

Communication from Public

Name: Nicole Paulsen

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 01:42 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: Please see the attached letter for your consideration and review.
Thank you.

Nicole Paulsen Kahane

Green Meadows West, Harbor City, CA 90710

November 15, 2025

Via Electronic Delivery

Los Angeles City Council
PLUM Committee
200 N. Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

**Re: Public Comment for Council File Number 24-0711-S2
Veto & Remand CPC's Decision Related to Concerned
Residents of Green Meadows West Appeal
Case: DIR-2024-7352-BSA-1A
Related Case: DIR-2024-7352-BSA**

Dear Honorable Councilmembers:

I am a resident of Green Meadows West, and I am submitting this comment to strongly urge the Council to veto and remand the City Planning Commission's decision regarding the proposed RV Park development. The recent passage of Ordinance No. 188753 makes it clear that such projects pose immediate threats to public health, safety, and welfare, and should not proceed under the current inadequate regulatory framework.

As outlined in the Ordinance's Recitals and Findings, RV Parks are inherently transient uses that introduce significant impacts inconsistent with the character and infrastructure of established residential neighborhoods like Green Meadows West. These impacts include increased noise, intrusive lighting, heavy vehicle traffic, parking overflow, dust, and circulation hazards—all of which directly undermine pedestrian safety and the stability of nearby family-oriented communities.

The CPC's partial denial and approval of our neighborhood's appeal fails to account for these recognized threats. Our neighborhood's appeal raised substantial issues regarding infrastructure strain, environmental impacts, and inconsistency with existing land use policies. These concerns remain unaddressed. It is also inconsistent with the City's own legislative determination that existing zoning and building codes do not adequately protect communities from the adverse effects of RV Parks. The urgency clause of Ordinance 188753 specifically acknowledges a current and immediate threat from the continued issuance of permits for such projects in residentially and commercially zoned areas.

November 15, 2025

Page 2

Given this recent legislative action, proceeding with this project would directly contradict both the intent and protective effect of the newly adopted ordinance. The CPC's decision should therefore be vetoed under Charter Section 245 and remanded for reconsideration, with direction to the Planning Department to suspend any further permits or approvals related to this or similar RV park projects until the necessary zoning, building, and fire code updates are completed.

The City has a clear duty to uphold its own findings regarding the risks posed by RV Park developments. Protecting the public peace, health, and safety requires that the City act consistently with Ordinance 188753 and not allow piecemeal exceptions that would undermine its stated purpose.

I respectfully urge the Council to stand with the residents of Green Meadows West and other affected neighborhoods by vetoing and remanding the CPC's decision to ensure that public safety and community integrity remain the City's top priorities.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and for protecting Los Angeles neighborhoods from incompatible and insufficiently regulated land uses.

Very truly yours,

NICOLE M. PAULSEN
Green Meadows West Resident

Communication from Public

Name: Peter Whang

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 08:08 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: This notice is in support of the motion that is currently being filed for the neighborhood community of Green Meadows West in Harbor City. I am a resident and also speaking on behalf of another family member who is also a resident. Along with the current action being filed against this developer and the pending issues surrounding the validity of the permit processing, this is a simple matter of the local government that is in charge of these types of approvals not understanding their local community. Approving a RV park in the middle of a neighborhood is absurd, even if there are loopholes that could potentially allow this, it should be corrected, and not allowed in any residential neighborhood community. The city can designate plenty of other isolated commercial land to accommodate this type of property/use; and there are examples everywhere in CA that have these RVs parked, illegally, and local jurisdiction rarely correct clean up of these gatherings of RVs that are parked illegally, and they are an absolute nuisance to a community. This developer is gaming the system, and likely utilizing loopholes and/or questionable tactics (albeit allowed), but this is not how this permit can be allowed. Any city official can drive by a location to determine whether or not this type of commercial use should be allowed. This is a failed processing by city representatives that need to be corrected immediately. I understand this type of use is a necessity, and unfortunately in this state, with it's housing affordability issues, solutions are needed, but placing these types of commercial use, in residential neighborhoods is not the solution. There are numerous other options and locations that should be considered for this type of use, but approving this use in residential neighborhoods cannot be allowed.