

Communication from Public

Name: Linda Jorgensen

Date Submitted: 12/01/2025 07:56 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the Silver RV Park project because the City Planning Commission found that the developer failed to comply with 3 of the 12 mandatory performance standards under LAMC 14.00 A.7. Projects that do not meet these standards are required to go through a Conditional Use Permit process with proper review. This project presents safety concerns and must not move forward without full oversight!!!

Communication from Public

Name: Taylor

Date Submitted: 12/01/2025 08:15 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the issuance of permits for the Silver RV Park because the project was built out of compliance, with structures built inside the required buffer zones. This has already required demolition of a wall, erecting of new fencing, and utility structures/garbage enclosures are still in the buffer which will need removed and redone. These issues demonstrate that the project cannot be considered ministerial and should be remanded for proper review.

Communication from Public

Name: David Stoebner and Christopher Stone

Date Submitted: 11/29/2025 05:24 AM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: “I oppose the issuance of permits for the Silver RV Park because the project was built out of compliance, with structures built inside the required buffer zones. This has already required demolition of a wall, erection of new fencing, and utility structures/garbage enclosures are still in the buffer which will need removed and redone. These issues demonstrate that the project cannot be considered ministerial and should be remanded for proper review. We have owned our home in Green Meadows West since 1999, and don't want sub-standard, out-of-compliance housing in our neighborhood. ” David Stoebner and Christopher Stone

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 12/01/2025 09:31 AM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the Silver RV Park project because the City Planning Commission found that the developer failed to comply with 3 of the 12 mandatory performance standards under LAMC 14.00 A.7. Projects that do not meet these standards are required to go through a Conditional Use Permit process with proper review. This project presents safety concerns and must not move forward without full oversight.

Communication from Public

Name: Augusto Garces

Date Submitted: 11/30/2025 06:29 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the issuance of permits for the Silver RV Park because the project was built out of compliance, with structures built inside the required buffer zones. This has already required demolition of a wall, erecting of new fencing, and utility structures/garbage enclosures are still in the buffer which will need removed and redone. These issues demonstrate that the project cannot be considered ministerial and should be remanded for proper review.

Communication from Public

Name: Grant Williams

Date Submitted: 11/30/2025 08:32 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly oppose the Silver RV Park project because the City Planning Commission found that the developer failed to comply with 3 of the 12 mandatory performance standards under LAMC 14.00 A.7. Projects that do not meet these standards are required to go through a Conditional Use Permit process with proper review. This project presents safety concerns and must not move forward without full oversight.

Communication from Public

Name: judy dominguez

Date Submitted: 12/01/2025 03:31 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: My family and I have lived in Green Meadows West since it was build in 1970. This neighborhood has always been a quiet, peaceful and safe place to raise a family, but our beautiful neighborhood is now being threatened by the proposed opening of the Silver Oceans 11RV Park. This RV park has no place in a family neighborhood! We are vehemently OPPOSED to this project! We implore city council to uphold the City Planning Commission's ruling that the developer failed to meet mandatory standards under LAMC 14.00 A.7 and a CUP should be required for this project. This project should be stopped!!

Communication from Public

Name: Jennifer Spradlin

Date Submitted: 12/01/2025 10:22 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the issuance of permits for the Silver RV Park because the project was built out of compliance. Structures are built inside the required buffer zones. This has already required demolition of a wall, erecting of new fencing, and utility structures/garbage enclosures are still in the buffer which will need removed and redone. These issues demonstrate that the project cannot be considered ministerial and thus needs to go through the Conditional Use Permit process.

Communication from Public

Name: Joshua Spradlin

Date Submitted: 12/01/2025 10:30 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I'm an older teen who strongly opposes the Silver RV Park. I'm recently of voting age and I've lived in this neighborhood most of my childhood, and growing up I'd always been able to run, bike, and play without having to be concerned about potentially dangerous people being around. I fear that with the track record of the owner's previous establishments, this RV park will make the neighborhood unsafe, and I want other kids to grow up with the same privilege I had when I was younger.

Communication from Public

Name: Brian Spradlin

Date Submitted: 12/01/2025 10:30 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the Silver RV Park project because the City Planning Commission found that the developer failed to comply with 3 of the 12 mandatory performance standards under LAMC 14.00 A.7. Projects that do not meet these standards are required to go through a Conditional Use Permit process with proper review. This project is in the middle of a quiet neighborhood and is not adjacent to a major street. The lack of compliance presents safety concerns and must not move forward without full oversight.

Communication from Public

Name: Tom Kushimaejo

Date Submitted: 12/01/2025 11:14 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: My family, and now my wife and I have been residents of Green Meadows West for over 40 years. We respectfully oppose this RV project moving forward any further. Mr Silver has made a career of exploiting loopholes in building code and has selected our neighborhood as his next target. Not once since this ordeal began in 2024 has any member of his team engaged with residents to listen to our concerns and partner with us in building a safe and responsible business in our quiet community. His actions have really been the opposite, he has tried to take short cuts and fast track the opening as quickly as possible. To try and jam 46 RV's containing up to 10 individuals each, in a small lot which can only accommodate two single family residences is insane. This is an obvious fire safety hazard and not to mention built over a former oil drilling site where no environmental studies were performed to even determine if it was safe to develop for residential purposes. Given the current budget crisis in LA County, the last thing needed would be another massive lawsuit. We request that this project require a CUP so Mr Silver can start this process all over again, and do so the right way.

Communication from Public

Name: Steve

Date Submitted: 12/01/2025 11:23 PM

Council File No: 24-0711-S2

Comments for Public Posting: As a citizen of Los Angeles all my life, I am a bit dismayed at the city's uninterest in protecting all of its citizens. The city planning and building et al, have missed multiple zoning and other safety issues while approving the blue prints for this RV Project. The citizens of the community were the ones that did the deep research and discovered the errors that were previously approved. If not for the community, this project would have been completed with multiple violations that would not be addressed or ever corrected. It appears the city does not have the time or resources to verifying developers follow the approved plans or make the corrections when deficiencies are found. The developer has even admitted to the council that if there were any problems found, they would correct them. However, who in the city departments would even check if they are done as each department claims it is not them who verifies. Besides, if the developer says he is willing to fix the deficiencies why wouldn't he agree to a CUP. It's in everyone, including the developers best interest. We need a CUP to maintain project standards so that it is done correctly for everyone's benefit. Plus, if this project is sold, which is always a possibility, the new owner would need to comply as well. The citizens of the community, including those living in the RV's will then have recourse in case the owner, current or new, treats them and the property as a slumlord.