

## Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 24-1085-S1

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com> Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com> To: hkatchen@shermanoaksnc.org, Clerk.CIS@lacity.org

Wed, Nov 12, 2025 at 12:13 AM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enable by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter listed on the Commission's agenda, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Councils rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter not listed on the agenda, the designated . Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Sherman Oaks Name: Howard Katchen

Email: hkatchen@shermanoaksnc.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(9) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 11/10/2025 Type of NC Board Action: Against

Impact Information Date: 11/12/2025

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 24-1085-S1

City Planning Number: Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: The Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council (SONC) stands in firm opposition to transferring any funding from Account 0619 - Homeless Services Account to cover overtime for the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD). While the city remains under an active emergency order relating to homelessness, in the wake of revelations on gross mismanagement of funds by the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority, it's unconscionable that any funding would be transferred out of accounts dedicated toward alleviating the suffering of unhoused residents. The LAPD's budget accounts for 23.6% of the total City budget for Fiscal Year 2026; the largest operating budget for any city department or agency. The funding dedicated to housing and homelessness is a small fraction of what is already dedicated to the LAPD. If CD5 is in need of additional funding for police that cannot be accounted for within their current budget, Councilmember Yaraslovsky, and other Councilmembers supporting this motion, should find that funding within their own office's operational or discretionary budgets rather than removing funding from an account that should be utilized to address the ongoing crisis relating to homelessness.