



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 24-0332

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, jamesaskew@nohonc.org

Fri, May 23, 2025 at 4:09 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: NoHo

Name: James Askew

Email: jamesaskew@nohonc.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(17) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 04/09/2025

Type of NC Board Action: For if Amended

Impact Information

Date: 05/23/2025

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 24-0332

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: On April 9, 2025, at a Brown Act Compliant Meeting, NoHo Neighborhood Council voted to support the motion in CF 25-0332 IF IT IS AMENDED. Please see attached statement for more details.



NoHoNC.org     @NoHoNC

President

Maria Sosyan

Vice President

James Askew

Secretary

Katy Go

Treasurer

Sommerly Simser

Sgt. At Arms

Ken Dorfman

Fareid El Gafy

Jerry Yang

Jorge Aparicio

Jon-Paul Bird

Jillian Burgos

Hunter Gibson

Matt Haviland

Lee Jamieson

Wendy Kheel

Jen Montzingo

Nathanael Roe

Justo Vicent

PASSED 17-0-0 on April 9, 2025

Council File: [24-0332](#)

Title: Residential Speed Hump Program / Annual Allotment / Citywide Application Process

Position: SUPPORT IF AMENDED

Summary: April 9, 2025, at a Brown Act Compliant Meeting, NoHo Neighborhood Council voted to support the recommendations in the reportback issued in response to CF [24-0332](#) **if it is amended.**

The current system for residential speed humps is untenable. While the system ensures that speed humps are distributed equally across council districts, it does not take into account equity factors and has led to a distribution that is at best perceived as favoring wealthier communities at the expense of rational safety based installation of these traffic calming devices.

The new method, which focuses distribution on the city's Neighborhood Enhanced Network and scores streets on safety, equity, and access to amenities, is a much more reasonable approach that NoHo NC endorses. We ask that the following slight modifications be made in order to ensure transparency in this new process.

We ask that the following amendments be made:

- 1) that the city make its scoring method more transparent,
- 2) that the city make a searchable database of streets by eligibility and position in queue rather than a list, and
- 3) provide more clarity on best practices in other cities.

We thank the Council and the Department of Transportation for their work on this, and look forward to the new approach being adopted as quickly as possible.