

Communication from Public

Name: Sydney OToole

Date Submitted: 04/10/2025 05:52 PM

Council File No: 25-0002-S19

Comments for Public Posting: Dear LA City Council, SB79 is necessary to make Los Angeles a safe, sustainable, and affordable city for all. We cannot let undue and unending process block the American dream. Transit-oriented housing preserves the character of the city while reducing people's dependence on cars, which cause actual physical and mental injuries to Angelenos and their children every single day. Besides the urgent public safety need, the best way to actually address affordability and sustainability is by building multifamily housing near public transit. Lower income Angelenos are the ones most likely to live without a car. And through supply and demand, new market-rate homes free up an otherwise occupied home. Older, more affordable homes then come to the market at even lower rents. This effect is well documented around the world. New housing near transit means new jobs, new families, lower rents, fewer car deaths, and less pollution. More new housing also means lowering the per capita tax burden (already very high for Los Angeles renters) while increasing city revenues. Auto-first sprawl is environmentally and economically unsustainable. SB79 is the most practical approach from an infrastructure build-out perspective, too. To seriously address climate change and make the city safe and affordable for families, we need more density near transit. SB79 should be welcomed with open arms. Please oppose this motion.

Communication from Public

Name: Alain Yotnegparian

Date Submitted: 04/10/2025 06:22 PM

Council File No: 25-0002-S19

Comments for Public Posting: I support Council File 25-0002-S19 that opposes SB79. Density belongs on the transit corridors as identified in our Housing Element not a half mile radius from these stops and into single family, affordable multi-family, vulnerable historic districts or light industrial zones. This bill mandates no affordable units and makes housing on the corridor more expensive making it less affordable for people that need to live near transit and undermines the city's affordable housing incentives as identified in the Housing Element

Communication from Public

Name: Sandra Madera

Date Submitted: 04/07/2025 08:07 AM

Council File No: 25-0002-S19

Comments for Public Posting: Dear LA City Council, SB79 is necessary to make Los Angeles a safe, sustainable, and affordable city for all. We cannot let undue and unending process block the American dream. Transit-oriented housing preserves the character of the city while reducing people's dependence on cars, which cause real injuries to Angelenos and their children every single day. Besides the urgent public safety need, the best way to actually address affordability and sustainability is by building multifamily housing near public transit. You have to start acting like we're in a housing crisis. Lower income Angelenos are the ones most likely to live without a car, and with Trump's tariffs, cars will be more out of reach than ever. Through supply and demand, new market-rate homes free up an otherwise occupied home. Older, more affordable homes then come to the market at lower rents. This effect is well documented around the world. New housing near transit means new jobs, lower rents, fewer car deaths, and less pollution. More new housing also means lowering the per capita tax burden (already very high for Los Angeles renters) while increasing city revenues. Auto-first sprawl is environmentally and economically unsustainable. SB79 is the most practical approach from an infrastructure build-out perspective, too. To seriously address climate change and make the city safe and affordable for families, we need more density near transit. SB79 should be welcomed with open arms. Please oppose this motion.

Communication from Public

Name: L. Stern

Date Submitted: 04/10/2025 09:18 AM

Council File No: 25-0002-S19

Comments for Public Posting: I support Council File 25-0002-S19 that opposes SB79. Density belongs on the transit corridors as identified in our Housing Element not a half mile radius from these stops and into single family, affordable multi-family, vulnerable historic districts or light industrial zones. This bill mandates no affordable units and makes housing on the corridor more expensive making it less affordable for people that need to live near transit and undermines the city's affordable housing incentives as identified in the Housing Element.

Communication from Public

Name: Brian Curran

Date Submitted: 04/10/2025 01:19 PM

Council File No: 25-0002-S19

Comments for Public Posting: Honorable Members of the City Council: I am a neighborhood council member and president of Hollywood Heritage, like many of my friends and neighbors I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Sincerely, Brian Curran Windsor Square 501 S Plymouth Blvd Los Angeles CA 90020

Communication from Public

Name: john
Date Submitted: 04/07/2025 02:10 PM
Council File No: 25-0002-S19

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Thank you John eshaya 516 n gower st La ca 90004

Communication from Public

Name: Richard Singer
Date Submitted: 04/08/2025 05:41 AM
Council File No: 25-0002-S19
Comments for Public Posting: ABUNDANCE! Let's employ capital and workers to build houses.
Bring prices down.