

clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 24-1219-S1

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

Tue, Sep 16, 2025 at 11:48 AM

Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, Jasmin.SanLuis@lacity.org, lauren.nwwnc@gmail.com

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enable by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Councils rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

******** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. ********

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: North Westwood

Name: Lauren Wagner

Email: lauren.nwwnc@gmail.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(11) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 09/09/2025

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information Date: 09/16/2025

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees, Board of Transportation Commissioners

Council File Number: 24-1219-S1

City Planning Number: Agenda Date: 09/09/2025

Item Number:

Summary: The North Westwood Neighborhood Council supports Council File 24-1219-S1, which seeks to improve street sweeping policies and ensure compliance with no-parking restrictions via coordinated parking enforcement. Reliable street sweeping prevents trash from accumulating in our communities and clean streets are important for public health, neighborhood safety, aesthetics, and environmental stewardship. Road debris can be a particular issue for bicycles and other active transportation modes that may be less able to navigate over an obstacle in the street. Compliance with and enforcement of no-parking restrictions is an essential component for the successful delivery of street sweeping services,

otherwise sweepers are blocked by illegally parked vehicles. On the other hand, the issuance of parking citations when street sweeping does not actually occur and after street sweepers have passed is unnecessary and limits parking availability. Automated camera enforcement technology, similar to what has been successfully implemented in Los Angeles and elsewhere to prevent parking in transit lanes and bike lanes, should be implemented on street-sweeping vehicles. It is inherently coordinated with street sweeping activities, proven to be technically reliable, and limits citations to the time when street sweeping actually occurs. We also request better notification and signage for clearly defined street cleaning schedules, especially when sweeping is on alternate weeks (which should be clearly defined as the first, third, and fifth weekdays of each month or the second and fourth weekdays of each month). We urge the City Council to adopt this measure to promote cleaner neighborhoods and more justified parking enforcement in Los Angeles.

CIS_Coordination of Street Sweeping and Parking Enforcement.pdf



- COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT -

Council File: 24-1219-S1

Title: Street Sweeping Policies and Routes / Department of Transportation / No Parking Restrictions Compliance

Position: For

Summary:

The North Westwood Neighborhood Council supports Council File 24-1219-S1, which seeks to improve street sweeping policies and ensure compliance with no-parking restrictions via coordinated parking enforcement. Reliable street sweeping prevents trash from accumulating in our communities and clean streets are important for public health, neighborhood safety, aesthetics, and environmental stewardship. Road debris can be a particular issue for bicycles and other active transportation modes that may be less able to navigate over an obstacle in the street.

Compliance with and enforcement of no-parking restrictions is an essential component for the successful delivery of street sweeping services, otherwise sweepers are blocked by illegally parked vehicles. On the other hand, the issuance of parking citations when street sweeping does not actually occur and after street sweepers have passed is unnecessary and limits parking availability.

Automated camera enforcement technology, similar to what has been successfully implemented in Los Angeles and elsewhere to prevent parking in transit lanes and bike lanes, should be implemented on street-sweeping vehicles. It is inherently coordinated with street sweeping activities, proven to be technically reliable, and limits citations to the time when street sweeping actually occurs. We also request better notification and signage for clearly defined street cleaning schedules, especially when sweeping is on alternate weeks (which should be clearly defined as the first, third, and fifth weekdays of each month or the second and fourth weekdays of each month).

We urge the City Council to adopt this measure to promote cleaner neighborhoods and more justified parking enforcement in Los Angeles.