

Communication from Public

Name: Dave

Date Submitted: 10/10/2024 08:54 PM

Council File No: 24-0910

Comments for Public Posting: I heard about this Buffer-zone project. I think that this initiative is vital when it comes to people that seek healing or betterment, be it physical in a health clinic, educational in a school or spiritual in a church. These places must be safeguarded so they can continue their vital function to improve other's people lives. Thanks. Best,
Dave

Communication from Public

Name: Dave

Date Submitted: 10/10/2024 09:03 PM

Council File No: 24-0910

Comments for Public Posting: I heard about this Buffer zone project. I think this initiative is vital when it comes to people that seek healing or betterment, be it physical (in a hospital), educational (in a school) or spiritual (in a Church). Such institutions must be safeguarded so that they can continue their vital function to improve other's people lives.

Communication from Public

Name: Irena M.

Date Submitted: 10/10/2024 09:15 PM

Council File No: 24-0910

Comments for Public Posting: I am totally in favor of the idea of perimeter ordinance so that I can feel completely safe going to areas that I need to go to when I need help. This avoids hecklers who hang around at times at some entrances, yelling comments at me or filming me against my will.

Communication from Public

Name: Mr Tinkelenberg

Date Submitted: 10/10/2024 09:32 PM

Council File No: 24-0910

Comments for Public Posting: We definitely need such a bill to pass and become permanent. Indeed, we need to support and protect the people and institutions who in turn provide assistance to the community. Therefore I support the bill for protecting and ensuring safety for churches, synagogues, community centers or hospitals.

Communication from Public

Name: Peter

Date Submitted: 10/10/2024 10:01 PM

Council File No: 24-0910

Comments for Public Posting: I respect Free of speech and everything that stands for, but along with is must we have also similar rights to have no disrupting, downgrading or threatening communications or violence from protestors when we want to go to Church or Clinic. So I support this project. Peter

Communication from Public

Name: Doris Maya

Date Submitted: 10/10/2024 06:58 PM

Council File No: 24-0910

Comments for Public Posting: Please reject ALL of Katy Yaroslavsky and Bob Blumenfield's resolutions related to protests. First, because they are illegal. In this country, we are required to follow the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. 2. It will be rather costly (and shameful) for LA when class action lawsuits pile. 3. History shows it is impossible to genocide dissent in a Democracy. 4. By in large, these are punitive measures that would unduly suppress the rights of non-white people. More lawsuits. I urge you to reject these measures with a clear conscience. You will be revered as champions and defenders of Democracy. Isn't this why you became a politician in the first place? Thank you, Doris Maya

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 10/10/2024 05:56 PM

Council File No: 24-0910

Comments for Public Posting: This is a gross motion to prevent public protest outside of any place the police or officials choose. Freedom of speech and assembly are not only extremely important to preserve but are constitutional rights. More policing and restrictive laws are never correlated with safer communities on any metrics, this is a shameful attempt to entrench right wing and racist tactics to control the voices of Angelenos

Communication from Public

Name: HK

Date Submitted: 10/10/2024 05:56 PM

Council File No: 24-0910

Comments for Public Posting: I wholeheartedly oppose this restriction on the right to protest. As an anti-Zionist Jewish resident of Los Angeles, I am disappointed that false claims of antisemitism are being used to justify this; these claims are based on disinformation about the nature of protests at a synagogue, which was hosting a real estate event promoting the illegal settlement of Palestine, not religious activities (anti-Zionism is not the same as antisemitism). These restrictions do not make anyone safer, and simply restrict our first amendment rights.