



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Community Impact Statement - Submission Details

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org

Wed, Jan 24, 2024 at 6:29 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: West Hills

Name: Clarice Chavira

Email: Clarice.chavira@westhillsnc.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(14) Nay(0) Abstain(3) Ineligible(1) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 01/04/2024

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information

Date: 01/25/2024

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 23-1339

Agenda Date: 11/29/2023

Item Number:

Summary: Van Nuys Airport Specific Plan / Citizens Advisory Committee / Forensic Audit / Economic Study / Noise Mitigation / Community Benefit Program

Ref:MSG9686663



West Hills Neighborhood Council

"It's our neighborhood.
Let's build a community."

January 10, 2024

OFFICERS

Charlene Rothstein
President
Faye Barta, J.D.
Vice President
Brad Vanderhoof
Secretary
Carolyn Greenwood
Treasurer
Saif Mogri
Controller

John Lee, Council Member
Council District 12
200 N. Spring Street
Room 405
Los Angeles, California 90012

To Honorable Councilman Lee, et al.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Aida Abkarians
Ian Afazeli
Faye Barta
Sandi Bell
Clarice Chavira
Carolyn Greenwood
Glenn Jennings
Randhara Kotuwelle
Vinura Kotuwelle
Jonathan Marvisi
Saif Mogri
Mark Neudorff
Penelope Newmark
Joe Ourfalian
Alejandro Phillips
Carolyn Poppert
Bill Rose
Charlene Rothstein
Miriam Schimmel
Joan Trent
Brad Vanderhoof
Joanne Yvanek-Garb
Zhelbert Zohrabian

In recent years, West Hills Has become subject to an influx of air traffic from Los Angeles' two airports. (LAX and Burbank's Hob Hope¹) One exposure was the result of an FAA flight path change and the other was exposure due to controversial land use development at the landlocked, general aviation at Van Nuys airport (VNY). The latter afforded an increase of accommodations for private and commercial jet charter activity, which *were void of any responsible mitigation measures...and void of studies to guide a growth balance between the airport and existing communities as well as the region's environmental limitations* as indicated in Council File 23-1339 (Attachment A).

2. Motion 23-1339 is not the first motion in recent years to point out the need concerning development and its process at VNY airport. In 2022, three Valley City Councilmembers (Raman, Krekorian, Blumenfield) jointly locked arms and filed Council File 22-1489 which stated "*Throughout this period of growth and modernization at VNY. <vital operative> groups that help advise the airport on modernization like the Citizen Advisory Council (CAC) have not consistently been included or <even> briefed on Requests for Proposals and contract awards for major airport projects. <including VNY>*" (Attachment B)

3. WHNC stands in unity with its Valley neighbors and shares concern over past and future land use decisions at VNY that will (A) adversely impact this Valley and the health of its people, (B) fail to explore VNY's revenue boosting potential which includes the commercial lure noted in 23-1339, and (C) ignore the dangerous toxic fume exposures to adjacent valley residents and businesses that are now and will continue to suffer due to the City's failure to ensure capital investment projects critically needed to modernize and reduce VNY's carbon footprint, including power capacity upgrades to afford electrified hangars and ramps for the non-essential private transient jet traffic which will also allow for significant reduction of possible toxic significant power unit emissions (APU) from ground operations. Our concern is the health and well-being of the hundreds of people that this will certainly impact.

PAST PRESIDENTS

Daniel Brin
Stephen Lenske
Ed Youngblood
Charles "Chuck" Gremer

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Michelle Ritchie

¹Also known as Hollywood Burbank Airport that already provides noise pollution for San Fernando Valley Residents. Through emissions they also contribute to air pollution across the valley affecting resident health. Although there are economic benefits for this airport the question still exists: "does it mitigate the health issues?"



P.O. Box 4670, West Hills, CA 91308-4670 • mail@westhillsnc.org • www.westhillsnc.org



For the above reasons and more, including the fact that the Bob Hope Airport is 8 miles from VNY, WHNC strongly urges the City Council to:

1. Swiftly adopt CF23-1339 without amendment and use a variety of independent studies to guide a new Airport Plan and not the "VNY Vision Study" which has excluded WHNC from its public outreach to date; and
2. Consider the rights, health and quality of life of all the existing communities that make up San Fernando Valley and our peaceful tourist attractions by rejecting any aviation development proposals for VNY that do not directly reduce ground operation emissions and until a new Specific Airport Plan has been studied, developed and implemented per the criteria set forth in 23-1339, and take into account the health and well-being of the people.

Respectfully Submitted,

Charlene Rothstein

Charlene Rothstein, President
West Hills Neighborhood Council