



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 23-1422

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

Mon, Mar 9, 2026 at 4:41 PM

Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, sailasya.nwwnc@gmail.com

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: North Westwood

Name: Sailasya Munamarty

Email: sailasya.nwwnc@gmail.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(13) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 03/04/2026

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information

Date: 03/09/2026

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 23-1422

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: The North Westwood Neighborhood Council condemns all acts of violence on and near UCLA's campus recently and stands with the rights of all North Westwood stakeholders and Angelenos to peacefully and safely assemble, protest, and simply go about their day without fear. We castigate in strongest terms the conditions, responses, and lack of responses that enabled the violence of late April and early May by the UCLA administration and the law enforcement agencies and security with which it works. There remain many outstanding questions as to how UCLA affiliates and Westwood stakeholders could have ended up attacked and beaten and their assailants not caught promptly and how the

administration decided their responses and lack thereof. We also denounce attacks on the constitutionally protected free press in reporting on these incidents, including cases of journalists (some UCLA students) being harassed and assailed and of reporters being detained illegally or not granted access guaranteed to them under Penal Code § 409.7 (Senate Bill 98 (2021)). We support a full investigation. Instead of the internal after-action report that the motion orders, we recommend an independent reckoning, to be conducted by a trusted external group not chosen by any law enforcement agency, law enforcement governing body, or UCLA. We also support a continued audit of the use and effectiveness of helicopters in responding to these and other incidents and back the findings of the posted audit.



CIS_ Helicopter Audit.pdf

163K



- COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT -

Council File: [25-1392](#)

Title: Air Support Division Deployment Model / Los Angeles Police Department

Position: Against

Council File: [24-0537](#)

Title: College Campus Protests / USC / UCLA / April 24 - May 6, 2024 / After Action Report / Los Angeles Police Department

Position: For if Amended

Council File: [23-1422](#)

Title: Air Support Division / Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) / Audit

Position: For

Council File: [25-0600-S57](#)

Title: 2025 Budget Recommendation / R12 / Chief Legislative Analyst / Cost and Effectiveness of Aerial Policing

Position: For

Council File: [25-0600-S32](#)

Title: 2025 Budget Recommendation / Chief Legislative Analyst / Special Studies

Position: Neutral

Summary:

The North Westwood Neighborhood Council condemns all acts of violence on and near UCLA's campus recently and stands with the rights of all North Westwood stakeholders and Angelenos to peacefully and safely assemble, protest, and simply go about their day without fear.

We castigate in strongest terms the conditions, responses, and lack of responses that enabled the violence of late April and early May by the UCLA administration and the law enforcement agencies and security with which it works. There remain many outstanding questions as to how UCLA affiliates and Westwood stakeholders could have ended up attacked and beaten and their assailants not caught promptly and how the administration decided their responses and lack thereof.

We also denounce attacks on the constitutionally protected free press in reporting on these incidents, including cases of journalists (some UCLA students) being harassed and assailed and of reporters being detained illegally or not granted access guaranteed to them under [Penal Code § 409.7](#) ([Senate Bill 98 \(2021\)](#)).

We support a full investigation. Instead of the internal after-action report that the motion orders, we recommend an independent reckoning, to be conducted by a trusted external group not chosen by any law enforcement agency, law enforcement governing body, or UCLA. We also support a continued audit of the use and effectiveness of helicopters in responding to these and other incidents and back the findings of the posted audit.