



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 24-0332

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, chase.puskar@lofeliznc.org

Mon, Dec 22, 2025 at 12:58 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Los Feliz

Name: Chase Puskar

Email: chase.puskar@lofeliznc.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(21) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 12/16/2025

Type of NC Board Action: For if Amended

Impact Information

Date: 12/22/2025

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 24-0332

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: The Los Feliz Neighborhood Council supports CF 24-0332 if amended. A data-driven framework for the Residential Speed Hump Program is overdue. The current first-come, first-served model rewards awareness and free time rather than demonstrated safety need. A prioritization system based on collision history, speeding prevalence, and pedestrian vulnerability will produce fairer and more defensible outcomes. The City Council must introduce a motion to require the following additional items to ensure the new Residential Speed Hump Program methodology is effective, equitable, and accountable: 1. Transparent Scoring Criteria. LADOT must publish the full scoring rubric, data inputs, and

district-level rankings before annual selections are made. Without transparency, prioritization becomes discretionary and trust collapses. 2. Annual Public Reporting. LADOT must report on funded locations, unfunded high-risk locations, and any deviations from scoring. This allows Neighborhood Councils and the public to monitor whether resources follow the safety data. 3. Baseline Funding Restoration. The program cannot work on a reduced budget. The previous cut from \$1.9 million to \$715 thousand should be reversed, or the new methodology will ration a safety intervention that is already scarce. With these essential requirements, CF 24-0332 would create a safer, more consistent, and more accountable process for addressing residential speeding across Los Angeles.



25 1216 LFNC TM CIS CF 24-0332.pdf

75K



TREASURER
Chase Puskar

VICE PRESIDENT
Katie Barsotti - Communications

PRESIDENT
Misty LeGrande

VICE PRESIDENT
Olsen Ebright - Administration

SECRETARY
Devin Homsey

- COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT -

Council File: [24-0332](#)

Title: Residential Speed Hump Program / Annual Allotment / Citywide Application Process

Position: Support if Amended

Summary:

The Los Feliz Neighborhood Council supports CF 24-0332 if amended. A data-driven framework for the Residential Speed Hump Program is overdue. The current first-come, first-served model rewards awareness and free time rather than demonstrated safety need. A prioritization system based on collision history, speeding prevalence, and pedestrian vulnerability will produce fairer and more defensible outcomes.

The City Council must introduce a motion to require the following additional items to ensure the new Residential Speed Hump Program methodology is effective, equitable, and accountable:

- **Transparent Scoring Criteria.** LADOT must publish the full scoring rubric, data inputs, and district-level rankings before annual selections are made. Without transparency, prioritization becomes discretionary and trust collapses.
- **Annual Public Reporting.** LADOT must report on funded locations, unfunded high-risk locations, and any deviations from scoring. This allows Neighborhood Councils and the public to monitor whether resources follow the safety data.
- **Baseline Funding Restoration.** The program cannot work on a reduced budget. The previous cut from \$1.9 million to \$715 thousand should be reversed, or the new methodology will ration a safety intervention that is already scarce.

With these essential requirements, CF 24-0332 would create a safer, more consistent, and more accountable process for addressing residential speeding across Los Angeles.

PASSED 21 - 0 - 0