

Communication from Public

Name: Small Business Owner in Westchester

Date Submitted: 07/31/2024 09:58 AM

Council File No: 23-0914

Comments for Public Posting: I offer public comment regarding Council File Number 23-0914. I am a small business owner in an industrial area in Los Angeles 90045 (Westchester). This motion provides “Departments should report back on the feasibility of the following: “Locations in each Council District where oversized vehicle dwellings can park on the public right of way, outside of residential areas, commercial corridors, and other sensitive uses”. While I respect the author’s intent of providing places for RVs to park on streets with services, I OPPOSE this motion because it does not define “sensitive uses.” Does "sensitive uses" include active businesses in industrial areas in Westchester? It should, as it's hard to see how companies can operate effectively with 24-hour RV-safe parking taking up all street parking in front of their establishments. What will the adverse effects be on these businesses? The constant noise and distractions happening around the clock outside an active business create a recipe for failure. This is unacceptable. Does "sensitive uses" include Coastal Zones and Fire Hazard Severity Zones which would impact large areas of CD 11 and prohibit any RV parking and RV safe parking with services there? Without a definition of "sensitive uses", it appears this motion would prohibit any RVs in the most affluent areas of CD 11 and allow safe parking for RVs in areas that are not meant for human habitation. "Sensitive uses" MUST include active businesses in industrial areas - even near the airport. I urge the Transportation Committee to define “sensitive uses” to ensure safe fair equitable placement of RV-safe parking locations. Thank you for your consideration.