

Communication from Public

Name: Rose

Date Submitted: 03/05/2026 10:35 PM

Council File No: 24-1222

Comments for Public Posting: please consider instating rail signal preemption!! i ride the metro everyday to and from work on the E line and it would make my trip much faster.

Communication from Public

Name: Francisco Contreras

Date Submitted: 03/04/2026 09:23 AM

Council File No: 24-1222

Comments for Public Posting: I support prioritizing signal preemption for Los Angeles light rail lines. Improving signal coordination will help trains move more efficiently through intersections, reduce delays, and make public transit a more reliable option for residents and visitors. As Los Angeles continues to grow and prepares for major international events, investing in transit reliability is essential. Prioritizing signal preemption is a practical step that can improve service without requiring major new infrastructure. I encourage LADOT and the City Council to move this effort forward.

Communication from Public

Name: Jonah Henry

Date Submitted: 03/05/2026 12:00 PM

Council File No: 24-1222

Comments for Public Posting: As someone who commutes five times a week between Exposition Park and Santa Monica on the E line, I have lost literally hundreds of hours of my life sitting at red lights at Vermont, Normandie, Western, Crenshaw, and other at-grade portions of the line. I have several neighbors who work in downtown who choose to drive because even in rush hour traffic on the 10, it is faster to drive than to crawl from one red light to the next on the light rail. Allowing single-occupancy vehicles to pass in front of the Metro is not an obscure technological oversight or design flaw; rather, it is a deliberate policy agenda that LADOT is carrying out to make life easier for higher-income drivers and harder for lower-income transit passengers. This is wrong and it must stop now. City Council must direct LADOT to install full preemption at all traffic lights in the City of Los Angeles that contain light rail tracks, and must require that LADOT prioritize this work above its other ongoing infrastructure work, with firm deadlines that LADOT must meet and severe penalties that LADOT will face if it does not meet those stated deadlines. The amount of time that it took for LADOT to release even this weak report is unacceptable. Signal preemption is the single most cost-effective program that LADOT can implement to reduce travel times on Metro light rail routes and therefore increase transit ridership and alleviate vehicle traffic. This issue deserves much more attention than what City Council and LADOT are currently paying to it.

Communication from Public

Name: David Schneidinger

Date Submitted: 03/04/2026 11:32 PM

Council File No: 24-1222

Comments for Public Posting: It is absolutely essential that our transit system have signal priority. The E line moves at a snail's pace and it's nonsensical that a train of 100s of people has to wait for cars. This is a complete waste of our public infrastructure and funds.

Communication from Public

Name: Stephanie

Date Submitted: 03/05/2026 12:13 AM

Council File No: 24-1222

Comments for Public Posting: Please prioritize light rail transportation and not cars. The metro shouldn't have to wait at red lights, especially in DTLA for five cars to go through a light.

Communication from Public

Name: Kevin J Colindres

Date Submitted: 03/05/2026 12:55 PM

Council File No: 24-1222

Comments for Public Posting: Good afternoon, I'm here to urge the City of Los Angeles to prioritize completing signal preemption for our rail lines. Too often, trains are forced to stop at red lights and wait behind car traffic. That slows down service, makes trains less reliable, and discourages people from using transit. Signal preemption is a simple fix that allows approaching trains to get a green light at intersections. It improves travel times, keeps trains on schedule, and makes the system safer for everyone. Los Angeles has invested billions into building rail lines. But if trains are still stuck waiting at traffic lights, we're not getting the full benefit of that investment. If we want fast, reliable transit that people actually choose to use, we need to prioritize rail movement on our streets. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Joe

Date Submitted: 03/05/2026 06:28 PM

Council File No: 24-1222

Comments for Public Posting: PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE prioritize completing signal preemption for rail! THE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE WAITING AT A RED LIGHT IN A TRAIN VERSUS THE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE WAITING AT A RED LIGHT IN A FEW CARS IS SO EGREGIOUSLY UNBALANCED I CAN'T IMAGINE A POSSIBLE REASON FOR PRIORITIZING CARS.