ED 1 projects meet minimum Tier 2 TOC qualifications; and 7) to require that all projects which do not meet these requirements under ED 1, including the above proposed amendments, go through discretionary approval." Thanks for your consideration.

Ref:MSG9997255