



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

## Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 23-1114

1 message

**LA City SNow** <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, darren@therosegrp.com

Fri, Jan 16, 2026 at 12:01 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at [empowerla@lacity.org](mailto:empowerla@lacity.org).

\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*

### Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Historic Highland Park

Name: Darren Gold

Email: [darren@therosegrp.com](mailto:darren@therosegrp.com)

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(12) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 01/08/2026

Type of NC Board Action: For

### Impact Information

Date: 01/16/2026

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 23-1114

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date: 01/16/2026

Item Number:

Summary: The Historic Highland Park Neighborhood Council has voted to adopt the teleconference meeting option authorized by the Brown Act, as amended by SB 707, for its own meetings in order to improve public access and participation. The Board determined that teleconference participation provides meaningful access for stakeholders who are unable to attend in person due to work schedules, caregiving responsibilities, disability, or transportation constraints, while maintaining compliance with applicable Brown Act requirements. The motion was moved by Jens Jonason and seconded by Caitlin Gallogly. The motion passed with 12 votes in favor, with no objections and no abstentions.

## **Community Impact Statement**

### **Council File: CF 23-1114**

The Historic Highland Park Neighborhood Council has voted to adopt the teleconference meeting option authorized by the Brown Act, as amended by SB 707, for its own meetings in order to improve public access and participation.

The Board determined that teleconference participation provides meaningful access for stakeholders who are unable to attend in person due to work schedules, caregiving responsibilities, disability, or transportation constraints, while maintaining compliance with applicable Brown Act requirements.

The motion was **moved by Jens Jonason** and **seconded by Caitlin Gallogly**. The motion **passed with 12 votes in favor, with no objections and no abstentions**.