

## Communication from Public

**Name:** H M

**Date Submitted:** 05/31/2024 03:38 PM

**Council File No:** 24-0490

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Hancock Park, I oppose the HPOZ mainly because it is abused by those “in charge” to cause expense and hardship to residents who do repairs or maintenance or whatever on their homes. As such, any action to limit or abolish this set of “laws” is appreciated. I speak for many other residents in this area.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Margo OConnell

**Date Submitted:** 05/31/2024 05:02 PM

**Council File No:** 24-0490

**Comments for Public Posting:** This is for Council file 24-0490. I hold heartedly support CM Hutt & Yaroslavsky from interim I CO to protect HPOZ from EDI projects. Sorry but I couldn't figure out how to put the numbers in where they were supposed to go

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Dean and Jasmin

**Date Submitted:** 06/04/2024 07:46 AM

**Council File No:** 24-0490

**Comments for Public Posting:** We support this: A motion was introduced by Katy Yaroslavsky and seconded by H. Hutt: CF24-0490 to enact an Interim Control Ordinance (ICO) to protect Historic Preservation Zones (HPOZ) from ED 1 projects. This request is being made because no action has been taken on the ED1 guidelines by the City Council. This ICO is needed to protect HPOZs. We are also seeking this protection in our guidelines. As stated above these are historically very diverse neighborhoods often in underserved communities with lots of multi family housing. This motion simply requires projects to go through a discretionary process until the ED 1 Ordinance is approved and enacted. The pro housing people are opposed to this temporary action.