

Communication from Public

Name: Estella S.

Date Submitted: 10/05/2024 09:50 PM

Council File No: 24-1097

Comments for Public Posting: Council File 24-1097 was presented along side Council File 24-1094 as Items 5) and 6) on the agenda for the 8:30 October 01, 2024 Personnel Audits and Hiring Committee meeting. I arrived at that meeting room 401 before 8:30AM and was present for the duration of the meeting until after public comment was held. ----- There was no quorum for this meeting. Tim McOsker was the only councilmember present and acknowledged there was no quorum. He stated that there was no requirement to take public comment. Yet he decided to take public comment regardless to "hear from folks". He moved the two Council Files "to Council" where he stated there would "Be a public hearing". He then called me up to speak for Public Comment. ----- When I went to speak he told me to "let me know which items you'll be speaking on". I told him I wanted to speak on Items 5) and 6) and then asked him if I could speak on General Public Comment After. He said that I could speak on items within jurisdiction of the committee. I then asked him how much time I would get total for my comment. The attorney stated "3 minutes" then Tim McOsker spoke over him claiming, "This is not a meeting, 2 minutes" I asked why I wouldn't get 60 seconds of General Comment. McOsker states "Its Not a Public Meeting". I Asked "Any lawyers in the room know if this is legal?" McOsker states "Yeah I'm a lawyer". ----- I contested that I would use 2 minutes for items 5) and 6) regarding Council Files 24-1097 and 24-1094. ----- My comment consisted of a combination of questions regarding why these two files are presented together. They are also presented together in the 10/09/2024 City Council Meeting on the current agenda. These items being presented together is indicative of them complimentary to one another. The content of these items is indicative of their being complimentary to one another. In addition the strange behavior of McOsker's illegitimate, early morning, meeting with these items on the agenda is indicative of the items complimentary nature being something the Council may not want to the public to be aware of. ----- File 24-1097 Regards the City Providing Visas to Candidates for City Jobs. File 24-1094 Regards the City Lowering Education and Testing Requirements for City Jobs and

Accepting "Work Experience" Instead. -----

----- Both these items create a condition where undereducated foreigners are; in many ways, prioritized for City Jobs over Americans who paid into the US Education system for access to the Civic Duties and Financial Securities that are offered with City Jobs. By introducing two complimentary items to the agenda which in tandem would create a condition where undereducated foreign people would be given priority to City Jobs, this creates a condition where these types of candidates would then be indentured to the City Job for their Visa. City Jobs are Government Positions which need a level of education in US ethics, laws, and rights. Undereducated Foreign candidates for City Jobs would be indentured for these City Jobs and many not have the education nor autonomy to speak out against violations of the law or peoples rights. These 2 items working in tandem create conditions where foreseeable violations of the US Constitution and the rights of immigrants meaning that these items should be struck down. In addition there should be an investigation into the Councilmembers who introduced this motion. An investigation is needed to determine the level that these Councilmembers went in trying to pass unconstitutional motions in illegitimate 8AM meetings. -----

----- These meetings are happening before the City Hall is even Open to the Public. I had a hard time convincing the Police Officers at the entrance that there was even a meeting that morning. Something very wrong is happening with these motions.

----- The behavior of the councilmember McOsker during the illegitimate meeting is indicative of Tyranny . In addition, the behavior of the City Attorneys putting this item on such a "Stacked Agenda" as the one on 10/09/2024, seems to be indicative to trying to hinder Public Comments being made on these items on the Legal Record.

----- Video of the Interaction on Oct 01 2024 in the meeting.

<https://x.com/GadflyHerald/status/1841215543501803998>

Communication from Public

Name: Estella S.

Date Submitted: 10/05/2024 09:05 PM

Council File No: 24-1097

Comments for Public Posting: VOTE NO! Council File 24-1097 was presented along side Council File 24-1094 as Items 5) and 6) on the agenda for the 8:30 October 01,2024 Personnel Audits and Hiring Committee meeting. I arrived at that meeting room 401 before 8:30AM and was present for the duration of the meeting until after public comment was held. There was no quorum for this meeting. Tim McOsker was the only councilmember present and acknowledged there was no quorum. He stated that there was no requirement to take public comment. Yet he decided to take public comment regardless to "hear from folks". He moved the two Council Files "to Council" where he stated there would "Be a public hearing". He then called me up to speak for Public Comment. When I went to speak he told me to "let me know which items you'll be speaking on". I told him I wanted to speak on Items 5) and 6) and then asked him if I could speak on General Public Comment After. He said that I could speak on items within jurisdiction of the committee. I then asked him how much time I would get total for my comment. The attorney stated "3 minutes" then Tim McOsker spoke over him claiming, "This is not a meeting, 2 minutes" I asked why I wouldn't get 60 seconds of General Comment. McOsker states "Its Not a Public Meeting". I Asked "Any lawyers in the room know if this is legal?" McOsker states "Yeah I'm a lawyer". I contested that I would use 2 minutes for items 5) and 6) regarding Council Files 24-1097 and 24-1094. My comment consisted of a combination of questions regarding why these two files are presented together. They are also presented together in the 10/09/2024 City Council Meeting on the current agenda. These items being presented together is indicative of them complimentary to one another. The content of these items is indicative of their being complimentary to one another. In addition the strange behavior of McOsker's illegitimate, early morning, meeting with these items on the agenda is indicative of the items complimentary nature being something the Council may not want to the public to be aware of. File 24-1097 Regards the City Providing Visas to Candidates for City Jobs. File 24-1094 Regards the City Lowering Education and Testing Requirements for City Jobs and Accepting "Work Experience" Instead. Both these items create a condition where undereducated foreigners are; in many ways, prioritized for City Jobs over Americans who paid

into the US Education system for access to the Civic Duties and Financial Securities that are offered with City Jobs. By introducing two complimentary items to the agenda which in tandem would create a condition where undereducated foreign people would be given priority to City Jobs, this also created a condition where these types of candidates would then be indentured to the City Job for their Visa. City Jobs are Government Positions which need a level of education in US ethics, laws, and rights. Undereducated Foreign candidates for City Jobs would be indentured for these City Jobs and many not have the education nor autonomy to speak out against violations of the law or peoples rights. These 2 items working in tandem create conditions where foreseeable violations of the US Constitution and the rights of immigrants mean that these items should be struck down. In addition there should be an investigation into the Councilmembers who introduced this motion. An investigation is needed to determine the level that these councilmembers went in trying to pass unconstitutional motions in illegitimate 8AM meetings. These meetings are happening before the City Hall is even Open to the Public. I had a hard time convincing the Police Officers at the entrance that there was even a meeting that morning. Something very wrong is happening with these motions. The behavior of the councilmember McOske during the illegitimate meeting is indicative of Tyranny . In addition, the behavior of the City Attorneys putting this item on such a "Stacked Agenda" as the one on 10/09/2024, seems to be indicative to trying to hinder Public Comments being made on these items on the Legal Record.

PLEASE VOTE NO!

<https://x.com/GadflyHerald/status/1841215543501803998> Video of the 10/01/2024 ordeal