

Communication from Public

Name: Eric

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 05:02 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am in full support of this traffic study. I live in the area and have found myself stuck in my driveway thanks to dodger stadium traffic on Echo Park Ave. The Gondola won't stop that traffic. No one asked for it. We need Flyaway type buses from all over the city to serve dodger fans. The gondola won't put a dent in the traffic issue, in fact it's part of a plan to develop the parking lots and bring MORE TRAFFIC to the area. Shut it down. Study the situation.

Communication from Public

Name: Sheila O'Callaghan
Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 04:55 PM
Council File No: 24-0011-S4
Comments for Public Posting: Please do not build a gondola.

Communication from Public

Name: Mary

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 02:40 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of the Los Feliz area. We already endure a lot of traffic congestion between Griffith Park, Dodger Stadium, and Hollywood. I am opposed to the LA ART gondola project. I support Councilmember Eunisses Hernandez's motion to halt any work on the Dodger Stadium gondola until there has been a study of transportation alternatives. There must be and likely are better solutions for Dodger Stadium traffic, reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and speeding electrification of transportation around Los Angeles, and we need a fair study of the alternatives.

Communication from Public

Name: DOROTHY S APPLE

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 03:11 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I support Councilmember Eunisses Hernandez (CD1) submitted a motion to stop Frank McCourt's gondola project until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed.

Communication from Public

Name: KATHLEEN MURPHY

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 03:12 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: Dear City Council, I am writing in TOTAL SUPPORT of Council Member Hernandez's motion to put a halt Frank McCourt's gondola project until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed. This motion has been seconded by Council Members Soto-Martinez and Rodriguez. I hope that the vote will be unanimous because I feel no one, especially The Dodger organization (and certainly not Frank McCourt!), has paid attention to the concerns of our community for DECADES! Despite countless meetings with the Dodgers, continual notifications to them of the impact of both traffic and the radical increase of fireworks displays, they persist in ignoring resident concerns. I have been a resident of Echo Park for over 25 years and my cousins live in Solano Canyon on the other side of Elysian Park, so I know the situation from several vantage points. We do not believe the gondola project will be any solution to the traffic in our communities. It has become abundantly clear that this is a ploy for McCourt to develop both the parking lots he still owns at the stadium and the surrounding area. That is the LAST THING we want. Our neighborhood needs affordable housing, not another entertainment venue and luxury apartments. Council Member Hernandez's motion will be the FIRST comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium since 1990!!! It is LONG OVERDUE and we are grateful to her for recognizing its importance. We need to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive LA ART gondola project. Thank you!!!! Sincerely, Kathleen Murphy

Communication from Public

Name: Tim Apple

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 03:12 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I support Councilmember Eunisses Hernandez (CD1) submitted a motion to stop Frank McCourt's gondola project until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 03:51 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly urge the support for a full traffic study prior to consideration of the gondola project. There are two things concerning traffic that should have been done years ago that would affect traffic and/or parking: 1. Dodger Stadium Express – long transit times. This bus should have dedicated bus lanes operating in both directions. The route and bus stop should be separate from that of other vehicular traffic with easy pedestrian access to the stadium. Note: Even with the gondola, there may be a need for the bus for those who are afraid of heights; parents with small children; those with mobility problems, poor eyesight, or other handicaps; and those carrying bulky objects. 2. Dodger traffic spilling over into neighborhoods, hindering access. Residents of some neighborhoods, such as Solano Canyon, have complained about their neighborhood is being used for Dodger parking, resulting in heavy traffic, insufficient parking for the residents and their visitors, and possible health and safety issues because of decreased access for emergency vehicles. If this is true, this situation should not be allowed to continue. It should be dealt with before anything else. Things that should be considered and possibly implemented include parking permits and blocking off streets during Dodger games. Also, if the gondola is built, this problem will probably continue and be expanded into Chinatown as well. _____ Apparently, Metro has not been successful at encouraging Dodger game attendees to take long-distance buses direct to Dodger Stadium. This may indicate that many people want to drive. Thus, there are numerous questions that need to be answered: 1. Are the traffic problems due to freeway exit/entrance ramps and/or making turns off major streets, such as Sunset? 2. Or are the problems due to the size or locations of the roadways leading to the parking lots? 3. Or are the problems due to the time it takes to find a parking place and to park? 4. How would the following affect the traffic flow: a. Parking lots vs. multilevel parking garages with several entrances/exits. b. Parking attendants directing drivers to specific parking places vs. electronic signs indicating the lots with the most available parking. _____ Other considerations: 1. Events occurring at the same time as the Dodger games or concerts in one or more of the following: Los Angeles State Historic Park Chinatown Olvera Street Union Station 2. Possible use for a regularly scheduled bus to connect

the Los Angeles State Historic Park to Elysian Park with a stop at Dodger Stadium. _____ Until the problems are solved with the Dodger Stadium Express, neighborhood access for residents, and easier parking at Dodger Stadium for those who choose to drive, it is difficult to see any need for a gondola, especially with the new set of problems that will come along with it. A traffic study is definitely needed. Hopefully, it can be done while making some changes so that there can be a before/after comparison.

Communication from Public

Name: Mary Fischer

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 04:06 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly support halting the gondola project before a reliable and accurate traffic study can be conducted. In addition, all the conditions applied to the Metro Board's review of the gondola EIR need to be met before this project is allowed to move forward. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Nisha Venkat

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 04:02 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I have lived in Chinatown for the past couple of years, and I mean it when I say that I love this neighborhood. I live here, work here and support local vendors and businesses. This connection to my community is why I can say with confidence that we do not want the gondola. We don't want the construction and the noise, and we are very concerned that this would worsen the gentrification already happening in a community primarily comprised of low-income retired immigrants living at the poverty line. The people behind the gondola claim that air transit will solve congestion going into Dodger Stadium — but that congestion could be easily ameliorated by just investing in existing transit infrastructure (the Dodger Express comes every 10 minutes!). This neighborhood is a vibrant community with a rich history that is actively being erased by predatory landlords and real estate conglomerates. And this project is a frivolous novelty that does nothing for the people that live here. Chinatown is not Frank McCourt's playground — say no to the gondola.

Communication from Public

Name: Nancy De Los Santos
Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 10:11 AM
Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: It is heartbreaking to see members of our community and city leader falling under the spell of a person who bankrupted the Dodger organization, who has no personal contact with the city or our community, a person who is using our communities as a 'fly over' for a project that has NOT been proven to lessen Dodger game traffic. We are only encouraged by the support of our Councilmember Hernandez, who has visited with community members and listened to our concerns and real fears. This project is being built to pay tribute to a person who has thrown dollars around to have a lifelong tribute to himself. For the amount of dollars that will be spent on a mere IDEA that MAYBE this monstrosity will lessen Dodger Game Traffice - which HAS NOT been proven! For the neighborhoods that will be damaged, for the PEOPLE who are being treated as "nobodys, " PLEASE, Councilmembers, SUPPORT the motion being presented by COUNCILMEMBER EUNISSES HERNANDEZ to STOP Frank McCourt's gondola project until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed. Please support this effort. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Miriam Bar-Zemer

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 10:21 AM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to express my support of Councilmember Eunisses Hernandez's motion to stop Frank McCourt's gondola project until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed. The city and metro must prove this project is actually going to reduce traffic to Dodger Stadium with substantiated research.

Communication from Public

Name: Katherine Markova

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 11:09 AM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: Hello! I am writing to support Councilmember Hernandez's motion to appropriate \$500,000 to undertake a proper Dodger Stadium traffic assessment. I live in Echo Park and work in Climate and there is nothing that I would like to see more than thousands of cars being taken off the road. I use Metro every week and think we should be investing in REAL public transportation options not expensive gimmicks. I have working knowledge of greenhouse gas accounting. I believe that CEQA is flawed in many respects but will comment here specifically on Table 4-14 GHG Emissions Associated with Traffic of Appendix J (GHG Technical report). The table includes a calculation of forecast GHG emission reductions of 18,655 tons of CO₂e per year. These calculations are based on 2019 mileage data and 2020 emission factors (as provided by the California Air Resources Board). While the utilization of 2019 and 2020 data is justifiable given the disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic in subsequent years, it is crucial to acknowledge the rapid advancements in vehicle technology and emission standards since that time. Since 2019, many of the vehicles on LA roads have been replaced by electric vehicles AND even ICE vehicles have become a lot more efficient and less polluting. Therefore, I strongly advocate for a fresh traffic assessment conducted by an independent entity, ideally a non-profit organization. This assessment should incorporate the most recent data, including 2023 mileage statistics and emission factors, to provide a more accurate representation of the potential GHG emission reductions. This assessment should also integrate findings from UCLA Mobility Study's results. It is my firm belief that GHG emission reductions summarized in Table ES-1 Summary of Project GHG Emissions are grossly overstated and need to be revisited based on current information. Thank you for considering my perspective on this matter.

Table 4-14. GHG Emissions Associated with Traffic

Los Angeles Aerial Rapid Transit Project

Los Angeles, California

Year	Annual VMT ¹	Annual Trips ²	Mobile Emissions ^{3,4} (MT/yr)			
			CO ₂	N ₂ O	CH ₄	CO ₂ e
2019	53,549,000	2,403,456	18,477	0.55	0.56	18,655
2026	51,115,000	2,294,210	14,768	0.32	0.28	14,869
2042	48,482,000	2,176,032	11,886	0.20	0.13	11,950

Notes:

¹ The VMT estimate was developed by Fehr & Peers and represents the travel associated with those going to and from major events at Dodger Stadium (i.e., Dodger games and concerts). This estimate also includes VMT associated with LA ART employees and Dodger employees traveling to/from the stadium.

² The annual trip estimate was calculated using an average trip length value derived from Fehr & Peers data.

Trip Length (mi) 22.3

³ Mobile emissions were calculated using annual VMT and trip estimates along with emission factors in g/VMT and g/trip derived from EMFAC2021 for light duty vehicles.

Communication from Public

Name: Laura Beatty

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 12:02 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: The developers should not be given special favors to make a for profit service that is currently provided for free and which causes significant impact to the neighborhoods affected by the development. This is not a good project. It does not make fiscal sense. It doesn't make Transportation sense, it doesn't even qualify as an interesting tourist attraction. It will add to congestion rather than relieving it. It will only serve SPECIAL INTERESTS OF BILLIONAIRES not the public. The billionaires don't need any more favors. Do what makes sense for the community and do what's right.

Communication from Public

Name: Arthur Golding

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 12:02 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I support Eunisses Hernandez's motion to conduct a traffic study in and around Dodger Stadium. I am concerned that the ill-conceived plan for a gondola serving Dodger stadium, if realized, would have serious adverse affects on Los Angeles State Historic Park and on the adjacent commercial and residential neighborhoods.

Communication from Public

Name: Patricia McPherson, Grassroots Coalition
Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 11:54 AM
Council File No: 24-0011-S4
Comments for Public Posting: Grassroots Coalition SUPPORTS MOTION for Alternative Transportation Study and is AGAINST the LA Art Gondola Project

Communication from Public

Name: Eugene Flores

Date Submitted: 03/20/2024 06:07 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: Don't allow the gondola to go through. There will be fee to ride it, it is not Free. Current buses are free to and from the dodger game. Let the public know it will cost to ride. It's not needed.

Communication from Public

Name: Phyllis Ling
Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 09:42 AM
Council File No: 24-0011-S4
Comments for Public Posting: Please see my attached letter in full support of the motion, and opposed to the LA ART gondola project moving forward in the city.

Dear City Council Members,

I am writing to share my FULL SUPPORT of the motion to place a hold on the LA ART gondola project, and conduct a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium. We need to know the full range of options available for improving access to Dodger Stadium before even considering the harmful and ill-conceived gondola project.

There is still no funding plan that demonstrates the financial feasibility and longterm economic viability of the gondola project. In addition to the transit study for Dodger Stadium, the City should require the developer to submit a detailed funding plan for the City Controller to review PRIOR to any action on the gondola project. The LA ART gondola project is not a private development on private property. This project requires the transfer or leasing of City land to build the towers, and the rights for a private entity to occupy the airspace over our parks, neighborhoods, and city streets. In addition, major city utilities near the Dodger Stadium Station need to be relocated. Why begin any part of the approvals process before knowing whether this project is economically feasible as proposed?

LA ART claims that this project will be privately funded through farebox revenue and sponsorships, but hasn't even provided an estimate for what they would need to charge as fares for tourists and the general public. They only say that people going to Dodgers games ride for free, and who knows how long that would last? There is no guarantee that they won't go after public funds, not even in the long list of conditions approved by Metro.

Here is the condition approved by Metro that project proponents and the media sometimes erroneously interpret to mean that the project has been barred from using public funds:

4. The Project will not benefit from or compete against Metro, the County, City, or any other local jurisdiction within the County for state, federal, or other public funds to design, build, or operate the Project or otherwise fulfill Community Benefits Agreement requirements without the written consent of the competing jurisdiction, the Project will not seek or benefit from direct appropriations, and the Project will not seek or benefit from a bond issuance from Metro, the County, City, or any other local jurisdiction within the County; "

Yes, this does make it harder for the project to use public funds, but it doesn't bar them from doing so. Also, this condition relies on Metro's enforcement, and according to Metro, the conditions were "conditions of approval" that would need to be satisfied before construction of the project begins. What happens if there are cost overruns after construction starts?

Again. Frank McCourt has never promised to pay for the whole thing. He's not even promising to pay for any of it now. The project is being donated to a newly formed nonprofit, Zero Emissions Transit, and they will be responsible for funding this project. How they will fund it is anyone's guess because the claims that a \$500 million project with \$8-\$10 million per year operating costs would be funded by farebox revenues and sponsorships are not adding up. (And these figures are rough estimates provided by LA ART in 2021 dollars.) According to their

ridership model in the EIR, 915,000 tourists would ride this gondola every year to visit the stadium when nothing is happening there, and these are the only paying customers. "Tourists" includes most SoCal residents, basically anyone who doesn't live in the immediate area (Chinatown, El Pueblo, or Solano Canyon), and isn't going to a Dodgers game or event.

Also, what is the updated cost of this project, with the 31 conditions added by Metro?

There are still too many unanswered questions with this project. Metro has dropped a hot potato on the City. The City should not waste its time and resources into transferring our public spaces to a private developer's boondoggle.

I want to thank Councilmember Hernandez for her leadership on this issue, and thank Councilmember Soto-Martinez and Councilmember Rodriguez for seconding the motion.

I urge all of the council members to vote YES to approve this motion in its entirety.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Ling
CD1 Constituent

Communication from Public

Name: Nicole Antoine

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 01:51 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am in FULL SUPPORT of the motion. The last time a traffic mitigation study was done for Dodger Stadium was in 1990 and a lot has changed since then. Residents and the City as a whole need to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive LA ART gondola project. This project is unnecessary and would be an insult to our homeless population. So many people are displaced in LA it's a great big shuffle at the behest of developers and tourist attractions. Please think of the people, environment, before taking these very consequential votes for a no-needed gondola.

Communication from Public

Name: Sara Harris

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 01:56 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers, I am writing respectfully to express my absolute opposition to the LAART Gondola proposal and my full support for Councilmember Eunisses Hernández's motion to put the project on hold while a 3-year traffic study in our area is conducted. As a certified California Naturalist and longtime resident of Solano Canyon, I have seen years of attempts by developers to undermine the unique open land and airspace above the Los Angeles State Historic Park and the surrounding hills of Elysian Park and the Los Angeles River confluence. This particular project will completely and permanently disrupt and disturb the contiguous wildlife corridors of nesting raptors in the area. This project will also cause unnecessary compounding environmental adverse impact on our historic, low-income, Latinx and Chinese-American community, This is a land and air rights grab by a private entity for pure entertainment and profit disguised as a public transit benefit. Please demand it be put on hold. Please, vote yes on Councilmember Hernández's motion. Sincerely, Sara Harris Master Gardener/California Naturalist she/her/hers

Communication from Public

Name: andrea martinez
Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 12:03 PM
Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: Hi, my name is Andrea, and I work in Chinatown/Lincoln Heights adjacent to the LA State Historic Park, I attend Dodger games, was a long time resident of Echo Park and currently transit through this entire neighborhood. I strongly urge the City Council to approve the motion to place a hold on the gondola project, and conduct a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium. The gondola project is ill conceived and will have zero impact on mitigating traffic. It reminds me of the 405 fwy widening project that did NOTHING to alleviate traffic in that part of LA. If people are already driving to the stadium, why would they make an extra stop at Union Station and get on a gondola? Therefore adding another hour to their commute into the stadium. I have spoken to a number of season ticket holders who have the same opinion - they would rather just pay for the parking. If you're talking about completely eliminating the parking lot and creating affordable housing and public open space in its place - now we're talking. The construction impacts alone will severely impact the neighborhoods that surround the gondola project. It will displace families and histories and trees and the land! There is no public good from this project. Speaking from a transportation perspective - I understand the city wants to implement flying taxis by the 2028 olympics, how would the skyline of the gondola impact that? Let's start with adding more zero emission dedicated Dodger busses from Union Station and policing that bus lane and citing drivers so that it can work efficiently and the way it was meant to, moving large numbers of people quickly in and out of the stadium. The gondola project will serve few and not be used the way the LAART team spins their tales that it will. It'll become an eyesore. Has the long term life of this been thought about?? What happens when it needs to be demolished. It's just ALL WRONG. Residents and the City as a whole need to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive gondola project. This gondola is an attack on multiple parts of our neighborhoods. Please invest in *real* public transportation and common sense solutions, not this billionaire pet project that would only serve Frank McCourt. The way him and his cronies have been targeting low income communities for support with free

tickets, and presents is deplorable. Absolutely disgusting. He has so many resources to make real valuable positive change for these communities and instead chooses to build an "f-you" monument to these communities that he's been giving short term promises to.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 01:19 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am a CD14 resident writing in full support of Councilmember Hernandez's motion to stop Frank McCourt's gondola project until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed. The last time a traffic mitigation study was done for Dodger Stadium was in 1990 and a lot has changed since then. Residents and the City as a whole need to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive LA ART gondola project.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 09:15 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: As an environmental educator in Los Angeles working with Chinatown and Elysian Park community members, I am in full support of the motion to stop Frank McCourt's gondola project until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium is completed.

Communication from Public

Name: Philip Murphy

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 06:30 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: /Users/philipmurphy/Desktop/Traffic Study Letter.pdf Dear Council Members, The Friends of Elysian Park wholeheartedly endorse Council Member Hernandez's motion to halt construction of the gondola until a thorough Traffic Study is done to consider all alternative means of transportation that could reduce traffic congestion around Dodger Stadium. It's been more than 30 years since a Traffic Study has been done for Dodger Stadium, and the neighborhoods surrounding the ballpark have been negatively impacted by traffic jams and congestion throughout every baseball season as a result. To imagine that this gondola proposal of LA Art's/ZET is the most effective way to reduce traffic and clean up air pollution, without considering other transportation measures that might prove even more effective, is wishful thinking at best and totally irresponsible otherwise. The residents of CD 1 and CD 13 who live near the ballpark and will be most affected by the construction of this gondola, which is expected to take at least two years to construct (no doubt increasing air and noise pollution and traffic congestion during that time) deserve to know that the City did everything to make sure that the traffic flow in and out of the stadium has been optimized to be most efficient and as unobtrusive as possible to the surrounding community. Anything short of this would appear to favor a pet project of a billionaire businessman over the best interests of a large number of your constituents, as well as some of the most historic parks and neighborhoods in Los Angeles. Please vote Yes on this motion. Sincerely, Philip Murphy President Friends of Elysian Park



Dear Council Members,

The Friends of Elysian Park wholeheartedly endorse Council Member Hernandez's motion to halt construction of the gondola until a thorough Traffic Study is done to consider all alternative means of transportation that could reduce traffic congestion around Dodger Stadium.

It's been more than 30 years since a Traffic Study has been done for Dodger Stadium, and the neighborhoods surrounding the ballpark have been negatively impacted by traffic jams and congestion throughout every baseball season as a result.

To imagine that this gondola proposal of LA Art's/ZET is the most effective way to reduce traffic and clean up air pollution, without considering other transportation measures that might prove even more effective, is wishful thinking at best and totally irresponsible otherwise.

The residents of CD 1 and CD 13 who live near the ballpark and will be most affected by the construction of this gondola, which is expected to take at least two years to construct (no doubt increasing air and noise pollution and traffic congestion during that time) deserve to know that the City did everything to make sure that the traffic flow in and out of the stadium has been optimized to be most efficient and as unobtrusive as possible to the surrounding community.

Anything short of this would appear to favor a pet project of a billionaire businessman over the interests of a large number of your constituents, as well as some of the most historic parks and neighborhoods in Los Angeles.

Please vote **Yes** on this motion.

Sincerely,

Philp Murphy
President
Friends of Elysian Park
[Website](#) | [Instagram](#)

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 05:48 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I support this motion to conduct an objective and fair study of transportation alternatives for Dodger Stadium before moving forward with Frank McCourt's gondola proposal. This study should have been completed in the Environmental Impact Report for the gondola, but never happened. A traffic mitigation study was done for Dodger Stadium last in 1990, and a lot has changed in the past 30+ years. Residents and LA at large need to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and gentrifying LA ART gondola project. Frank McCourt's proposal would be very destructive to the historic core of our city, El Pueblo and Olvera Street, and tarnish vital greenspace at LA State Historic Park, while displacing working class residents in and around Chinatown. The community needs real solutions to traffic congestion and air pollution, not more cash grabs!

Communication from Public

Name: Heather Lockie

Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 05:56 PM

Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to let you know that I am IN FULL SUPPORT of CD1/Eunisses Hernandez that would place a hold on the gondola project in order to do a comprehensive and objective transit access study for the Dodger Stadium region of DTLA. I urge you to vote IN FAVOR OF this motion. The last time a traffic mitigation study was done for this area was in 1990, and a lot has changed since then. Many people would be impacted if the gondola project were enacted, and we the residents need to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering a project like the gondola. The LA ART gondola project is merely an ill-conceived vanity project of a billionaire who does NOT have the public interest in mind. The City has no business trying to enact this kind of tourist attraction without a thorough, robust and unbiased study on any gondola's effects and realistic costs. In addition, its existence should be decided solely by the residents of CD1 via the ballot. Thank you, Heather Lockie

Communication from Public

Name: Juliana Durning
Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 07:57 PM
Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in full support of City Councilmember Eunisses Hernandez's motion to conduct a traffic study in and around Dodger Stadium which was filed in January of this year. A traffic mitigation study is necessary to understand the existing traffic issues in the area around Chinatown and Dodger Stadium and how a gondola would exacerbate traffic issues. The last time a traffic mitigation study was done for Dodger Stadium was in 1990 and a lot has changed since then. Residents and the City as a whole need to know the full range of options that are available for mitigating traffic and improving access to Dodger Stadium before considering the very harmful, ill-conceived, and destructive LA ART gondola project. Until a comprehensive and objective transit access study for Dodger Stadium and its surrounding neighborhoods is conducted, it would be irresponsible to continue with this gondola project. I urge the City Council to pass Councilmember Hernandez's motion and think of the multitude of impacts this gondola may have.

Communication from Public

Name: Alejandra Flores
Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 07:11 PM
Council File No: 24-0011-S4

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Councilmember, I am writing to you with hope somebody really listens this time. We have complained for decades about the abuse to our neighborhood, The Dodgers Corporation, the fans, the past council-members whom not only ignored us but worked against us and the absurd increase of traffic in the last 15 years (with exception of the COVID year). 1. Several times we had complained about the REALLY LOUD NOISE and intruding lights at any time, any day from the stadium and Dodger fans parking all along our narrow streets. Garages were not part of the development of La Loma -Palo Verde - Bishop. And of course the amount of trash left after events. 2. Ever since Apple Maps and Google maps Apps have become the norm, our streets has become a popular short cut. We have had a big increase in roadkill and a lack of respect for neighbors and pedestrians. The traffic has made it impossible to leave out of our houses during the few hours before and after a game. 3. The Dodgers corporation does not treat their neighbors with respect and everybody knows this including those of us that have had to beg for tickets because our households can not afford a \$400 dollar concert ticket. If they are going to charge that much they should allocate some of their profits to taking care of neighbors and setting up some kind of useful parking enforcement, the one in place now is a joke. The lights sometimes are lit all night long without any respect to our wild life that exists in our park. NO CONSIDERATION FOR THEM! The other MAJOR issue is the noise level. We have video evidence that the noise from the concerts have increased so much that our windows, doors and appliances rattle like an earthquake. The noise alone is so bad that we can't stay in our homes and our pets all panic. For the amount of inconvenience this corporation has caused this community you would think they would offer us all tickets but instead they raffle off a few and call it a day. At the end of the day we really don't want their tickets we want our quiet and clean park. 4. I think the worse though, is the lack of respect for sings on the street by the general traffic going through our neighbors. Cars already know it's fine to turn when it says NO turn. It is fine to go 35 miles beyond the speed limit. STOP signs are a simple decorations to most of them. They know it and they don't care to violate the law EVERY DAY. There are NO consequences. We have suffered countless grid locks in our

streets. Stadium Way, Academy Road and Boylston St. can not take a large amount of traffic. These streets are really part of the park, especially Ave of the Palms on Elysian Park. This is still Elysian Park, not a short cut, not a Dodgers parking lot, not a speeding contest. IT IS THE PARK and we need to save it! Please save Elysian Park!

Communication from Public

Name: Zhangyang Wu
Date Submitted: 03/21/2024 11:09 PM
Council File No: 24-0011-s4
Comments for Public Posting: I don't want a gondola fly over MY NEIGHBORHOOD. I don't want our trees die. I don't want our people's privacy get harassed.