

## Communication from Public

**Name:** John Gresham

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 05:02 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until it is amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in passage of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It dismisses local control entirely and randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure improvements. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Sincerely, John Gresham S Bronson Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90005

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Patricia A Carroll

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 05:58 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial so I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Patricia Carroll Larchmont Blvd, 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 10:48 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. David Rosenman 536 N Lucerne Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 10:54 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Brianna F Larchmont, Los Angeles

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 10:57 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 10:59 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Brad Listi 500 block of N. Gower / Larchmont / 90004 \_\_\_\_\_

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Margaret Alexander  
**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 10:59 AM  
**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19  
**Comments for Public Posting:** Please protect our single family neighborhood

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Edvard "Eddie" Isaacs

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 08:47 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear LA City Council, SB79 is necessary to make Los Angeles a safe, sustainable, and affordable city for all. We cannot let undue and unending process block the American dream. Transit-oriented housing preserves the character of the city while reducing people's dependence on cars, which cause actual physical and mental injuries to Angelenos and their children every single day. Besides the urgent public safety need, the best way to actually address affordability and sustainability is by building multifamily housing near public transit. Lower income Angelenos are the ones most likely to live without a car. And through supply and demand, new market-rate homes free up an otherwise occupied home. Older, more affordable homes then come to the market at even lower rents. This effect is well documented around the world. New housing near transit means new jobs, new families, lower rents, fewer car deaths, and less pollution. More new housing also means lowering the per capita tax burden (already very high for Los Angeles renters) while increasing city revenues. Auto-first sprawl is environmentally and economically unsustainable. SB79 is the most practical approach from an infrastructure build-out perspective, too. To seriously address climate change and make the city safe and affordable for families, we need more density near transit. SB79 should be welcomed with open arms. Please oppose this motion.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Meghan Fancler

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 11:00 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Meghan Fancler 425 N Gower St. LA, CA 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 10:31 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Hi: Please oppose SB79. This bill will further ruin single family neighborhoods and will not create any affordable housing. Senator Wiener is funded by developers and the real estate industry that is why he is pushing SB79. California keeps passing bills that are supposed to create affordable housing but never do. Single family neighborhoods do not have the infrastructure to support high density housing. Legislators are under the misconception that they can force people to take public transportation by putting more housing near transportation. It does not work! We just went through the worst wildfire in history. What if there were a bunch of high density housing in those areas. How many more lives and homes would have been lost. Please oppose SB79!

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 10:37 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear LA City Council, SB79 is necessary to make Los Angeles a safe, sustainable, and affordable city for all. We cannot let undue and unending process block the American dream. Transit-oriented housing preserves the character of the city while reducing people's dependence on cars, which cause actual physical and mental injuries to Angelenos and their children every single day. Besides the urgent public safety need, the best way to actually address affordability and sustainability is by building multifamily housing near public transit. Lower income Angelenos are the ones most likely to live without a car. And through supply and demand, new market-rate homes free up an otherwise occupied home. Older, more affordable homes then come to the market at even lower rents. This effect is well documented around the world. New housing near transit means new jobs, new families, lower rents, fewer car deaths, and less pollution. More new housing also means lowering the per capita tax burden (already very high for Los Angeles renters) while increasing city revenues. Auto-first sprawl is environmentally and economically unsustainable. SB79 is the most practical approach from an infrastructure build-out perspective, too. To seriously address climate change and make the city safe and affordable for families, we need more density near transit. SB79 should be welcomed with open arms. Please oppose this motion.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Sam Uretsky

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 10:39 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Sam Uretsky N Lucerne Blvd 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Arsine

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 11:02 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Arsine Phillips

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 11:03 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Edie Mead 515 N Larchmont Blvd #202 Los Angeles CA 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Michael Fraser

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 08:55 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** SB79 represents a practical and evidence-based approach to the terrible housing crisis our state is facing. If passed this law would bring significant improvements to housing affordability, while decreasing carbon emissions, strengthen our transit networks, and creating jobs for californians. I strongly urge the Council to support SB79 rather than oppose it.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Arsine

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 11:14 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jan Yonan  
**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 09:02 AM  
**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear LA City Council, SB79 is necessary to make Los Angeles a safe, sustainable, and affordable city for all. We cannot let undue and unending process block the American dream. Transit-oriented housing preserves the character of the city while reducing people's dependence on cars, which cause actual physical and mental injuries to Angelenos and their children every single day. Besides the urgent public safety need, the best way to actually address affordability and sustainability is by building multifamily housing near public transit. Lower income Angelenos are the ones most likely to live without a car. And through supply and demand, new market-rate homes free up an otherwise occupied home. Older, more affordable homes then come to the market at even lower rents. This effect is well documented around the world. New housing near transit means new jobs, new families, lower rents, fewer car deaths, and less pollution. More new housing also means lowering the per capita tax burden (already very high for Los Angeles renters) while increasing city revenues. Auto-first sprawl is environmentally and economically unsustainable. SB79 is the most practical approach from an infrastructure build-out perspective, too. To seriously address climate change and make the city safe and affordable for families, we need more density near transit. SB79 should be welcomed with open arms. Please oppose this motion.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** KEVIN A ZELAYA

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 11:17 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am oppose to this motion opposing SB79 by councilmember Lee and Park. SB79 is a major upzoning bill, one of several housing bills proposed in California this year. This will open up opportunities for housing for all. This will help cut driving, ensuring public transit and more housing is very important since LA and California are experiencing housing shortage.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Alex Lai  
**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 09:49 AM  
**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear LA City Council, SB79 is necessary to make Los Angeles a safe, sustainable, and affordable city for all. We cannot let undue and unending process block the American dream. Transit-oriented housing preserves the character of the city while reducing people's dependence on cars, which cause actual physical and mental injuries to Angelenos and their children every single day. Besides the urgent public safety need, the best way to actually address affordability and sustainability is by building multifamily housing near public transit. Lower income Angelenos are the ones most likely to live without a car. And through supply and demand, new market-rate homes free up an otherwise occupied home. Older, more affordable homes then come to the market at even lower rents. This effect is well documented around the world. New housing near transit means new jobs, new families, lower rents, fewer car deaths, and less pollution. More new housing also means lowering the per capita tax burden (already very high for Los Angeles renters) while increasing city revenues. Auto-first sprawl is environmentally and economically unsustainable. SB79 is the most practical approach from an infrastructure build-out perspective, too. To seriously address climate change and make the city safe and affordable for families, we need more density near transit. SB79 should be welcomed with open arms. Please oppose this motion.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 12:47 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Spencer Crossland Larchmont Neighborhood

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Kimberly Solow

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 10:47 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Kimberly Solow 600 Block of Lucerne Blvd, Los Angeles 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Davin Deb

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 10:47 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Davin Deb 301 N Plymouth Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 11:29 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Mark Aghajanian 116 N Arden Blvd, Los Angeles CA 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Francisco Perez

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 11:34 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Francisco J. Perez 330 N Arden Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90004 400 Block of N. Arden Larchmont

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 11:34 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Marilyn Levin 523 N. Beachwood Dr. Los Angeles, California 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 11:44 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Chris O'Connell 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Michael Avery

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 11:48 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Michael Avery 600 North Block of June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 11:51 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Barbara Jefferies 585 N Lucerne Bl, Larchmont Village 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 11:55 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Thank you, A Larchmont Village Resident

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Caitlin Cohen

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 12:01 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Caitlin Cohen 620 N Cahuenga Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90004 Greater Wilshire/ Hancock Park

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Samiyah Hudson

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 12:10 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Samiyah Hudson [REDACTED]

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 12:25 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Olivier Schreiber 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 12:26 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and light industrial zones. I implore the Council to unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of California must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude local communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless over-building. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards the cost and efforts of local action in favor of haphazard and uninformed state action that randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones for development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in areas within a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill.  
Ernie Ocampo 500 block of N Arden Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 01:20 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. (Alan Wolovitch ) (224 N Ridgewood Place, LA 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 12:51 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Cynthia Jabr 309 N Irving Blvd, 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:** allison schallert

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 01:30 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear LA City Council, SB79 is necessary to make Los Angeles a safe, sustainable, and affordable city for all. We cannot let undue and unending process block the American dream. Transit-oriented housing preserves the character of the city while reducing people's dependence on cars, which cause actual physical and mental injuries to Angelenos and their children every single day. Besides the urgent public safety need, the best way to actually address affordability and sustainability is by building multifamily housing near public transit. Lower income Angelenos are the ones most likely to live without a car. And through supply and demand, new market-rate homes free up an otherwise occupied home. Older, more affordable homes then come to the market at even lower rents. This effect is well documented around the world. New housing near transit means new jobs, new families, lower rents, fewer car deaths, and less pollution. More new housing also means lowering the per capita tax burden (already very high for Los Angeles renters) while increasing city revenues. Auto-first sprawl is environmentally and economically unsustainable. SB79 is the most practical approach from an infrastructure build-out perspective, too. To seriously address climate change and make the city safe and affordable for families, we need more density near transit. SB79 should be welcomed with open arms. Please oppose this motion. Allison schallert 90068

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Miriam Drop

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 01:43 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Mimi Drop 338 N Windsor Blvd 90004

---

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jennifer Lee

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 01:56 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear LA City Council, SB79 is necessary to make Los Angeles a safe, sustainable, and affordable city for all. The best way to actually address affordability and sustainability is by building multifamily housing near public transit. Lower income Angelenos are the ones most likely to live without a car. And through supply and demand, new market-rate homes free up an otherwise occupied home. Older, more affordable homes then come to the market at even lower rents. This effect is well documented around the world. New housing near transit means new jobs, lower rents, fewer cars on the road, and less pollution. More new housing also means lowering the per capita tax burden (already very high for Los Angeles renters) while increasing city revenues. SB79 should be welcomed with open arms. Please support SB79.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 02:12 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. John Fenady 555 N Gower St. Los Angeles 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:** CHRISTOPHER CROOK

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 02:25 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Christopher Crook 318 N Irving Blvd Los Angeles CA 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Brendan Schallert

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 02:39 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear LA City Council, SB79 is necessary to make Los Angeles a safe, sustainable, and affordable city for all. As a public school educator for over 30 years in the community of Boyle Heights (Go, Mighty Rough Riders of Roosevelt High School!), this is a topic that is near and dear to my heart, and the hearts of those generations of families I've had the privilege to work with. We cannot let undue and unending bureaucratic process block the American dream. Transit-oriented housing preserves the character of the city while reducing people's dependence on cars, which cause actual physical and mental injuries to Angelenos and their children every single day. Besides the urgent public safety need, the best way to actually address affordability and sustainability is by building multifamily housing near public transit. Lower income Angelenos are the ones most likely to live without a car. And through supply and demand, new market-rate homes free up an otherwise occupied home. Older, more affordable homes then come to the market at even lower rents. This effect is well documented around the world. New housing near transit means new jobs, new families, lower rents, fewer car deaths, and less pollution. More new housing also means lowering the per capita tax burden (already very high for Los Angeles renters) while increasing city revenues. Auto-first sprawl is environmentally and economically unsustainable. SB79 is the most practical approach from an infrastructure build-out perspective, too. To seriously address climate change and make the city safe and affordable for families, we need more density near transit. SB79 should be welcomed with open arms. Please oppose this motion. Thank you for your consideration!

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Natalie Irby

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 03:12 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Natalie Irby 400 block of N Arden Larchmont 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Darren Eminian

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 03:22 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Darren Eminian 550 N Lucerne Blvd Los Angeles 90004-1205

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Michael Dawson

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 03:29 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Michael Dawson 1617 Bruce Court, Los Angeles CA 90026

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Mary Gregory

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 04:00 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I oppose SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill. Mary Grgory 418 N, Gower St. (Larchmont Village) Los Angeles, CA 90004

---

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jane Gilman

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 04:01 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB9 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79 Honorable Members of the City Council: I request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions. The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers all the State and Federal requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification. State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half-mile from bus stops and rail lines. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the city's ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate. As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this bill will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families who desire homeownership to create the generational wealth the city always proclaims it wants to encourage. I ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, affordable multi-family areas, historic districts and light industrial zones from the bill

## Communication from Public

**Name:** helen eigenberg  
**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 04:15 PM  
**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear LA City Council, SB79 is necessary to make Los Angeles a safe, sustainable, and affordable city for all. We cannot let undue and unending process block the American dream. Transit-oriented housing preserves the character of the city while reducing people's dependence on cars, which cause actual physical and mental injuries to Angelenos and their children every single day. Besides the urgent public safety need, the best way to actually address affordability and sustainability is by building multifamily housing near public transit. Lower income Angelenos are the ones most likely to live without a car. And through supply and demand, new market-rate homes free up an otherwise occupied home. Older, more affordable homes then come to the market at even lower rents. This effect is well documented around the world. New housing near transit means new jobs, new families, lower rents, fewer car deaths, and less pollution. More new housing also means lowering the per capita tax burden (already very high for Los Angeles renters) while increasing city revenues. Auto-first sprawl is environmentally and economically unsustainable. SB79 is the most practical approach from an infrastructure build-out perspective, too. To seriously address climate change and make the city safe and affordable for families, we need more density near transit. SB79 should be welcomed with open arms. Please oppose this motion. Kindly Helen Eigenberg 611 Lillian Way 90004

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Joe Goldman

**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 08:31 PM

**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear LA City Council, I'm a Pacific Palisades native whose parents lost their home of over three decades (and my childhood home). I'm also a Brentwood homeowner who lived in San Francisco in during the years in which the housing crisis built the movement behind SB79. The lack of housing options are one of the reasons my husband and I moved back to Los Angeles, which is crazy because Brentwood was exponentially more affordable than San Francisco, but now seven years later the housing crisis is so acute that LA feels it like never before, too. I am absolutely FLABBERGASTED that anyone would want to oppose commonsense laws like SB79, which does the following: - Allows for multi-family homes up to seven stories near major public transit stops, with lower heights extending up to half a mile away - Streamlines permitting for homes built within half a mile of major transit stops. - Allows local transit agencies to develop housing at the same or greater density on land they own. We cannot let undue and unending process block the American dream. Transit-oriented housing preserves the character of the city while reducing people's dependence on cars, which cause actual physical and mental injuries to Angelenos and their children every single day. Besides the urgent public safety need, the best way to actually address affordability and sustainability is by building multifamily housing near public transit. Lower income Angelenos are the ones most likely to live without a car. And through supply and demand, new market-rate homes free up an otherwise occupied home. Older, more affordable homes then come to the market at even lower rents. This effect is well documented around the world. New housing near transit means new jobs, new families, lower rents, fewer car deaths, and less pollution. More new housing also means lowering the per capita tax burden (already very high for Los Angeles renters) while increasing city revenues. Auto-first sprawl is environmentally and economically unsustainable. SB79 is the most practical approach from an infrastructure build-out perspective, too. To seriously address climate change and make the city safe and affordable for families, we need more density near transit. SB79 should be welcomed with open arms. Please oppose this motion. Don't cave into selfish NIMBYism that has cost our city far too much as it is. Believe me, I say what I mean as a Brentwood resident. We need more

homes, especially by transit, or else the traffic, cost of living, and harm to our planet will only worsen. Sincerely, Joe Goldman

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Hancock Park Homeowners Association est 1948  
**Date Submitted:** 04/07/2025 07:08 PM  
**Council File No:** 25-0002-S19  
**Comments for Public Posting:** The Hancock Park Homeowners Association opposes SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, historic districts, HPOZs and light industrial zones. We request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the 2021-2029 Los Angeles Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to eliminate local planning and community input. Please see our letter attached for additional information.



**HANCOCK PARK**  
HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION  
— EST. 1948 —

**OFFICERS:**  
CINDY CHVATAL-KEANE, President  
SUSAN GROSSMAN, Vice President  
JENNIFER DEVORE, Secretary  
NANCY DOLAN, Treasurer

**DIRECTORS:**  
MARTIN BECK  
CINDY CHVATAL  
JENNIFER DEVORE  
NANCY DOLAN  
GREG GLASSER

SUSAN GROSSMAN  
JOEL KICZBERG  
CLIF LORD  
WILLIAM NEWBY  
TIM PAULSON

GREG PEARSON  
BENNY ROSENBERG  
DEBORAH TRAINER  
JOE YEH  
JAMES WOLF

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION DEDICATED TO THE PRESERVATION OF HANCOCK PARK  
137 N. LARCHMONT BOULEVARD #719 • LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90044 • [www.hancockpark.org](http://www.hancockpark.org)

Hancock Park HOA

April 7, 2025

**Re: Support for the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-S19) and Opposition to SB79**

Honorable Members of the City Council:

The Hancock Park Homeowners Association opposes SB79 in its entirety and until amended to exclude single-family neighborhoods, affordable multifamily areas, historic districts and light industrial zones. We request that the Council unanimously approve the Resolution to Oppose SB79 (Council File 25-0002-519). The State of CA must not be allowed to undo the efforts that resulted in the passing of the Housing Element. The State must not be allowed to exclude communities from working through their various Community Plans to further refine local planning decisions.

The Planning Department spent several years and millions of dollars to create a Housing Element that answers the State and Federal, requirements while creating more affordable housing in our high resource areas and protecting our communities from needless densification.

State Senator Scott Wiener's SB79 negates and discards this work. It randomly opens single-family neighborhoods, affordable multifamily areas, historic districts, and industrial zones to allow the development of apartment buildings as high as 75 feet in these areas that are a half mile from bus stops and rail lines.

This bill does not require any affordable housing units. It does not require any necessary supporting infrastructure. Developers will select to build SB 79 housing rather than selecting any of the affordability incentives in the CHIP Ordinance. This will hamstring the cities ability to achieve its need for affordable housing as well as being able to meet the State's RHNA mandate.

As more single-family homes are replaced by apartments, this will encourage an exodus of middle and working-class families' desire for homeownership to create the generational wealth the city proclaims it wants to encourage. Job loss will be the consequence of SB79 on light industrial zones.

We ask for your leadership in opposing SB 79 unless amended to remove single-family neighborhoods, historic districts, HPOZs and light industrial zones from the bill.

Respectfully,

Cynthia Chvatal-Keane

President - Hancock Park Homeowners Association