

Communication from Public

Name: Stephenie Magbitang

Date Submitted: 11/28/2025 06:49 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I OPPOSE the Silver RV Park project because the City Planning Commission found that the developer failed to comply with 3 of the 12 mandatory performance standards under LACMC 14.00 A.7. Projects that do not meet these standards are required to through a Conditonal Use Permit process with proper review. This project presents safety concerns and must not move forward without full oversight.

Communication from Public

Name: Danilo Magbitang

Date Submitted: 11/28/2025 06:51 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I OPPOSE the Silver RV Park project because the City recently passed an Interim Control Ordinance halting RV Park permitting due to safety issues and lack of regulation. Allowing this project to move forward despite the ICO would create inconsistent policy and jeopardize public welfare.

Communication from Public

Name: Alexis Wyatt

Date Submitted: 11/28/2025 06:57 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I OPPOSE the Silver RV Park project because it has not met the required performance standards (it has failed 3 out of 12 performance standards), raises serious safety concerns (drugs, homeless encampments, theft, vagrants), and should not be approved due to its placement in a residential neighborhood.

Communication from Public

Name: Sara Leon

Date Submitted: 11/28/2025 07:08 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the issuance of permits for the Silver RV Park because the project was built out of compliance, with structures built inside the required buffer zones. This has already required demolition of a wall, erecting of new fencing, and utility structures/garbage enclosures are still in the buffer which will need removed and redone. These issues demonstrate that the project cannot be considered ministerial and should be remanded for proper review.

Communication from Public

Name: Miguel Figueroa

Date Submitted: 11/28/2025 07:12 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the Silver RV Park project because the City Planning Commission found that the developer failed to comply with 3 of the 12 mandatory performance standards under LAMC 14.00 A.7. Projects that do not meet these standards are required to go through a Conditional Use Permit process with proper review. This project presents safety concerns and must not move forward without full oversight.

Communication from Public

Name: BRIAN

Date Submitted: 11/28/2025 08:57 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the Silver RV Park project because the City Planning Commission found that the developer failed to comply with 3 of the 12 mandatory performance standards under LAMC 14.00 A.7. Projects that do not meet these standards are required to go through a Conditional Use Permit process with proper review. This project presents safety concerns and must not move forward without full oversight.”

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/28/2025 09:22 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: “I oppose the project because the City recently passed an Interim Control Ordinance halting RV Park permitting due to safety issues and lack of regulation. Allowing this one project to move forward despite the ICO would create inconsistent policy and jeopardize public welfare.”

Communication from Public

Name: Francisco Polizzi

Date Submitted: 11/28/2025 09:56 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the Silver RV Park project because the City Planning Commission found that the developer failed to comply with 3 of the 12 mandatory performance standards under LAMC 14.00 A.7. Projects that do not meet these standards are required to go through a Conditional Use Permit process with proper review. This project presents safety concerns and must not move forward without full oversight. "I oppose the issuance of permits for the Silver RV Park because the project was built out of compliance, with structures built inside the required buffer zones. This has already required demolition of a wall, erecting of new fencing, and utility structures/garbage enclosures are still in the buffer which will need removed and redone. These issues demonstrate that the project cannot be considered ministerial and should be remanded for proper review. I oppose the project because the City recently passed an Interim Control Ordinance halting RV Park permitting due to safety issues and lack of regulation. Allowing this one project to move forward despite the ICO would create inconsistent policy and jeopardize public welfare. I oppose this RV Park project because it has not met required performance standards, raises safety concerns, and should not be approved without proper review.

Communication from Public

Name: Rosa E Polizzi

Date Submitted: 11/28/2025 09:58 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the Silver RV Park project because the City Planning Commission found that the developer failed to comply with 3 of the 12 mandatory performance standards under LAMC 14.00 A.7. Projects that do not meet these standards are required to go through a Conditional Use Permit process with proper review. This project presents safety concerns and must not move forward without full oversight. "I oppose the issuance of permits for the Silver RV Park because the project was built out of compliance, with structures built inside the required buffer zones. This has already required demolition of a wall, erecting of new fencing, and utility structures/garbage enclosures are still in the buffer which will need removed and redone. These issues demonstrate that the project cannot be considered ministerial and should be remanded for proper review. I oppose the project because the City recently passed an Interim Control Ordinance halting RV Park permitting due to safety issues and lack of regulation. Allowing this one project to move forward despite the ICO would create inconsistent policy and jeopardize public welfare. I oppose this RV Park project because it has not met required performance standards, raises safety concerns, and should not be approved without proper review.

Communication from Public

Name: Sofia Polizzi

Date Submitted: 11/28/2025 10:03 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the Silver RV Park project because the City Planning Commission found that the developer failed to comply with 3 of the 12 mandatory performance standards under LAMC 14.00 A.7. Projects that do not meet these standards are required to go through a Conditional Use Permit process with proper review. This project presents safety concerns and must not move forward without full oversight. "I oppose the issuance of permits for the Silver RV Park because the project was built out of compliance, with structures built inside the required buffer zones. This has already required demolition of a wall, erecting of new fencing, and utility structures/garbage enclosures are still in the buffer which will need removed and redone. These issues demonstrate that the project cannot be considered ministerial and should be remanded for proper review. I oppose the project because the City recently passed an Interim Control Ordinance halting RV Park permitting due to safety issues and lack of regulation. Allowing this one project to move forward despite the ICO would create inconsistent policy and jeopardize public welfare. I oppose this RV Park project because it has not met required performance standards, raises safety concerns, and should not be approved without proper review.

Communication from Public

Name: Arthur Hazboun
Date Submitted: 11/28/2025 10:41 PM
Council File No: 24-0711-S2
Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the Silver RV Park project because the City Planning Commission found that the developer failed to comply with 3 of the 12 mandatory performance standards under LAMC 14.00 A.7. Projects that do not meet these standards are required to go through a Conditional Use Permit process with proper review. This project presents safety concerns and must not move forward without full oversight.

Communication from Public

Name: Virgil De Los Santos

Date Submitted: 11/28/2025 10:42 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose this RV Park project because it has not met required performance standards, raises safety concerns, and should not be approved without proper review.