

Communication from Public

Name: Ella Ritts

Date Submitted: 05/06/2024 11:57 AM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: The Mayor's budget proposal proposes cuts which fall heavily on the Department of Recreation and Parks, including the proposed deletion of Griffith Observatory's Curator position. Following the 2008 recession, almost a third of the Observatory's full-time positions were taken from the roster, and none have been reinstated. Griffith Observatory's work force is now 93.8% part-time. Our existing leadership, especially in the Programming department, are struggling to provide adequate resources and guidance to its large part-time workforce. Heading toward the Olympics, when all eyes will be on our highly popular destination, we especially cannot afford a cut to leadership. The Observatory draws around \$8.5 million in revenue for the City each year from parking, planetarium tickets, and concessions. The work from our programming department (exhibits, planetarium shows, school programs, films, lectures, live broadcasts, social media, website, demonstrations, gallery talks, star parties, telescope hours, etc.) is what draws 1.6 million visitors each year and results in this revenue in the first place. The Observatory (and the City) cannot afford to compromise on our daily operation (the Curator supervises 66% of our part-time staff). Our operation, your revenue, and future generations of scientists are at stake. Our Curator position costs the City budget relatively little, but the loss of this position will cost the City of Los Angeles significant revenue and reputation. Please let us keep our Curator position.

Communication from Public

Name: Jennifer wong

Date Submitted: 05/03/2024 08:46 AM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: Please do not funding to our parks. We need a strong social network and parks do that. They feed children, enrich lives, give us self care so we're not angry and violent, give us culture. We need fewer cop services and more care services. We also need more parks cause that's what makes us safer and a community.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 05/03/2024 09:34 AM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: The Recreation and Parks are an invaluable source of education of our future leaders and contributors to society. My children have made memorable experience and friends on field trips guided by Recreation and Parks staff. The scouts have enjoyed parks, community centers and Griffith Park Observatory. The ability to have clean and well managed public spaces, museums and green spaces is important for mental health, quality of life, tourism and education. The staff managing these resources are invaluable for the future of Los Angeles.

Communication from Public

Name: Sonia Conrad

Date Submitted: 05/03/2024 10:23 AM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: At a time when we need more science you should not be making cut backs at institutions such as the Griffith Observatory. Visitors to the Observatory brings people not only from the community with children field trips and local visitors, but also access to the world as a landmark that attracts thousands if not millions throughout the year of foreign travelers who come to Los Angeles and seek places to visit that are in part landmakerw thanks to films in part. You should be increasing staff and programs by providing more funding and not doing cuts. This landmark and institution should be raised up and given more not less. Please be smart about this. Best, Sonia

Communication from Public

Name: Mary Catalano

Date Submitted: 05/03/2024 02:35 PM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: Regarding cutting funding for LAAS would be a disaster especially since LOW COST OR free SPAY AND NEUTER WOULD SAVE LIVES THIS IS WHERE FUNDS SHOULD GO TO FIX THE PROBLEM and the public WANTS this

Communication from Public

Name: Richard O'Bryan

Date Submitted: 05/03/2024 04:15 PM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: Council File Number 24-600, I'm asking you to please support additional funding for Los Angeles Animal Services - LAAS. These innocent, wonderful animals need our help and they in turn give back so much to those who adopt them, i.e. families, children, the elderly, and all who are uplifted by their presence. You yourself know the value from your own experiences with pets! Thank you, Richard O'Bryan

Communication from Public

Name: Mary Hernandez

Date Submitted: 05/03/2024 04:51 PM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: Hello, I'm writing today to comment on the proposed budget cuts for our parks. As a tax-paying, voting, park fan of Los Angeles, I urge you to not cut funding to our Parks! Angelinos of all ages, races, and economic statuses enjoy our parks, and cutting funding to our parks is not a good idea. Funding our parks offers the people of our city a multitude of benefits - Environmental - More trees and green spaces keep our air filtered, Mental Health - Equitable access to nature improves the mental health of everyone participating, Economic - Parks provide low-cost options to families in need of spaces to gather. Small street vendors utilize parks as a place to sell their goods to families enjoying the facilities. If you cut funding and let parks fall into disrepair families will not feel safe and parks will be left to those struggling to survive with no human interaction or connection to the community. Please do not cut funding to our parks!

Communication from Public

Name: Donna Simms, MA

Date Submitted: 05/03/2024 06:15 PM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: I Support the bill "SB 1459" IF it is Applying ONLY to Feral Cats, but Strongly Oppose the bill - Unless it is Amended to Apply ONLY to Feral Cats, and NOT to Non-Feral (Tame) Cats - most of which are "Pets" and/or "Adoptable" Cats. It is NOT Okay to Abandon Non-Feral Cats! FYI: Non-Feral Cats Do Not know how to Survive Outside and will likely Die - If "Dumped"/"Released" Outside. Thank you for your Consideration. My Spouse and I are Registered Voters in Los Angeles County.

Communication from Public

Name: Dennis Lyday

Date Submitted: 05/03/2024 06:28 PM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: Please support Animal Services. They desperately need more funding. Far too many animals are being put to death in our shelters simply because they lack the resources to care for all that are brought to them.

Communication from Public

Name: Hayley Stansell

Date Submitted: 05/04/2024 09:12 AM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to express support for increasing, not decreasing, support for LA City parks. It is embarrassing that cities like LA and NYC spend so little on green spaces (e.g. NYC around 1% of the budget) given green spaces combat mental illness, physical ailments, loss of biodiversity, loss of water quality, and climate change impacts.

Communication from Public

Name: Sarah Armstrong

Date Submitted: 05/04/2024 11:51 AM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: May 3, 2024 The Honorable Budget, Finance and Innovation Committee 200 N. Spring Street, Room 360 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Re: Restoration of the Budget Monies for the DCR's Social Equity Program – Council File: 24-600 Dear Honorable Members of the Budget, Finance and Innovation Committee: Americans for Safe Access (ASA) chapters and representatives in the County of Los Angeles, urge the restoration of budget allocations for the Department of Cannabis Regulation, (the DCR) relating to its Social Equity Programs and Staff. We concur with Executive Director Michelle Garakian's letter to you of April 23, 2024. Our organization believes that if the cuts to the Social Equity Program and staff are allowed to stand, it will deeply cripple what is currently one of the largest Social Equity Programs in the country and one which has pioneered important programs and services. To create a helpful, groundbreaking program then make it a victim of death by a thousand cuts, which is the process this initial withholding begins, is a cruel recission of the City's promise to usher in a historic course correction which directly benefits a group who have been left behind in the City's newest economic revolution, the Cannabis Industry. From a purely economic perspective, cutting Social Equity Programs and staff is shortsighted, since every successful Social Equity Business is a perpetual source of taxation, jobs, and other benefits to the City. More importantly, maintaining Social Equity Programs and staff, addresses historic inequities in a way legislative bodies rarely can. Medical Cannabis Patients need diversity of ownership in the Cannabis Marketplace. They face cultural and other barriers to safe access of Medical Cannabis, which are best addressed by a strong Social Equity Program. Please restore the funding the DCR needs to continue moving forward with this historic program. Americans for Safe Access (ASA) was founded in 2002. With over 150,000 active supporters in all 50 states, ASA is the oldest, largest national member-based organization of patients, medical professionals, scientists and concerned citizens promoting safe and legal access to cannabis for therapeutic use and research. If you have any questions or concerns please reach out to us at (805) 279-8229 or canwonk@gmail.com Sincerely, Sarah Armstrong JD Outreach Chair Los Angeles and Ventura Counties Americans for Safe Access Cc: The Honorable Members of The Los Angeles

City Council Los Angeles City Council Staff Assigned to the
Cannabis Issue Ms. Michelle Garakian Dr. Imani Brown



May 3, 2024

The Honorable Budget, Finance and Innovation Committee
200 N. Spring Street, Room 360
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Restoration of the Budget Monies for the DCR's Social Equity Program – Council File: 24-600

Dear Honorable Members of the Budget, Finance and Innovation Committee:

Americans for Safe Access (ASA) chapters and representatives in the County of Los Angeles, urge the restoration of budget allocations for the Department of Cannabis Regulation, (the DCR) relating to its Social Equity Programs and Staff. We concur with Executive Director Michelle Garakian's letter to you of April 23, 2024.

Our organization believes that if the cuts to the Social Equity Program and staff are allowed to stand, it will deeply cripple what is currently one of the largest Social Equity Programs in the country and one which has pioneered important programs and services.

To create a helpful, groundbreaking program then make it a victim of death by a thousand cuts, which is the process this initial withholding begins, is a cruel recission of the City's promise to usher in a historic course correction which directly benefits a group who have been left behind in the City's newest economic revolution, the Cannabis Industry.

From a purely economic perspective, cutting Social Equity Programs and staff is shortsighted, since every successful Social Equity Business is a perpetual source of taxation, jobs, and other benefits to the City. More importantly, maintaining Social Equity Programs and staff, addresses historic inequities in a way legislative bodies rarely can.

Medical Cannabis Patients need diversity of ownership in the Cannabis Marketplace. They face cultural and other barriers to safe access of Medical Cannabis, which are best addressed by a strong Social Equity Program. Please restore the funding the DCR needs to continue moving forward with this historic program.

Americans for Safe Access (ASA) was founded in 2002. With over 150,000 active supporters in all 50 states, ASA is the oldest, largest national member-based organization of patients, medical professionals, scientists and concerned citizens promoting safe and legal access to cannabis for therapeutic use and research. If you have any questions or concerns please reach out to us at (805) 279-8229 or canwonk@gmail.com

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Sarah", is written over the word "Sincerely,".

Sarah Armstrong JD
Outreach Chair Los Angeles and Ventura Counties
Americans for Safe Access

Cc: The Honorable Members of The Los Angeles City Council
Los Angeles City Council Staff Assigned to the Cannabis Issue
Ms. Michelle Garakian
Dr. Imani Brown

Communication from Public

Name: Laura

Date Submitted: 05/05/2024 04:34 PM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: L.A. Animal Services' budget CANNOT be cut – it must be INCREASED!! Surely, each of you in City Council has seen and heard the ever-increasing horrific news reports about L.A. Animal Services shelters. The shelters claim “no-kill,” but that claim is based on animal cruelty caused by L.A. Animal Services. In turn, the animal cruelty is the direct result of City Council’s failure to adequately fund L.A. Animal Services. DOG CRUELTY DUE TO UNDER-FUNDING: Dogs are so overcrowded that they are being inhumanely warehoused, stuck too many in single cages, stacked on top of each other, and driven cage crazy with no realistic hope of adoption. THAT IS CRUELTY. Your shelters’ dogs are subjected to constant misery from the overcrowding. Why? Because L.A. Animal Services wants to CLAIM A SHAM “NO-KILL” STATUS without City Council providing the necessary funding to actually achieve a legitimate no-kill. CAT CRUELTY DUE TO UNDER-FUNDING: For cats, the Los Angeles city shelters’ cruel tactic is even more inhumane. Instead of warehousing cats to avoid killing them, YOUR SHELTERS ARE NOW REJECTING MOST CATS THE PUBLIC TRIES TO MPOUND. When people call or bring stray cats to the shelter to find an owner, your “shelters” now send them away, TELLING RESIDENTS TO DUMP THE CATS OUTSIDE Result? Friendly, adoptable, NON-feral cats are denied an opportunity to get a home or rescued, and instead get dumped on city streets – house cats without survival skills, condemned by L.A. Animal Services to struggle then die, suffering from starvation, dehydration, being killed and eaten by a coyote or other predator or any of the other deadly hazards facing NON-feral cats dumped outside. THAT IS CRUELTY. L.A. Animal Services is now ADVISING the public to commit the crime of animal abandonment. Residents’ tax dollars paid to help cats are being mis-used, for something else unknown. The city-wide cat-dumping cruelty is also creating SERIOUS PUBLIC HEALTH, NUISANCE AND TRAFFIC HAZARDS, as well as ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS, due to SO MANY MORE CATS BEING DUMPED OUTSIDE AT L.A. ANIMAL SERVICES' BEHEST. All this cruelty, to DECEIVE THE PUBLIC into thinking L.A. Animal Services deserves “no-kill” status, WITHOUT CITYI COUNCIL PROVIDING THE

NEEDED FUNDING TO STOP KILLING WITHOUT having to resort to INHUMANELY WAREHOUSING DOGS and causing CITY-WIDE CAT DUMPING. The ONLY solution is to INCREASE L.A. Animal Services funding! For shelter operations, yes. Even more important is to SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASE THE SPAY/NEUTER BUDGET, because that is the ONLY way to ever truly, legitimately get control of the overpopulation and stop both the killing, and the horrendously inhumane dog warehousing and cat dumping L.A. Animal Services has now resorted to on a large-scale basis. Because litters quickly increase exponentially, denying adequate funding causes a never-ending need for more and more tax dollars for more shelter care, and more negative publicity about the shelters, and about you as the city leaders with the purse strings. If you, Councilmembers, continue this severe under-funding, you are FORCING YOUR SHELTERS to either continue the CRUELTY AND THE SHAM claim of no-kill, or admit your failure and START KILLING COUNTLESS MORE adoptable and treatable animals. Side note: Since L.A. Animal Services is telling the public to dump stray cats instead of impounding them, the portion of the L.A. Animal Services budget currently for stray cat care and adoption/rescue services MUST be redirected strictly to spay/neuter.

Communication from Public

Name: Rick Posten

Date Submitted: 05/06/2024 03:40 AM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: As a lifelong resident of LA County with years of experience in animal rescue, I strongly urge support for additional funding for Los Angeles Animal Services. The need is always great and there is a very real relationship between compassion for animals and for people. One way to gain insight into a society is to look at the animals. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Eri Yamagata

Date Submitted: 05/06/2024 09:30 AM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Whom It May Concern, I am a landscape architect working in the city of Los Angeles and submitting this comment for the LA City Budget Committee to support the LA City Department of Recreation and Parks. We oppose imminent staffing cuts and \$10M reduction in the part-time positions and urge you to restore a minimum of 80 staff positions for the Recreation and Parks. As you know, full-time positions at Recreation and Parks support recreation programming, new park planning, and maintenance staff, all of which are critical for maintaining the well-being of LA City parks and ensuring they remain accessible and well-maintained. The recreation staff positions provide essential services to communities across the city. Neighborhood parks provide environmental and health benefits to the surrounding community improving water and air quality of the area. Parks and open spaces also serve as vital social spaces connecting diverse communities. Parks are crucial accessible public space for the minority and low-income community especially in the areas with scarcity of natural open spaces and tree canopy coverage that affects the higher health risk of exposure to air pollution compared to wealthier neighborhoods in the city. The additional proposed \$10M cut in part-time recreation and programming positions will only exacerbate inequities in LA's park system. Part-time recreation and parks staff play a critical role in operating community centers, providing lifeguards for swimming pools, and maintaining park restrooms. Without these positions, the department will need to reduce hours and services provided to communities, where the recreation center often serves as the only safe space for children and families. I often go to public parks like Griffith Observatory, Vista Hermosa Park, Lafayette Park, Echo Park and California State Historic Park and I see the importance of having staff in parks so people can enjoy the parks as a social space where communities come together. Park spaces needs care and stewardship programming also part of community programming that we learn about plants and sustainable practices. Parks spaces play vital role in our neighborhoods and it is crucial to have staff and budget to maintain the operation. Lastly, Los Angeles is a world class city with a Parks Department that over the years has continued to be cut every time there is a budget shortfall. It is imperative that this administration understand that

parks are not a 'nice to have.' They are not an extra. Parks and publicly accessible open space is a human right, it is an essential need for the overall health and well-being of our city. Any additional cuts to a department that is already working with limited staff and resources would be a catastrophic blow to the foundation of our city. I urge you to reconsider these proposed cuts and restore Recreation & Parks budget and staffing. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Robin Mark

Date Submitted: 05/06/2024 04:19 PM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: To Whom It May Concern, I have been working in the public park space for over a decade, partnering with the City of Los Angeles, Department of Recreation and Parks, community residents, and non-profit leaders to build more park space in this great city. I am now, as I have done many times before, submitting this comment for the LA City Budget Committee to support the LA City Department of Recreation and Parks and strongly oppose the imminent staffing cuts and \$10M reduction in the part-time positions planned for the department. I urge you to restore a minimum of 80 staff positions for the Recreation and Parks. As you know, full-time positions at Recreation and Parks support recreation programming, new park planning, and maintenance staff, all of which are critical for maintaining the well-being of LA City parks and ensuring they remain accessible and well-maintained. The recreation staff positions proposed in the budget cuts provide essential services to communities across the city. Why would we cut them now, when they are so desperately needed? Neighborhood parks provide environmental and health benefits to the surrounding community improving water and air quality of the area. Parks and open spaces also serve as vital social spaces connecting diverse communities. Parks are crucial accessible public space for the minority and low-income community especially in the areas with scarcity of natural open spaces and tree canopy coverage that affects the higher health risk of exposure to air pollution compared to wealthier neighborhoods in the city. The additional proposed \$10M cut in part-time recreation and programming positions will only exacerbate inequities in LA's park system. Part-time recreation and parks staff play a critical role in operating community centers, providing lifeguards for swimming pools, and maintaining park restrooms. Without these positions, the department will need to reduce hours and services provided to communities, where the recreation center often serves as the only safe space for children and families. As mentioned earlier, I have been working in the public park space for over a decade and this issue of budget cuts for city parks happens almost every budget season. City parks are an essential element of a healthy, thriving city. Our parks department is already strained with limited staff, dwindling resources, and billions in deferred maintenance. Cutting these critical positions

from the RAP budget will only exacerbate the problems we see in our public parks today. This council must understand that public parks are not a 'nice to have.' They are not an extra. Parks and publicly accessible open space is a human right, it is an essential need for the overall health and well-being of our city. Any additional cuts to a department that is already working with limited staff and resources would be a catastrophic blow to the foundation of our city. I urge you to reconsider these proposed cuts and restore Recreation & Parks budget and staffing. Thank you. Robin Mark

Communication from Public

Name: Jack Humphreville
Date Submitted: 05/06/2024 07:32 PM
Council File No: 24-0600
Comments for Public Posting: Sanitation's Booty Call

Sanitation's Booty Call

JACK HUMPHREVILLE

MAY 06 2024



LA WATCHDOG - In 2011, the City Council approved a 10 year, 77% increase in our Sewer Service Charge in a process that took over a year. At that time, the Bureau of Sanitation and the Board of Public Works indicated that they would reach out to Ratepayers and Neighborhood Councils with periodic updates. But this transparency and openness never happened.

Now, 13 years later, Sanitation is appearing on our doorstep and proposing a doubling of the Sewer Service Charge over the next four years, including a 22% increase on October 1. Sanitation is in a rush to implement this \$700 million rate increase since it has not had a rate increase since July of 2020. As such, it has scheduled a meeting with the Energy and Environment Committee for Friday, May 10th.

But not so fast. We need time. We are talking about a four-year, \$700 million rate increase (20% a year) by an opaque and unresponsive enterprise that must be thoroughly vetted without undue time pressures.

In Sanitation's defense, the enterprise has not had a rate increase in four years (2021-24), in large part because of Mayor Garcetti's willingness to kick the can down the road rather than risk political capital to support a customary rate review.

While Sanitation provided the Energy and Environment Committee with a [48-page report](#) on May 2nd, it is difficult to understand, especially the dense 33-page Wastewater Cost of Service Study prepared by Black & Veatch, a nationally recognized consulting firm.

We need information: audited financial statements for the past five years; multiyear projections; and a description of the Sewer and Maintenance Construction Fund and its efficiency, mission, and strategic plan. We also need to hear from the operating, financial, and engineering management teams.

The City Council and Ratepayers also need an independent opinion on the merits of this rate increase. And in the interest of openness and transparency, there should be City wide informational meetings before a decision is reached.

These are necessary because Angelenos have very little trust and confidence in City Hall given the "fiscal mess" caused by the budget busting labor contracts, the sorry condition of our infrastructure (streets, sidewalks, and parks), and the systematic corruption.

At a recent meeting of the Budget and Finance Committee, Sanitation used scare tactics, implying that Sanitation will be in default on its \$2.5 billion of debt if this rate increase is not approved in a timely manner. This is baloney.

An alternative is to approve a one-time 18% rate increase, equal to the four-year rate of inflation according to Sanitation. This will give the City Council and Ratepayers the opportunity and time to conduct a long overdue review of Sanitation, its Sewer and Construction and Maintenance Fund, and the proposed \$700 million rate increase.

<https://www.citywatchla.com/la-watchdog/28850-sanitations-booty-call>

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 05/06/2024 07:41 PM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: Please accept this letter of support for maintaining all 8 positions at EWDD.



May 6, 2024
Los Angeles City Hall
200 N. Spring Street, Room 450
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Elimination of 8 positions at EWDD

Dear Honorable Los Angeles City Council Members,

The Stylist Way respectfully requests that the **City Council maintain funding for services and programs for our families, youth, and elders, like workforce development programs for garment industry businesses and low-wage workers.** The City should prioritize its funds for community resources.

We support the Garment and Fashion Industry Program being developed at the City through a special task force created by Council District 1. Garment worker members at the Garment Worker Center and the business coalition, Responsible Apparel Manufacturing Collective, aim to address various challenges faced by garment workers and businesses in Los Angeles, with the overarching goal of fostering a fair and sustainable garment industry ecosystem. It is critically important to take action urgently to protect and preserve garment manufacturing jobs in Los Angeles, particularly in light of the city's DTLA 2040 Community Plan, which poses a significant threat of displacing the entire garment industry, a vital economic sector providing jobs and livelihoods for thousands of workers. By advocating for initiatives that prioritize the retention and support of garment manufacturing businesses, we can preserve these essential jobs, maintain the cultural and economic fabric of our communities, and ensure the continued viability of the garment industry in Los Angeles.

For the past six months, Garment Worker Center, local ethical and sustainable businesses, property owners including the Fashion District BID, Council District 1, Council District 14, multiple city departments, and representatives of LA County have come together to reimagine the local garment manufacturing landscape through a task force. The task force's recommendations rely on open positions at the Economic and Workforce Development Department (EWDD). These recommendations are timely and important to the implementation of the Garment and Fashion Industry Program. **All 8 positions at EWDD slated for elimination should be kept in order to grow programs such as the Garment and Fashion Industry Program as well as to support our local economy and labor force.** This task force aims to create:

- 1) A new position dedicated to economic development and real estate, assisting emerging and existing businesses to thrive and remain located in LA as well as to attract new businesses to LA in the garment and fashion industry.**

2) A new position dedicated to Workforce development to support upskilling and other support for workers in the garment industry.

3) A WorkSource Center and Business Source Center pop up in the fashion industry to provide support to workers and businesses.

The Stylist Way recognizes the importance of these initiatives as they align with the values of promoting fair labor practices, sustainability, and ethical manufacturing. By investing in workforce development and providing resources to support compliant businesses, the task force aims to enhance the competitiveness of the garment industry based on quality, efficiency, and sustainability, while ensuring fair treatment of workers.

The workers and businesses participating in the task force are recommending that the City:

- Ensure garment manufacturers have the necessary space for their business to succeed and ensure workers can access jobs.
- Promote the High Road of Made in LA Garments.
- Support workforce development programs for the garment industry, including training in upskilling and advanced techniques.
- Provide access to incentives tied to workplace standards to create a fair environment and bolster the sector, rather than fostering wage exploitation.
- Provide access to educational resources needed to run a successful and compliant garment business.

We urge the Los Angeles City Council to prioritize the retention and support of garment manufacturing jobs by maintaining funding for vital services and programs, including the Garment and Fashion Industry Program. The City should maintain all 8 positions at EWDD otherwise it threatens the implementation of critical initiatives aimed at fostering a fair and sustainable garment industry ecosystem. Vulnerable Angelenos shouldn't pay the price for the City's fiscal irresponsibility, which includes \$20 million for police vehicles while gutting programs that support the community. We look forward to working with you on these important initiatives.

Sincerely,
JeLisa Marshall
Owner
The Stylist Way

Communication from Public

Name: Jonathon Contreras

Date Submitted: 05/06/2024 07:48 PM

Council File No: 24-0600

Comments for Public Posting: I would like to submit a public comment for city council of Los Angeles. I am a resident of Orange county, however I feel that cutting the funding to the parks of Los Angeles will impacat everyone who visits the parks. Furthermore lack of funding could lead to harm of the ecosystem that is provided by the parks. These environmental impacts know no city limits. Thank you for your time.