

Communication from Public

Name: Peter B

Date Submitted: 10/30/2024 10:16 PM

Council File No: 24-0910

Comments for Public Posting: Concerning regulation to restrict protesters 100 feet from service facilities. I respect free speech and everything that it stands for – but along with it we must also have similar rights to not have to listen to disrupting, downgrading or threatening communication from those whose only goal is to restrict the rights of others. Everyone should have the right to improve their lives in ways they see fit, where they desire, without having other's negative opinions forced upon them. Thus I support the 100' boundary around the entrance and egress points of institutions that make that possible. Peter B

Communication from Public

Name: Jarret Rible

Date Submitted: 10/30/2024 10:54 PM

Council File No: 24-0910

Comments for Public Posting: It is vital that our citizens can access institutions for physical or spiritual improvement, without harassment. Anything that provides a safe environment for those who provide vital services to our community should be protected. Churches, schools, health clinics and such should not be impeded in performing their duties. While I am very much supportive of right of speech, it must not be used to take away freedom and rights from other citizens such as freedom of religion and right to education. These rights must be protected also. So this motion is a good and useful one, and I support it fully.