

Communication from Public

Name: Katherine C. King

Date Submitted: 07/29/2024 03:16 PM

Council File No: 24-0008-S13

Comments for Public Posting: VOTE NO ON RESOLUTION 24-0008-13 Park's stated rationale for her motion to designate twelve more locations where overnight parking of oversize vehicles will be prohibited is that parked oversize vehicles "constrict travel lanes, thereby creating dangerous situations." She offers no examples or proof of these "dangerous situations." No photographs, no descriptions, nothing. On these grounds alone, Resolution 24-0008-S13 should be rejected. I have been a Venice homeowner for 41 years. I liked the Venice community when it was truly economically and racially diverse. While I am not happy to see unhoused residents living on the streets, in tents, in cars and in vans, I would be even more unhappy to see them "disappeared" into other locations. I fear that such "disappearing" is the true intent of Councillor Park's motion to add yet more "no oversize parking" zones to a district that already has sixty. There are families living in RVs who would find it much harder to work and go to school from tents. Tents is where they will be if their RVs are towed. Or, if they choose their RV over the streets, they will be forced to an area far from their jobs and schools and health services. The way to solve the RV problem, the encampment problem, the health-hazard problem, the "ugliness" problem is to build affordable and low income housing like the Venice Dell Community project that Councillor Park is trying to stop. Creating more 80.69.4 zones will only make the problems worse. Katherine King Venice CA 90291

VOTE NO ON RESOLUTION 24-0008-13

Park's stated rationale for her motion to designate twelve more locations where overnight parking of oversize vehicles will be prohibited is that parked oversize vehicles "constrict travel lanes, thereby creating dangerous situations." She offers no examples or proof of these "dangerous situations." No photographs, no descriptions, nothing. On these grounds alone, Resolution 24-0008-S13 should be rejected.

I have been a Venice homeowner for 41 years. I liked the Venice community when it was truly economically and racially diverse. While I am not happy to see unhoused residents living on the streets, in tents, in cars and in vans, I would be even more unhappy to see them "disappeared" into other locations. I fear that such "disappearing" is the true intent of Councillor Park's motion to add yet more "no oversize parking" zones to a district that already has sixty.

There are families living in RVs who would find it much harder to work and go to school from tents. Tents is where they will be if their RVs are towed. Or, if they choose their RV over the streets, they will be forced to an area far from their jobs and schools and health services.

The way to solve the RV problem, the encampment problem, the health-hazard problem, the "ugliness" problem is to build affordable and low income housing like the Venice Dell Community project that Councillor Park is trying to stop. Creating more 80.69.4 zones will only make the problems worse.

Katherine King
Venice CA 90291