

Communication from Public

Name: Steve

Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 12:34 AM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: This is a horrible idea. Just seems like a huge cash grab by the state and/or cities, whomever collects the fines.

Communication from Public

Name: Mike

Date Submitted: 02/19/2026 02:28 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: No. No. No. Citizens living in fear of the surveillance state? Safety is a laudable goal, but people driving 10 mph over the limit on empty streets at 1 am don't need to be bothered. I'm concerned that this becomes a fundraising tool as opposed to a public safety measure.

Communication from Public

Name: iain morris

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 09:15 AM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Finally. i come from a country where speed cameras are the norm, and deaths from road traffic accidents are much much lower per capita than in the US. this is something the rest of the world does well, and it's time California used it. I used to live on Los Feliz Blvd, which is posted as 35 mph. People regularly do 60mph+, and i've seen cars crash through fences and walls due to excessive speeding. I applaud this measure, and can reassure doubters that the only thing a system of speed cameras will limit is unnecessary accidents.

Communication from Public

Name: Courtney Smith
Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 10:29 AM
Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council, I am firmly opposed to the proposed Automated Speed Safety System program, both now and in the future. While public safety is important, this program represents an unnecessary expansion of government surveillance and financial burden on residents. I urge the Council to reject its implementation. Key Concerns:

- Expands automated surveillance of everyday drivers and normalizes continuous license plate monitoring across the city.
- Raises unresolved privacy and data retention concerns, including potential future expansion or data misuse.
- Creates additional economic burdens for all residents in an already high cost-of-living city.
- If a vehicle is stolen, how long will it take to clear citations from the registered owner's record? What protections exist during that process?
- If insurance premiums increase due to a citation that is later dismissed or proven invalid, will there be any form of restitution or protection for the resident?
- What protections exist if someone else is driving the vehicle? The registered owner may not be the driver at the time of the alleged violation.
- Who will maintain the camera systems, and what are the total installation, administration, and long-term maintenance costs to taxpayers?
- Is there independent oversight, clear accountability standards, and a firm sunset provision requiring affirmative reauthorization?

Los Angeles can pursue road safety without expanding surveillance infrastructure or increasing financial pressure on residents. I respectfully urge the Council to reject this program. Respectfully, Courtney Smith
Los Angeles City Council District 8 Resident, Represented by Councilmember Marqueece Harris-Dawson

Communication from Public

Name: Ben Deeb

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 01:31 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: The Hyperion Street Safety Coalition requests that a 1-mile segment of Hyperion Avenue be included in this pilot program. Specifically, the Coalition requests speed cameras at three locations where lights are frequently run:
Hyperion/Tracy/Entrance — site of multiple vehicles crashing into 2449 Hyperion and a former bus stop Hyperion/Lyric — site of a downhill curve enabling dangerously high speeds
Hyperion/Fountain — site of multiple recorded crashes
These three locations are requested in addition to a camera near Thomas Starr King Middle School, also within our corridor. Since 2021, our initiative has grown from one Neighborhood Council into a 500+ member Coalition supported by schools, businesses, and community organizations united in combating traffic violence. The 1 1/2-mile Hyperion-Fountain corridor has evolved from an auto-centric roadway into one with lively restaurants, retail, schools, and creative offices — yet the infrastructure remains designed for high speeds, enabling drivers to regularly exceed the limit by 20+ mph. Our community has witnessed multiple fatalities, countless injuries to drivers, pedestrians, and cyclists — overturned cars, storefront crashes, crushed bus benches, uprooted street poles. These incidents are costly on every level: emotional, physical, and economic. We believe the time for action is now, especially given our demonstrated community support. We have also learned that since January 2021, LAPD has entirely stopped collecting data for “minor” crashes, creating a sharp discontinuity in crash data quality. If LADOT’s analysis ignores post-2020 data, how is the agency accurately validating High Injury Networks? Our steering committee has conducted independent speed radar testing and a full collision analysis along both Hyperion and Fountain. Our findings are clear: there is far more than 2.3% speeding, and far more than 3 speed-related collisions — all documented at <https://hyperionstreetsafety.com/>. We urge LADOT to include Hyperion Avenue in this pilot and to engage meaningfully with community-generated data.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 02:06 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I am fully supportive of the LADOT's plans to install and operate 125 speed safety system cameras in L.A. As a driver, cyclist, walker and user of public transit, I routinely witness motorists exceeding the speed limit on our city streets, thus putting themselves and other road users in danger. These cameras will help drivers to slow down and obey the speed limit.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 02:20 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: The Hyperion Street Safety Coalition requests that a 1-mile segment of Hyperion Avenue be included in this pilot program. Specifically, the Coalition requests speed cameras at three locations where lights are frequently run:
Hyperion/Tracy/Entrance — site of multiple vehicles crashing into 2449 Hyperion and a former bus stop Hyperion/Lyric — site of a downhill curve enabling dangerously high speeds
Hyperion/Fountain — site of multiple recorded crashes
These three locations are requested in addition to a camera near Thomas Starr King Middle School, also within our corridor. Since 2021, our initiative has grown from one Neighborhood Council into a 500+ member Coalition supported by schools, businesses, and community organizations united in combating traffic violence. The 1 1/2-mile Hyperion-Fountain corridor has evolved from an auto-centric roadway into one with lively restaurants, retail, schools, and creative offices — yet the infrastructure remains designed for high speeds, enabling drivers to regularly exceed the limit by 20+ mph. Our community has witnessed multiple fatalities, countless injuries to drivers, pedestrians, and cyclists — overturned cars, storefront crashes, crushed bus benches, uprooted street poles. These incidents are costly on every level: emotional, physical, and economic. We believe the time for action is now, especially given our demonstrated community support. We have also learned that since January 2021, LAPD has entirely stopped collecting data for “minor” crashes, creating a sharp discontinuity in crash data quality. If LADOT’s analysis ignores post-2020 data, how is the agency accurately validating High Injury Networks? Our steering committee has conducted independent speed radar testing and a full collision analysis along both Hyperion and Fountain. Our findings are clear: there is far more than 2.3% speeding, and far more than 3 speed-related collisions — all documented at <https://hyperionstreetsafety.com/>. We urge LADOT to include Hyperion Avenue in this pilot and to engage meaningfully with community-generated data.

Communication from Public

Name: dbrown

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 03:52 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: It is notable that LA is finally introducing measures that so many other cities have successfully implemented to address this issue. Regarding the currently proposed citation fee, \$50 seems low compared to the potential carnage of the violations. At a minimum the fees should escalate to make the system operation remain cost neutral. As to the affordability of fees to those violators, it's obvious to all that the most egregious offenders are driving expensive cars with typically illegally dark tinted windows. Their self-entitled aggressive driving is perhaps best mitigated by the financial incentive to not do so ? At least their missing and intentionally obscured license plates now have legislation in-place to address that. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 03:57 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I would like to voice my support for the speed am pilot project and for speedcams to be rolled out in LA. Speedcams are a viral tool for improving traffic safety and for literally saving lives. In the case of speedcams it is literally true that the only people to fall foul of them are scofflaws breaking the speed limits. Let us put public safety first for once rather than the selfish desire to break the law and get away with it.

Communication from Public

Name: Mark Cappelletty

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 04:10 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to speed cameras — or any cameras — put into place in our community. Placing hundreds of cameras to monitor our license plates and our movements around town is less a way to curb speeding and more a way to keep tabs on the populace. Given Los Angeles' recent incursion by ICE and the Department of Homeland Security, I feel that any efforts to plant additional cameras about town — especially when we have red-light cameras in some communities and other mysterious trackers in intersections in the city — only further increases our distrust in the police and the government. Didn't we just hire additional traffic cops? Let them do their job without burdening taxpayers with cameras we didn't authorize that make us feel like Los Angeles is increasingly becoming a surveillance state. I do not trust the City Council is working in our best interest in this matter— this is less about speeding and more about watching us and collecting further revenue from the already cash-strapped citizenry. Want more people to leave Los Angeles to pay taxes elsewhere? This is a good way to do it.

Communication from Public

Name: David Kelly

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 04:36 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Our streets and highways have become very dangerous due to drivers who disregard the California Vehicle Code. Enforcement is severely lacking. Driving must be a privilege only for those who obey the traffic laws. Speeding and illegal lane changes are extremely common violations. We depend on public officials for enforcement. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Thomasin Franken

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 09:31 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: The Hyperion Street Safety Coalition requests that a 1-mile segment of Hyperion Avenue be included in this pilot program. Specifically, the Coalition requests speed cameras at three locations where lights are frequently run:
Hyperion/Tracy/Entrance — site of multiple vehicles crashing into 2449 Hyperion and a former bus stop Hyperion/Lyric — site of a downhill curve enabling dangerously high speeds
Hyperion/Fountain — site of multiple recorded crashes
These three locations are requested in addition to a camera near Thomas Starr King Middle School, also within our corridor. Since 2021, our initiative has grown from one Neighborhood Council into a 500+ member Coalition supported by schools, businesses, and community organizations united in combating traffic violence. The 1 1/2-mile Hyperion-Fountain corridor has evolved from an auto-centric roadway into one with lively restaurants, retail, schools, and creative offices — yet the infrastructure remains designed for high speeds, enabling drivers to regularly exceed the limit by 20+ mph. Our community has witnessed multiple fatalities, countless injuries to drivers, pedestrians, and cyclists — overturned cars, storefront crashes, crushed bus benches, uprooted street poles. These incidents are costly on every level: emotional, physical, and economic. We believe the time for action is now, especially given our demonstrated community support. We have also learned that since January 2021, LAPD has entirely stopped collecting data for “minor” crashes, creating a sharp discontinuity in crash data quality. If LADOT’s analysis ignores post-2020 data, how is the agency accurately validating High Injury Networks? Our steering committee has conducted independent speed radar testing and a full collision analysis along both Hyperion and Fountain. Our findings are clear: there is far more than 2.3% speeding, and far more than 3 speed-related collisions — all documented at <https://hyperionstreetsafety.com/>. We urge LADOT to include Hyperion Avenue in this pilot and to engage meaningfully with community-generated data.

Communication from Public

Name: Alan

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 06:09 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Why not start by pulling over reckless, speeding drivers the old fashioned way? Any police officer can sit at any street and every other car is driving like a bozo. We don't need cameras for that.

Communication from Public

Name: Mitch

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 06:26 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: We need cops on the streets as a deterrent. We need enforcement of existing laws, with maximum penalties when it comes to excessive speed including loss of license, jail time, and impounding of vehicles. We need to make sure this is happening to those who egregiously violate the law--the racers, the many who run stop lights, those who speed in excess because they're SO important and in SUCH a hurry. Good old-fashioned police presence. What we in this city, in this society, DON'T need is more 24/7 camera surveillance, more of Big Brother's eye spying. Not only do we not need further erosion of our privacy, we do not need another boondoggle contracted project in which millions of dollars disappear into a black hole, as most city projects seem to do. Fix the roads. Repair street lights and sidewalks. Build water infrastructure and electrical infrastructure. Stop allowing the city to crumble around us. I say no.

Communication from Public

Name: Ben M

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 06:44 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: This is good but STREET LIGHTS are much more important than this issue. There are so many dark streets in the valley that cause accidents and crime to spike. I personally reported many streetlights that are out and I receive a respond that it takes 270 DAYS to fix them! This is just simply ridiculous. There are so many solutions out there that making excuse of stolen copper wires are just not acceptable. Solar bulbs come to mind as an immediate solution to this problem. KEEP THE STEERTS LIT.

Communication from Public

Name: Ann Bose
Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 06:44 PM
Council File No: 23-1168
Comments for Public Posting: Excellent idea.. do it

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 02/20/2026 07:21 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: I completely Support this program, CF # 23-1168. It is definitely needed in CD12 for public safety. We need this in multiple locations in Chatsworth. 91311