

Communication from Public

Name: Los Angeles Unified School District

Date Submitted: 03/19/2026 04:49 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Members of the City Council, On behalf of the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD), we write in support of the proposed locations for the City of Los Angeles Speed Safety System Pilot Program (CF 23-1168). Student safety is a top priority, and many of our schools are located near high-traffic corridors where speeding continues to pose serious risks. Expanding safety measures near school sites is critical to protecting students, families, and staff and creating safer routes to and from school. We appreciate the City's commitment to including intersections near LAUSD schools in this pilot and for incorporating the District's feedback in developing the final location list. Thank you for advancing this important effort to improve safety in our communities.

Communication from Public

Name: Know History

Date Submitted: 03/19/2026 10:27 PM

Council File No: 23-1168

Comments for Public Posting: Seven Questions the Council Should Answer Before Approval: 1. Why did Los Angeles discontinue automated traffic enforcement in 2011, and how does the current proposal address the issues identified at that time? Why is that history being ignored? 2. What independent evidence demonstrates that the proposed program will significantly improve traffic safety in Los Angeles specifically? Emphasis on the words “independent” and “evidence”. 3. What are the full financial terms of vendor contracts, including equipment leasing, maintenance, and citation processing? 4. What safeguards will govern data collection, storage, retention, and cybersecurity? This is taking a big step into surveillance of the population. It is mass surveillance. 5. What alternative safety measures were evaluated, and how do their expected outcomes compare with automated enforcement? 6. If the automated systems are effective how can revenue generation continue from citations? The goal is to eliminate unsafe driving and therefore citations. Yet the LADOT report describes continuing and even increasing revenues from citations. Both of these statements can not be true at the same time. 7. Why is there no prohibition on political donations to city council members by the operating corporation (currently designated as Verra Mobility)? Corruption was present and incentivized in the city’s last experience with automated citation systems. Public attention has just focused on this issue and we must not rush into a decision. Automated traffic enforcement technologies raise important questions involving safety outcomes, financial incentives, privacy protections, and public trust. Because Los Angeles has already experienced the political and policy turmoil associated with these systems, it is particularly important that any new proposal be evaluated with careful attention to past experience and clear evidence of public benefit. Taking the time to address these issues will help ensure that future traffic safety policies are both effective and publicly supported, now and in the future.