



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

## Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 24-0011-S4

1 message

**LA City SNow** <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org

Mon, Dec 30, 2024 at 12:23 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at [empowerla@lacity.org](mailto:empowerla@lacity.org).

\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*

### Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: PICO

Name: Jessica Lund

Email: [Jesslund@gmail.com](mailto:Jesslund@gmail.com)

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(10) Nay(2) Abstain(3) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 10/09/2024

Type of NC Board Action: For

### Impact Information

Date: 12/30/2024

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 24-0011-S4

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: PICO NC SUPPORTS COUNCIL RESOLUTION # 24-0011-S4 and agrees with the Neighborhood Council Sustainability Alliance's Letter in OPPOSITION to the Dodger Stadium Gondola Project (NCSA letter located here:

[https://assets.nationbuilder.com/ncsa/pages/538/attachments/original/1704980626/LANCSA\\_letter\\_in\\_opposition\\_to\\_the\\_Dodger\\_stadium\\_gondola\\_2024\\_01-11.pdf?1704980626](https://assets.nationbuilder.com/ncsa/pages/538/attachments/original/1704980626/LANCSA_letter_in_opposition_to_the_Dodger_stadium_gondola_2024_01-11.pdf?1704980626) )

Ref:MSG11636480



P.I.C.O. NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

PO Box 351329

Los Angeles, CA 90035

[www.PICONC.com](http://www.PICONC.com)

EMAIL: [info@piconc.com](mailto:info@piconc.com)



- COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT -

Council File: 24-0011-S4

Position: Support

Summary:

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council (“LACC”), The PICO Neighborhood Council (“NC”) supports the Motion adopted by LACC on March 22, 2024 to conduct a comprehensive and unbiased traffic assessment on “community impact zones” around Dodger Stadium, including but not limited to Solano Canyon, Elysian Valley, China Town, Angelino Heights, and Echo Park and to suspend any action on approving advancements to the LA Art project contingent upon the results and recommendations of that assessment. The PICO NC further supports the Motion adopted by LACC to direct the LADOT in conjunction with other relevant Departments to report back on traffic policies for events at other venues such as the Rose Bowl, Hollywood Bowl, SoFi, BMO, Universal Studios, and the Coliseum and provide recommendations for policies that affect surrounding traffic, community queuing, local parking impacts, and options to compel private entities to invest in traffic mitigation measures to reduce adverse impacts to communities caused by their operations.

The PICO NC recognizes that the Neighborhood Council Sustainability Alliance (“NCSA”) has considered the proposed gondola project in detail and voted to oppose it and to communicate its well-researched and documented reasons for opposing it in an 8-paragraph letter to the Los Angeles Metro Board and City Council, dated January 11, 2024 (“1-11-24 Letter”). The PICO NC is in alignment with the reasoning in the NCSA 1-11-24 Letter.

The PICO NC strongly supports community entertainment, including sporting events, and community pride and engagement through Los Angeles’s world-class sports teams.

The PICO NC also strongly supports public transportation, including public transportation solutions that would allow Angelenos to access such events safely and expeditiously with less pollution, noise, and disruption to surrounding communities that comes from personal automobile traffic and even ride shares.

But the PICO NC believes the City must fully evaluate a potential gondola to Dodger Stadium in comparison to other potential transit solutions that could better achieve safe and fast transportation to our great sporting events in the context of any and all larger proposed developments of the area before committing to the gondola. Indeed, a fulsome evaluation of all potential solutions in their full context is all the more necessary because of (1) the history here of the demolition of the historic Chavez Ravine and displacement of long-time resident Mexican-American families; and (2) the potential costs to Los Angeles tax payers; and (3) the potential impact to a relatively new park in a city and community with little green space as well as to wildlife and mature trees.

The PICO NC wants to ensure that public infrastructure supports environmental and community goals at the lowest cost to residents and with sensitivity to impacted communities. The PICO NC thus thanks LACC for and supports its adoption of Motion 24-0011-S4 to conduct a more fulsome assessment and suspend action on the gondola project pending the results of that assessment.