

Communication from Public

Name: Doug Ross

Date Submitted: 07/30/2025 09:50 AM

Council File No: 24-0711-S1

Comments for Public Posting: Good morning.? At the?June?26?hearing I was?shocked?when attorney? Robert Glushon, attorney for the appellant, opened by admonishing the Commission for “not giving him ample time to be heard”—and added that he would “save” his performance?standards argument in case Council took jurisdiction under Charter powers.?Just hours later, Councilmember?McOsker filed this §?245 motion parroting that exact complaint.?That timing shows a co?ordinated fallback plan after losing on the merits. But Charter?§?245 applies only to discretionary actions.?The Commission’s ruling—our third ruling —confirmed the project is ministerial and by?right, leaving nothing for Council to veto.? Meanwhile, Councilmember?McOsker has spent a year vilifying the developer, inserting false claims into motions, and having even having staff circulate an anti?park petition that he later thanked signers for on social media—clear, documented bias. Pulling a ministerial permit into this chamber now looks like a play at yet another hearing or an attempt to sidestep—or worse, influence—ongoing ongoing litigation and judicial review, denying us due process and ballooning the City’s liability under the Permit Streamlining Act, takings law, and civil?rights statutes. Respect the Charter; vote NO on this motion. Sources Ask ChatGPT