



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 24-0602

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

Thu, Dec 12, 2024 at 3:25 PM

Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, CEMC@lacity.org, RAP.Commissioners@lacity.org

Cc: jamiey@resedacouncil.org

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Reseda

Name: Jamie York

Email: jamiey@resedacouncil.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(12) Nay(1) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 12/09/2024

Type of NC Board Action: For if Amended

Impact Information

Date: 12/12/2024

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees, Climate Emergency Mobilization Commission, Board of Recreation and Park Commissioners

Council File Number: 24-0602

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: The Reseda Neighborhood Council supports the motion in CF 24-0602 if amended to begin a transition away from artificial turf. The Reseda Neighborhood Council supports a ban on artificial turf in both public and private spaces due to the consequences of artificial turf installations in Los Angeles. Now that California's SB 676 has been signed into law, cities across the state are considering banning artificial turf, and the City of Los Angeles has an opportunity to be a

leader in this area. Artificial turf has been a distraction from our environmental goals of making more sustainable landscaping choices. There are several cost efficient alternatives to artificial turf such as native plants and grasses. False promises of water savings have come instead with great expense, in addition to increased urban heat island effect; loss of water permeability; toxic runoff pollution of PFAS and other toxic chemicals into our drinking and other water supplies; increased microplastics in our lakes, rivers, and ocean; sports injuries; and devastating loss of urban habitat during the greatest biodiversity crisis in human history. Also, artificial turf is a petroleum-based product at a time when we need to be moving away from fossil fuels.

Ref:MSG11567072

 **24-0602 artificial turf CIS for Reseda NC final.pdf**

67K



Council File [24-0602](#)

Synthetic Grass / Artificial Turf / Polyflouroalkyl Substances (PFAS) / Forever Chemicals /
Health and Environmental Risks / Drought-Friendly Landscaping

Support if amended

The Reseda Neighborhood Council supports the motion in [CF 24-0602](#) if amended to begin a transition away from artificial turf. The Reseda Neighborhood Council supports a ban on artificial turf in both public and private spaces due to the consequences of artificial turf installations in Los Angeles. Now that [California's SB 676](#) has been signed into law, cities across the state are considering banning artificial turf, and the City of Los Angeles has an opportunity to be a leader in this area. Artificial turf has been a distraction from our environmental goals of making more sustainable landscaping choices. There are several cost efficient alternatives to artificial turf such as native plants and grasses. False promises of water savings have come instead with great expense, in addition to increased urban heat island effect; loss of water permeability; toxic runoff pollution of PFAS and other toxic chemicals into our drinking and other water supplies; increased microplastics in our lakes, rivers, and ocean; sports injuries; and devastating loss of urban habitat during the greatest biodiversity crisis in human history. Also, artificial turf is a petroleum-based product at a time when we need to be moving away from fossil fuels.

This community impact statement was passed in a meeting compliant with the Brown Act on December 9, 2024 with a vote of 12 yes, 1 no, 0 abstain, and 0 recused