

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 10:40 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Hello, I would like to request a speed camera on Clarington between Venice and Palms. It should be a 25 mph zone but cars regularly fly across Venice and speed down Clarington more than 40-45 mph. In general Palms needs more cameras, as does Venice blvd. Thank you and best, Elizabeth O'Brien

Communication from Public

Name: Nick Andert

Date Submitted: 02/11/2026 03:36 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Hello, I live in the Fairfax District, and am very pleased to see these speed cameras coming to the city. I'd like to flag that Melrose in particular sees excessive speeding and red light running. Every morning my wife and I walk with our dog and infant daughter across Melrose at Poinsettia to our local coffee shop, and at least once a week, often twice or three times, a car speeds through the red as we're trying to cross. All this to say, while the camera at Melrose/Fairfax is a good start, I think that more intersections on Melrose between Fairfax and La Brea could really benefit from these cameras and increase safety in the neighborhood.

Communication from Public

Name: B. Curtis

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 04:42 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Strongly support swift implementation of this speed camera system as soon as possible. City officials with access to accident data are best equipped to locate these cameras. The longer this organization takes to act, the more people who are hurt or killed by speeding in this city.

Communication from Public

Name: Hayley Bensmiller

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 05:51 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I am deeply concerned about the use of the Speed Safety System in Los Angeles. I am very opposed to the development of a surveillance state being implemented little by little in our city. If our government were actually concerned with public safety and traffic incidents, one might expect to see a greater deployment of traffic cops handing out speeding tickets. However, due to a myriad of issues, the LAPD is low on recruitment and faces a great number of retirees. Their budget is too small because of the enormous amount of lawsuit settlement payouts they have to pay. So, instead, the city decides on the robotic surveillance option which is widely known to be just a revenue generator without any real interest or investment in public safety. The Speed Safety System is just one step closer to living in a sci-fi dystopia from all those movies this very city helped to make.

Communication from Public

Name: Tyler Peters
Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 09:06 AM
Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in strong support of the LADOT Speed Safety Camera Pilot Program and to urge that Council District 13 receive meaningful placement of cameras, particularly along Sunset Boulevard and on Silver Lake Boulevard between Sunset and the 101 Freeway. I live in this area and regularly witness excessive speeding, dangerous driving behavior, and frequent collisions. I have seen many accidents at Silver Lake and Bellvue. These streets function as major arterials, yet they run directly through dense residential neighborhoods with pedestrians, cyclists, bus riders, and local businesses. The streets are like freeways even though it is a dense residential neighborhood. Sunset Boulevard in CD13 is wide and encourages high speeds, especially during off-peak hours. Silver Lake Boulevard between Sunset and the 101 is particularly concerning: it serves as a connector route to the freeway and drivers often accelerate aggressively, treating it as a highway on-ramp rather than a neighborhood street. Speed safety cameras are a proven, data-driven tool to reduce severe injury and fatal crashes. Unlike traditional enforcement, they provide consistent, unbiased monitoring and have been shown to lower average speeds and improve compliance. If the City is serious about eliminating traffic deaths under Vision Zero, CD13 corridors like Sunset and Silver Lake should be prioritized. I encourage LADOT to: -Prioritize documented high-injury network streets in CD13. -Include Sunset Boulevard and Silver Lake Boulevard (Sunset to 101) in early deployment phases. -Pair camera installation with clear signage and public education so the goal is compliance and safety—not revenue. -Residents in Silver Lake deserve streets that feel safe, not corridors that invite dangerous speeds. I strongly support the pilot and hope to see meaningful investment in these specific locations. Thank you for your work to improve traffic safety in our communities.
Sincerely, Tyler Peters

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 09:15 AM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the use of speed enforcement cameras in Los Angeles. Limited public funds should be directed toward repairing deteriorating roads, sidewalks, and other basic infrastructure, which would improve safety and benefit the entire city more directly.

Communication from Public

Name: Sholom murik

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 09:18 AM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the use of speed enforcement cameras in Los Angeles. Limited public funds should be directed toward repairing deteriorating roads, sidewalks, and other basic infrastructure, which would improve safety and benefit the entire city more directly.

Communication from Public

Name: Lorenzo M.

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 09:19 AM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I appreciate that the speed camera program is rolling out. I am concerned however that no speed cameras are proposed for the stretch of Roscoe between Ventura Canyon and Coldwater Canyon Avenues. Drivers routinely speed between these streets because of the width and how unoccupied the bus/bike/parking lane is (outside of Sundays due to services at Grace Community Church). There have been numerous collisions at Ventura Canyon Avenue that are worsened by the speeds. The bus lanes also need to be enforced. As someone who primarily uses the bus, the lanes are quite effective during rush hour (when they are free and clear). If speed cameras can effectively and equitably enforce the laws on the books, without expensive and armed officers doing it, then by all means let's do it.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 09:37 AM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the use of speed enforcement cameras in Los Angeles. Limited public funds should be directed toward repairing deteriorating roads, sidewalks, and other basic infrastructure, which would improve safety and benefit the entire city more directly.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 09:57 AM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I would suggest placement of more cameras on the westside specifically district 11 and district 5. I have personally experienced frequent speeding as I've had to do work in both areas where I am doing traffic control and have had may close calls where drivers ignore frequently ignore advanced warning signs and put myself and crew members working on the street in danger

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 10:23 AM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Please put a speed camera on Robertson between 18th Street and Cadillac, cars constantly speed in this area and it makes crossing Sawyer street extremely dangerous even with a crosswalk. Thank you

Communication from Public

Name: Sebastian Soja

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 11:12 AM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I am hesitant about the instillation of these speed cameras on the grounds of proper citations. If I am understanding this correctly, the fine and penalty is applied to the vehicle? This makes little sense as the driver of the vehicle is, at least in my circles, often not the name registered to the vehicle. Beyond this, I heavily dislike the idea of further surveillance and this feels like a massive overstep. If there is a speeding problem, place an officer at that intersection. Violations shouldn't be handed out by cameras and emails. Please do not install these cameras!

Communication from Public

Name: Kevin Williams
Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 11:33 AM
Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: La Brea and La Cienega are both racetracks through there for sure, but those roads are designed like highways, so it doesn't seem unsafe. Once you get to the neighborhoods it makes more sense. LA BREA The camera should be close to Coliseum. Possibly near the alley near the park at the bottom of the hill. LA CIENEGA The camera should be close to Obama. Possibly near the north end of KHSRA near the bottom of the hill. JEFFERSON Jefferson is super pedestrian and people fly through there. I would put the camera near to Buckingham where the restaurants are and people are always crossing. Or just redesign Jefferson it like they did Adams a couple of blocks north....

Communication from Public

Name: Andrew R

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 11:36 AM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: ADOT's Speed Safety System Program appears to disproportionately impact predominantly minority communities, which raises concerns about equity and potential bias against people of color. For example, in District 4, Ventura Boulevard has frequent speeding through intersections, yet there are few or no proposed safety systems planned for that area. The distribution shown on the map seems to concentrate enforcement in lower-income neighborhoods while largely excluding areas with higher property values. I am staunchly opposed to this proposed system as it is currently structured. Allowing it to move forward without addressing these disparities would be an injustice to the people of your districts.

Communication from Public

Name: Nina
Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 11:58 AM
Council File No: 23-1168
Comments for Public Posting: These cameras violate privacy and are unlawful because we have the right to face our accuser. They will ultimately be a waste of taxpayer time and money.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 12:01 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: This program is a disaster. It should be scrapped immediately. This will not improve traffic, road safety, etc. It will only cause a burden to the residents of Los Angeles who have to pay a higher price for everything, including gasoline, compared to the rest of the nation. Now they're getting an extra tax for driving their vehicle to and from work! What happens if you go 1 mph over, do you get a ticket? Who sets the speeding limits? This will only cause life to be harder for residents of Los Angeles, who pay more and are taxed more for everything. What happens if you can't pay for the ticket, does your driving privilege get taken away? How will you get to work if you accumulate too many and you can't pay? This cannot be the answer to less ticket revenue from having fewer police officers on the road. Scrap it.

Communication from Public

Name: Ryan G

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 12:26 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: As a resident who drives on city streets daily, I care deeply about both safety and fairness in how enforcement is implemented. I appreciate the city's goal of improving traffic safety. However, I have concerns about expanding automated speed enforcement without stronger safeguards and transparency. The use of automated cameras introduces new surveillance infrastructure, and I would like clarity on data retention policies, third-party access, and independent oversight mechanisms to prevent misuse. I am also concerned about the financial impact of automated citations, particularly on lower-income residents. Even modest fines can create cascading consequences. Before implementation, I would like to see clear evidence that safeguards exist to prevent disproportionate impacts. Additionally, I encourage the city to fully evaluate alternative traffic-calming strategies—including street redesign, improved signage, signal timing adjustments, and physical safety infrastructure—to ensure that automated enforcement is not perceived as revenue-driven but genuinely safety-focused. I respectfully request that these concerns be addressed publicly before any final implementation decisions are made.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 01:20 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I am against the installation of speed cameras at Street Light ID 178146, La Tijera Blvd between W Manchester Ave & W 74th St. Speeding traffic is not a problem here, and in fact there can be significant congestion some times of day. Please don't make our lives worse by making drivers be extra cautious and slow down even more.

Communication from Public

Name: Anne

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 01:21 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I support the cameras. Can they also be used to ticket cars running the right turn arrow and/or illegally turning right from a straight-bound lane on Highland southbound turning right onto Franklin? This is incredibly dangerous for the pedestrians at the crosswalk, many of whom are tourists at local hotels heading to Hollywood and Highland.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 01:22 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Please don't make our lives worse by making drivers be extra cautious and slow down even more. Speeding traffic is not a problem here, and in fact there can be significant congestion some times of day. For these reasons I am against the installation of speed cameras at Street Light ID 178146, La Tijera Blvd between W Manchester Ave & W 74th St

Communication from Public

Name: Tyler Carroll

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 02:00 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed speed camera program in Los Angeles based on its likely disproportionate impact on Black and brown communities, other communities of color, disabled residents, and LGBTQIA+ Angelenos. We know from experience across the country that automated enforcement systems do not operate in a vacuum. Cameras are typically concentrated in neighborhoods already subject to heavier policing and surveillance. That pattern risks compounding existing inequities. For many working-class residents in communities of color, even a single fine can create serious financial strain. Repeated citations can quickly spiral into debt, license suspensions, and further legal consequences that destabilize families and livelihoods. Disabled residents are also at heightened risk of unintended harm. People with certain disabilities may rely on modified vehicles, medical appointments on tight schedules, or caregivers who must navigate traffic quickly in urgent situations. A rigid automated system does not account for nuance or context. For LGBTQIA+ individuals, particularly those who are low-income, unhoused, or estranged from family support, financial penalties can be especially destabilizing. Policies that increase financial vulnerability disproportionately affect communities already facing discrimination in employment, housing, and healthcare. If Los Angeles is committed to equity, public safety measures must be designed with equity at the center. Before implementing automated speed enforcement, the city should fully evaluate whether this approach will deepen disparities and whether alternative safety investments, such as traffic calming infrastructure, better lighting, and community-informed street design, would achieve safer roads without widening gaps. Safety matters. But safety must not come at the expense of fairness. I urge the city to reconsider this proposal in light of its potential impact on our most vulnerable communities.

Communication from Public

Name: Marie

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 02:10 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: This is an outrage. There are THEFTS ALL OVER, and the police REFUSE to do ANYTHING about it, and now you want to use their time to STOP PEOPLE FROM GETTING PLACES?!?! This is PEAK gaslighting and I am APPALLED my hard earned tax dollars are going to this TRASH!!! STOP WASTING money on CRAP, put MORE resources to THEFT!! To jailing criminals!! AFTER the low hanging fruit is when you can get nitpicky - you don't IGNORE THE CRIME TO BE NIT PICKY!!! This is OUTRAGEOUS!

Communication from Public

Name: Graham

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 02:31 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: This is far too many cameras to install as a pilot program. I encourage you to start with fewer. I am worried about feeling paranoid while driving around the city, even though I don't think I drive fast. Every time I go through Culver City and Beverly Hills with their red light cameras, I'm nervous about tripping them off. This is a massive amount of cameras to install all at once.

Communication from Public

Name: Sean Wallitsch

Date Submitted: 02/12/2026 04:16 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I do not support ANY system which increases surveillance of our citizens in this time of increasing abuse of those very systems. If we were truly interested in reducing speeding, we would be investing in better road design and traffic calming measures which actually reduce speeds on these stretches. Narrower and less lanes. Beautification of medians. Pedestrian islands. Instead we are proposing an automatic, regressive fine which heavily punishes poor people while continuing to allow the rich to freely ignore the law and pay a trifling amount to them.