



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 24-0602

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
 Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
 To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org
 Cc: stuartweiss@soronc.org

Fri, Sep 20, 2024 at 1:18 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: South Robertson

Name: Stuart Weiss

Email: stuartweiss@soronc.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(12) Nay(1) Abstain(2) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 09/19/2024

Type of NC Board Action: Against

Impact Information

Date: 09/20/2024

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 24-0602

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date: 09/19/2024

Item Number:

Summary: The South Robertson Neighborhoods Council (SORO NC) very strongly objects to this motion as written, but would support a motion that ONLY requests an honest and unbiased CAO report. The SORO NC notes that the council file calls for action on the assumption that the CAO report has already been written and supports one position. We believe that the report must start from a neutral point of view and come to a factual conclusion that has not been predetermined. The report should consider all health reasons as to whether to continue or discontinue use of the substances. The report

should consider the economic effects of continuing or discontinuing use of the substances. The report should consider the impact on the LADWP's ability to deliver sufficient water in a drought environment. If the report determines that the benefits of eliminating these should be considered, then the LADWP must indicate whether we have sufficient water resources to implement that change. If there will be a significant impact on the LADWP having to finance large scale projects to deliver such water, then there should be a report to estimate the cost of the implementation to the ratepayers. The report should also consider the synthetic turf industry's potential to reformulate their product to eliminate PFAS. This may be an opportunity for the City of Los Angeles to work WITH the industry to develop solutions to make their own products safer for humans and the environment. The report should also consider the historical impact and results of previous bans, as they have often led to the use of other products that were also eventually banned. The report should also consider research and development into a State mandated recycling program for synthetic turf (which CAN be recycled) along with other similar products. The SORO NC very strongly objects to this motion as written, but would support a motion that ONLY requests an honest and unbiased CAO report.

Ref:MSG11134518