

Communication from Public

Name: Amanda Fletcher

Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 10:01 AM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: These cameras are using energy and tax money that should be devoted to redesigning the city to transport thousands of new people and staffing humans on the ground. These camera are funding tech giants and staffing humans behind computers instead of funding the real problem. It's a bandaid. Why are people speeding? The roads aren't built for this level of individual car usage and the problem grows every year. More tech surveillance doesn't make us a safer society. Creating lifestyles that promote citizens to pursue liberty and freedom without consistently compromising their mental and physical health will create a safer society. That is the root of the problem. It is too expensive and there isn't enough housing options for people to live where they work. This is a commuter city. People are speeding because it is difficult to commute to where they need to be and traffic depends on many individual choices. Trains prevent that, by putting many individuals on a single track. It is difficult to commute because the two lane streets have freeway level usage. Streets are narrow, and more cars are being invited onto them with the construction of new apartments every year, these apartments are high end, and not affordable to middle class individuals relying on paychecks. We are asking thousands of individuals to be in similar areas at similar times to keep their paychecks. The stress and frustration of poor infrastructure is hurting Los Angeles and causing speeding and accidents for many individuals. Our days are spread out, very full, and the money is spreading thin as inflation rapidly grows. If your using our tax dollars to pay a subscription to a tech company to record people's data, you are not saving money for necessary infrastructure in our growing city. Also an important note, the data from these cameras can be misused. Public privacy is important ro citizens, but not to the government. This is a bandaid attempting to manage millions of people. We need a bigger, better solution.

Communication from Public

Name: Joshua Crowell

Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 02:58 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I live in the South Park area of downtown LA. This is mainly a residential area where plenty of children and elderly people walk. Yet drivers regularly speed up and down Grand and Olive. That is is mainly because those streets are poorly designed; they are way too wide. Speed cameras would help. Please install a camera on at least one of those streets. I am surprised San Pedro gets two cameras while Grand/Olive get none. Thanks.

Communication from Public

Name: Brandon Weigelt

Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 03:19 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: You are all criminally negligent with our tax dollars and now want to try and take more without actually policing - but then alter the amount based on “social equality??” I guarantee the Nissan Altima rushing through at 80 is more dangerous than the Range Rover at 45 and you’ll try and steal more money from those who drive the economy. Pathetic, disgusting, and absolutely expected from you people.

Communication from Public

Name: Ben
Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 03:29 PM
Council File No: 23-1168
Comments for Public Posting: I don't want automated cameras. This is the next step in sky net. With people like Donald Trump and future possible authoritarian governors this is a slippery slope.

Communication from Public

Name: LV

Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 03:56 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: This proposal is a complete scam. The city already has stolen so much of our money for what use? Where is the homeless money? You let our city burn. All of you deserve to be unemployed.

Communication from Public

Name: R Valles

Date Submitted: 02/18/2026 10:07 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Camera enforcement causes accidents and make the road more unsafe. Cars slam on the brakes and get into accidents. This happened all the time to me with the red light cameras and there's no need for it to happen again.

Communication from Public

Name: Anthony D
Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 06:54 AM
Council File No: 23-1168
Comments for Public Posting: This is terrible. This mass surveillance pseudo-safety measure is the last thing we need. Start spending responsibly instead of trying to further extort your population .

Communication from Public

Name: Amy

Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 07:40 AM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: This feels like an aggressive form of policing when we aren't spending money to help people post fires to repave streets or increase police presence. I don't want a camera giving me a ticket I want the City to repave Sunset Blvd in CD-11 post clean up path for the Palisades fire. It's unsafe. I feel like the City is tone deaf to the basic needs of its residents.

Communication from Public

Name: James Wortham
Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 08:29 AM
Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I fully support the implementation of speed cameras on busy surface streets. There are several corridors that are large enough people drive incredibly fast. The exit of the 101 onto Highland is one such area. Cars speed as if it were the freeway that was never made there on Highland. It feels precarious to even walk on the sidewalks between the hollywood bowl and Franklin. And with so many pedestrians going to concerts it is a mad tal accident waiting to happen.

Communication from Public

Name: Sheila S Hartley
Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 09:03 AM
Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Can we get a couple of cameras in San Pedro? It seems that our neighborhood avoids statistics that would make it seem more dangerous by only doing a police investigation on accidents that require an accident victim to be transported to the hospital in an ambulance. Many people avoid an ambulance transport due to cost. Speed cameras are a way to increase a “police presents” without hiring additional officers. Does a cost benefit analysis indicate that dollars spent on better technology reap more benefits than hiring more officers? Cameras seem to have less biases than humans.

Communication from Public

Name: Choon

Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 12:56 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I feel speed cameras feel more like a "hidden tax" or a "gotcha" tool for local budgets rather than a genuine safety measure. Nobody likes seeing that flash in the rearview mirror or getting a bill in the mail two weeks later. If having a speed camera is inevitable, maybe we can have 1st warning ticket followed progressively increasing ticket amount?

Communication from Public

Name: Eloisa Trujillo

Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 02:10 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: This feels like a punishment, more regulation, more penalties, this state is becoming unbearable, and so expensive to live in. I don't agree with this measures, car insurances already punish drivers that speed. And in the long run all California's pay higher insurance compared to other states. This is just too much.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 10:41 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Regarding proposed speed cameras: I am against the proposed speed cameras for the following reasons: 1. This is a violation of privacy; 2. The violations will be implemented in a way which is not equal as the cameras will only be in select locations (disparity); 3. This will lead to an unnecessary financial burden on residents. Please keep these unnecessary cameras out of los Angeles.