

Communication from Public

Name: Judy Oroshnik
Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 01:13 PM
Council File No: 24-0011-S4
Comments for Public Posting: I am in support of the Motion to Put Gondola on HOLD and do a Dodger Stadium Traffic Study

Communication from Public

Name: Lynn Nguyen

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 02:59 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-s4

Comments for Public Posting: The Chinatown Teen Council is in favor of Councilmember Hernandez's proposal to pause the LA Art Gondola project until a comprehensive transit access study is conducted concerning the Dodger Stadium traffic.

Communication from Public

Name: Marion L Siu

Date Submitted: 03/19/2024 09:50 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I support the motion to study alternatives to the LA ART gondola before doing any further work on that proposal in the City. I oppose the gondola which Frank McCourt wants to transport patrons to his future planned mall.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 08:39 AM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: A multimillion dollar gondola is the last thing the city needs. We should be investing in affordable housing, our public schools, combatting food deserts and heat islands, making our streets safer - literally anything except a toy for tourists that will slice through one of the few green spaces downtown. Pushing for the gondola over any initiatives that actually improve the lives of Angelenos would make me completely lose confidence in our current council members, who would clearly not have the city's best interests at heart.

Communication from Public

Name: Mon Podlecki

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 09:41 AM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: Terrible idea. No sane person wants this. An incredibly wasteful, insensitive, invasive, and thoughtless concept. Do NOT approve this!

Communication from Public

Name: Olivia Biera

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 10:45 AM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: As a voter, home owner and business owner living in 90012. I fully support the motion to put the Góndola project on hold in order to conduct a full traffic study. The project is a dangerous attempt to change the entire culture of 90012 and all conditions and concerns should be fully addressed before any further development.

Communication from Public

Name: Amelia

Date Submitted: 03/19/2024 12:50 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: As a resident of Chinatown, I support this motion to conduct a fair and objective study of transportation alternatives for Dodger Stadium before proceeding with the LAART gondola proposal. Such a study was not done within or before the issuance of the Environmental Impact Report for the gondola, leaving important questions unanswered. There are obvious alternative solutions to Dodger Stadium's serious traffic congestion and emissions problems. For instance, a park-and-ride system using electric buses coming from different parts of LA County, equipped with existing technology to enable them to form platoons and get preference at traffic lights, could bring fans to games, concerts, and special events. A system like this has already been successfully implemented at the Hollywood Bowl. The proposed gondola would permanently harm valuable cultural amenities like Los Angeles State Historic Park and Olvera Street, and the City has a responsibility to consider more flexible and less risky alternatives.

Communication from Public

Name: KATE WOLF

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:04 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I support Councilmember Eunisses Hernandez motion to stop Frank McCourt's gondola project until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed. It has been many years since a traffic mitigation study was conducted in the area and much has changed. It makes sense, and is only fair to residents and tax payers to take all necessary measures of due diligence before moving ahead with this ill-advised project.

Communication from Public

Name: Erica Goebel

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:08 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to share my FULL SUPPORT of the motion to place a HOLD on the LA ART gondola project, AND conduct a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium. The last time a traffic mitigation study was done for Dodger Stadium was in 1990, and a lot has changed since then. Residents and the City as a whole need to know the full range of options available for improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive gondola project. I urge you to vote YES to approve this motion in its entirety.

Communication from Public

Name: C McCrae

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:13 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am in FULL SUPPORT of Councilmember Hernandez's motion for a traffic assessment. The last time a traffic mitigation study was done for Dodger Stadium was in 1990 and much has changed since then. As a resident of Chinatown and a Metro rider, I want to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium BEFORE considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive LA ART gondola project.

Communication from Public

Name: Isabella D'Agnew

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:14 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: My name is Isabella D'Agnew. I grew up in and currently live in CD1. My family and I are deeply concerned about the proposed gondola and I am writing in full support of Councilmember Eunisses Hernandez's motion to study alternatives to the LA ART gondola before any further work is done on that proposal. Thank you, Isabella

Communication from Public

Name: K. L. Har

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:22 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am in SUPPORT of Council member Eunisses Hernandez (CD1) motion to stop Frank McCourt's gondola project until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Peter

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:24 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: Why are we building a tourist only "transit system" that is only used when there are events at Dodgers Stadium? A public transit system should is not a public transit system if it is not used for the majority of the year. Having it run for long hours everyday does not mean it is properly utilized. With the car habits of Los Angeles, people are only going to drive to near the gondola's Stations to take a ride up the hill, which is the same as driving to the existing Dodger Stadium shuttles. What we should do is strengthen existing shuttles service and to promote it more, so the public is aware. The 4000-5000 passenger per hour estimates by the gondola is completely unrealistic and lacks practicality. We should utilize existing infrastructure to reduce traffic instead of building one that is solely for looks and tourists on minimal number of days in a year.

Communication from Public

Name: Elena S.

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:26 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of Victor Heights and I am writing in full support of the motion to conduct a full study of transportation alternatives before proceeding with any further work on the LA ART gondola. We need transparency and a comprehensive understanding of viable alternatives to the gondola.

Communication from Public

Name: Howard Naness
Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:26 PM
Council File No: 24-0011-S4
Comments for Public Posting: We don't want to be swindled by McCourt again. Stop the gondola!!

Communication from Public

Name: Laraine Moniz

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:28 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am in full support of Councilmember Eunisses Hernandez's motion to stop Frank McCourt's gondola project until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed. My district is next door to this district so I am affected by traffic at Dodger Stadium. The last time a traffic mitigation study was done for Dodger Stadium was in 1990 and a lot has changed since then. Residents and the City as a whole need to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive LA ART gondola project.

Communication from Public

Name: Daniela Rodriguez

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:35 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: As a born and raised Angelino, this project continues to commercialize the city and limits the purest forms of entertainment - recreational parks. I attend this park 2-3 times a week with my partner and dog. This park gives the city (more importantly Dtla) a place to take a literal breath of fresh air, something that we took for granted up until the pandemic. The gondola will create yet another attraction for tourists, in a city that desperately needs places to take a breath a fresh air. Respectfully, this gondola will not be beneficial.

Communication from Public

Name: Renee Tajima

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:35 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am a longtime resident of Los Angeles and I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for Councilmember Eunisses Hernandez' motion to STOP THE FRANK MCCOURT GONDOLA PROJECT. Beyond the motion's call for a transit access study for Dodger Stadium, I hope this wasteful and ill-advised gondola is never approved. The gondola will serve the business interests of McCourt while damaging the public interest. I am particularly alarmed by its impact on Chinatown, a community that has already been battered by city officials' concessions to developers and wealthy political donors at the expense of people. I urge you to take heed of the residents of the affected neighborhoods and STOP THE GONDOLA. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Renee Tajima

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:41 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am a longtime resident of Los Angeles and I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for Councilmember Eunisses Hernandez' motion to STOP THE FRANK MCCOURT GONDOLA PROJECT. Beyond the motion's call for a transit access study for Dodger Stadium, I hope this wasteful and ill-advised gondola is never approved. The gondola will serve the business interests of McCourt while damaging the public interest. I am particularly alarmed by its impact on Chinatown, a community that has already been battered by city officials' concessions to developers and political donors at the expense of people. I urge you to take heed of the residents of the affected neighborhoods and STOP THE GONDOLA. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Kevin Bruce

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 06:22 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am in SUPPORT of the motion and AGAINST the LA ART gondola project based upon several factors such as: safety in high winds/inclimate weather, weight capacities, earthquake stability, passing over/through residential neighborhoods, traffic to get to the gondola leading to parking in the neighborhoods, would fans be comfortable/feel safe with this project? I have an income-producing entertainment development project that I would like to "pitch" as a reasonable idea for reducing traffic congestion around Dodger Stadium during game days. It revolves around a current metro rail line. I would like an opportunity to present my project in a meeting with DOT, Metro, and Councilmember Hernandez. I previously pursued this project circa 2013 and met with many of the area constituents, and received positive feedback.

Communication from Public

Name: Travis page

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 06:26 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I and my wife and child are in full support of the motion to stop Frank McCourt's gondola project until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed.
Thanks

Communication from Public

Name: A Munoz

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 05:05 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: Save our trees and habitat for all living organisms at our Los Angeles state park. I do not want people invading my privacy, looking down onto my private property. Allowing one rich man to change the lives of so many angelenos is insane! No one knows the full ramifications of such project in our neighborhood. Stop the gondola project NOW!

Communication from Public

Name: Steve Twining

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 05:05 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I support the motion to oppose the Sky Tram proposal from Union Station to Dodger Stadium. Objection #1 the proposed tram crosses over a public park. #2 There is not adequate parking around Union Station. #3 the Historic Neighborhood Council was unable even to discuss the project much less vote against it even though it would cross on top of the community.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 05:25 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I support this motion. We need a fair study of alternatives before we embark on something as radical as the gondola project. There are better solutions for Dodger Stadium traffic—ones that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions without the costs and irreparable harm to neighborhoods of the gondola.

Communication from Public

Name: Terry Saucier

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 05:45 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I fully support the motion and ask that you do too. The City needs to stop Frank McCourt's gondola project until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed. The last time a traffic mitigation study was done for Dodger Stadium was in 1990 and a lot has changed since then. Residents and the City as a whole need to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive LA ART gondola project.

Communication from Public

Name: Vivian D.

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 05:49 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I support this motion to conduct a fair and objective study of transportation alternatives for Dodger Stadium before proceeding with Frank McCourt's gondola proposal. This study should have been done in the Environmental Impact Report for the gondola, but never happened. The last time a traffic mitigation study was done for Dodger Stadium was in 1990, and a lot has changed since then. Residents and the City as a whole need to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive LA ART gondola project. Frank McCourt's proposal would cause great harm to the historic core of our city, El Pueblo and Olvera Street, and destroy vital greenspace at LA State Historic Park, while displacing working class locals in and around Chinatown. The community demands real solutions to traffic congestion and greenhouse gas emissions in tandem with enhancing accessible green spaces in compliance of CEQA.

Communication from Public

Name: Conny

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 05:57 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: This Gondola idea is absurd. DTLA does not need this Disney-esque contraption to pollute the already spare outside view of many nearby homeowners and renter alike. I hereby support the motion to study alternatives to the LA ART gondola before doing any further work on that proposal in the City. The City of Los Angeles has many urgent matters to tackle first, the LA ART Gondola should not be on any list.

Communication from Public

Name: Candace Dale Lee

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 04:50 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am dismayed to hear about the gondola that will travel over the state park and the neighborhood of Solano Canyon. I have lived in the Chinatown/Echo Park area my entire life. This idea is a travesty! What type of study has been provided to identify the well-being of residents which are under the path of the gondola. This private enterprise is not acceptable to all city residents that it affects.

Communication from Public

Name: Angela

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 03:48 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I fully support the motion to conduct a traffic study for Dodger Stadium. If the gondola is being proposed in order to improve traffic, it is imperative to assess all options to reduce traffic. There are many interventions that would likely improve traffic without severe disruption to a historic park.

Communication from Public

Name: Amber EspinosaJones

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 04:35 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to share my FULL SUPPORT of the motion to place a HOLD on the LA ART gondola project, AND conduct a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium. The last time a traffic mitigation study was done for Dodger Stadium was in 1990, and a lot has changed since then. Residents and the City as a whole need to know the full range of options available for improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive gondola project. I urge you to vote YES to approve this motion in its entirety.

Communication from Public

Name: Kate Stewart

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 04:38 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I work in Chinatown and am vehemently opposed to the proposed gondola project in all of its manifestations. It will negatively impact our privacy, safety and way of life for a (not so) cheap thrill for sports fans. It will do nothing for citizens and taxpayers but cause problems. Is this the goal? Does McCourt hope to devalue Chinatown? As someone who commutes on the 110 freeway, the traffic during home games is a nuisance that should be dealt with by any other means.

Communication from Public

Name: Ann Dorsey

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 07:42 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I urge the City Council to approve this motion. The last time a traffic mitigation study was done for Dodger Stadium was in 1990 and a lot has changed since then. Residents and the City as a whole need to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive LA ART gondola project.

Communication from Public

Name: MICHAEL PERETZIAN

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 07:08 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I support the motion to halt any work on the Dodger Stadium gondola project until a study has been made of transportation alternatives.

Communication from Public

Name: Edward J Costello

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 07:10 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: Please do not let this very bad idea get any closer to becoming a reality. Its only purpose is to enrich Frank McCourt when he develops the portions of Dodger parking lots that he still owns. It won't help traffic, as the fine express buses from Union Station to Dodger Stadium already do. It will annoy people in two neighborhoods over which the "gondolas" will pass, and, most importantly, it require enormous spending of PUBLIC FUNDS. All Angelenos will be watching very carefully to see who, if anyone, votes FOR this monstrosity. Thank you for your PUBLIC service.

Communication from Public

Name: Kevin Awakuni
Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 09:05 PM
Council File No: 24-0011-S4
Comments for Public Posting: Please do not let greedy Frank McCourt ruin another thing that Angelenos love. PLEASE!

Communication from Public

Name: Bridget McCarthy
Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 08:10 PM
Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I wholeheartedly support Councilperson Hernandez's motion to require a full and objective traffic study for Dodger Stadium prior to any further action being taken on Metro's proposed LA ART (gondola). 1. The Council must ascertain the environmental viability of the gondola and in particular whether it will actually reduce vehicle trips to/from Dodger Stadium. The UCLA Mobility Lab Study dated 10/24/22 has raised serious doubt as to whether the gondola will reduce vehicle trips and greenhouse gas emissions. Common sense dictates many patrons will not wait in gondola lines following a game or other stadium event. 2. It is imperative that projected gondola ridership and potential revenues be fully evaluated prior to any project approval. The Final EIR indicates gondola construction and operation will be financed by project revenues but the report contains no revenue estimates or projections. (Similarly, the Final EIR makes vague reference to private funding, naming rights and sponsorship revenues but contains no estimated figures.) The Council must determine whether ridership revenue will in fact fully support the massive projected capital, bond service, operation and maintenance costs associated with the gondola. City taxpayers are entitled to fiscal evaluation and responsibility concerning proposed gondola costs. 3. The 2023 addition of three new Downtown Metro stations must be evaluated as part of a full traffic study. Specifically, how can these new stations be utilized by Metro and the public to facilitate travel and reduce vehicle trips to/from Dodger Stadium? Can Dodger Express bus service or DASH service be added to these stations to reduce vehicle trips? The Grand Ave Arts/Bunker Hill Metro Station in particular is very convenient to Dodger Stadium. How can it be leveraged? The Final EIR does not address these matters. 4. The Council and public deserve a full and unbiased evaluation of the expansion of the current Dodger Stadium Express Service as the Environmentally Superior Alternative to the gondola. The operation of the Park and Ride and Bowl Shuttle bus service between the Hollywood Bowl and various city sites provide an obvious basis for evaluation of increased bus service to/from Dodger Stadium. How might such an expansion serve Dodger Stadium patrons? The Final EIR is flawed in this regard: among other things it fails to evaluate the expansion of the Express Bus Service to speed up post-game departure times. 5. A

full and objective traffic study must evaluate the increase in vehicle trips and greenhouse gasses that would result from commercial development in the Dodger Stadium parking lot, which although not addressed in the Final EIR is obviously foreseeable. 6. A full and objective traffic study must also evaluate transportation alternatives that will serve broader public purposes than a massively expensive and disruptive gondola that transports people to/from one venue. 7. All of the above matters must be fully evaluated prior to bulldozing and converting a large swath of Los Angeles State Historic Park for a gondola station and system that may or may not provide an optimum traffic solution for broad public purposes. The taxpayers who paid for the park are entitled to this full evaluation. Local communities and park goers who waited for 17+ years while the park was developed are entitled to no less. Residents who live under or near the proposed gondola flight path are entitled to no less.

Communication from Public

Name: Ricardo

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 08:19 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: As a long Chinatown resident we need a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed before we move forward. Also, makes no sense that this gondola's would move a stadium capacity of 56,000 sold out game which we are experiencing. That a gondola will make a no major impact. McCourt should open up entrance gates wider on stadium PROPERTY to access cars faster in stadium and exit.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 09:45 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I fully SUPPORT the motion to study alternatives to the LA ART gondola before doing any further work on that proposal in the City. The last time a traffic mitigation study was done for Dodger Stadium was in 1990 and a lot has changed since then. Residents and the City as a whole need to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive LA ART gondola project.

Communication from Public

Name: Richard Kramer
Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 07:52 PM
Council File No: 24-0011-S4
Comments for Public Posting: I support the proposal by the councilwoman and definitely oppose the Gondola Plan in its entirety. I utilize the Dodger Stadium Express Bus and it is efficient, uses city streets, requires no additional costs or construction. Don't be taken by Frank McCourt who ruined the Dodgers while he was their owner and still controls the parking lots I URGE YOU TO VOTE AGAINST THE GONDOLA

Communication from Public

Name: Tate Chavez

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 10:39 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am in full support of council member Hernandez's motion to stop the gondola project until further i investigation into traffic implications can be conducted

Communication from Public

Name: Dennis Chew

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 11:41 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I SUPPORT of the motion, and I am AGAINST the LA ART gondola project. I ask for the Motion to conduct a fair and objective transportation study. As the Environmental Impact Report requires that environmental review all alternatives must be made part of its process... No Project, Project, Project with alternatives, and Total Project including all impacts. The gondola concept has implications that need closer scrutiny in the study; the impacts are affecting the local community because of the regional implications. The factor addressing the volume of passengers using the system and occupancy of each gondola per hour of use with its usable duration of time, and does it run continuously 24/7 needs to be addressed. Thought must be concurred for the Project's future let alone to completing this Project's completion prior to the 2028 Olympics.