

Communication from Public

Name: Scott Morris

Date Submitted: 02/16/2026 02:34 PM

Council File No: 25-0006-S38

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly recommend that our CSR funds prioritize cutting-edge construction to mitigate climate risk. Buildings currently account for approximately 39% of global GHG emissions (28% from operations and 11% from construction), which are directly linked to the increasing frequency of catastrophic natural disasters. Standard rebuilding creates a dangerous feedback loop: Disaster -> CO2-heavy rebuilding -> Increased warming -> More frequent disasters. By investing in all-electric infrastructure and low embodied carbon materials, we ensure that our rebuilding efforts solve problems rather than contributing to future climate-induced crises. This is a vital step in aligning our investments with long-term community resilience.

Communication from Public

Name: Roseanne Landay
Date Submitted: 02/16/2026 02:35 PM
Council File No: 25-0006-S38

Comments for Public Posting: Dear City Council Members, I am writing to respectfully urge your support for the establishment of a Climate Resiliency District (CRD) in Pacific Palisades as our community continues rebuilding in the aftermath of the Palisades Fire. The devastation we have experienced presents not only a challenge, but also a rare opportunity: to rebuild smarter, safer, and more resilient to the climate realities we now face. A Climate Resiliency District would provide the governance structure and dedicated funding mechanism necessary to ensure that recovery efforts are coordinated, forward-looking, and equitable. A CRD would allow our community to fund and implement fire-resistant infrastructure improvements such as undergrounding utilities, strengthening water systems for firefighting capacity, investing in microgrids and backup power, stabilizing slopes vulnerable to post-fire erosion, and enhancing defensible landscaping in public spaces. These improvements are far more effective when planned comprehensively at the district level rather than on a parcel-by-parcel basis. Importantly, a CRD would create a stable, locally controlled funding source to complement state and federal recovery dollars. By establishing a formal structure with long-term planning authority, Pacific Palisades would be better positioned to compete for hazard mitigation and climate resilience grants. This would reduce reliance on uncertain emergency appropriations and ensure sustained investment in risk reduction. A district-wide resiliency strategy would also strengthen our standing with insurers. As wildfire risk continues to reshape California's insurance market, demonstrating measurable, community-level mitigation efforts could help stabilize coverage availability and protect property values over time. Beyond wildfire recovery, a Climate Resiliency District would enable integrated planning for drought, extreme heat, mudslides, and coastal impacts—recognizing that climate threats are interconnected. This proactive approach would protect residents, businesses, and public infrastructure for decades to come. I recognize that forming a CRD requires careful design, transparency, and community engagement. Any funding mechanism must be equitable and accountable. However, the cost of inaction—rebuilding to outdated standards and facing repeated losses—is far greater. Pacific Palisades has an opportunity to

serve as a model for climate-adaptive recovery in Los Angeles. I respectfully ask the Council to explore the formation of a Climate Resiliency District and initiate the necessary studies, stakeholder outreach, and legislative steps to move this forward. Thank you for your leadership and your commitment to rebuilding a safer and stronger community. Sincerely, Roseanne Landay Pali Condo Captains, Founder Team Palisades, Volunteer

Dear City Council Members,

I am writing to respectfully urge your support for the establishment of a Climate Resiliency District (CRD) in Pacific Palisades as our community continues rebuilding in the aftermath of the Palisades Fire.

The devastation we have experienced presents not only a challenge, but also a rare opportunity: to rebuild smarter, safer, and more resilient to the climate realities we now face. A Climate Resiliency District would provide the governance structure and dedicated funding mechanism necessary to ensure that recovery efforts are coordinated, forward-looking, and equitable.

A CRD would allow our community to fund and implement fire-resistant infrastructure improvements such as undergrounding utilities, strengthening water systems for firefighting capacity, investing in microgrids and backup power, stabilizing slopes vulnerable to post-fire erosion, and enhancing defensible landscaping in public spaces. These improvements are far more effective when planned comprehensively at the district level rather than on a parcel-by-parcel basis.

Importantly, a CRD would create a stable, **locally controlled funding source** to complement state and federal recovery dollars. By establishing a formal structure with long-term planning authority, Pacific Palisades would be **better positioned to compete for hazard mitigation and climate resilience grants**. This would reduce reliance on uncertain emergency appropriations and ensure sustained investment in risk reduction.

A district-wide resiliency strategy would also strengthen our standing with insurers. As wildfire risk continues to reshape California's insurance market, demonstrating measurable, community-level mitigation efforts could help stabilize coverage availability and protect property values over time.

Beyond wildfire recovery, a Climate Resiliency District would enable integrated planning for drought, extreme heat, mudslides, and coastal impacts—recognizing that climate threats are interconnected. This proactive approach would protect residents, businesses, and public infrastructure for decades to come.

I recognize that forming a CRD requires careful design, transparency, and community engagement. Any funding mechanism must be equitable and accountable. However, the cost of inaction—rebuilding to outdated standards and facing repeated losses—is far greater.

Pacific Palisades has an opportunity to serve as a model for climate-adaptive recovery in Los Angeles. I respectfully ask the Council to explore the formation of a Climate Resiliency District and initiate the necessary studies, stakeholder outreach, and legislative steps to move this forward.

Thank you for your leadership and your commitment to rebuilding a safer and stronger community.

Sincerely,

Roseanne Landay
Pali Condo Captains, Founder
Team Palisades, Volunteer

Communication from Public

Name: Darragh Danton

Date Submitted: 02/16/2026 04:21 PM

Council File No: 25-0006-S38

Comments for Public Posting: Dear City Council Members, I am writing to respectfully urge your support for the establishment of a Climate Resiliency District (CRD) in Pacific Palisades as our community continues rebuilding in the aftermath of the Palisades Fire. The devastation we have experienced presents not only a challenge, but also a rare opportunity: to rebuild smarter, safer, and more resilient to the climate realities we now face. A Climate Resiliency District would provide the governance structure and dedicated funding mechanism necessary to ensure that recovery efforts are coordinated, forward-looking, and equitable. A CRD would allow our community to fund and implement fire-resistant infrastructure improvements such as undergrounding utilities, strengthening water systems for firefighting capacity, investing in microgrids and backup power, stabilizing slopes vulnerable to post-fire erosion, and enhancing defensible landscaping in public spaces. These improvements are far more effective when planned comprehensively at the district level rather than on a parcel-by-parcel basis. Importantly, a CRD would create a stable, locally controlled funding source to complement state and federal recovery dollars. By establishing a formal structure with long-term planning authority, Pacific Palisades would be better positioned to compete for hazard mitigation and climate resilience grants. This would reduce reliance on uncertain emergency appropriations and ensure sustained investment in risk reduction. A district-wide resiliency strategy would also strengthen our standing with insurers. As wildfire risk continues to reshape California's insurance market, demonstrating measurable, community-level mitigation efforts could help stabilize coverage availability and protect property values over time. Beyond wildfire recovery, a Climate Resiliency District would enable integrated planning for drought, extreme heat, mudslides, and coastal impacts—recognizing that climate threats are interconnected. This proactive approach would protect residents, businesses, and public infrastructure for decades to come. I recognize that forming a CRD requires careful design, transparency, and community engagement. Any funding mechanism must be equitable and accountable. However, the cost of inaction—rebuilding to outdated standards and facing repeated losses—is far greater. Pacific Palisades has an opportunity to

serve as a model for climate-adaptive recovery in Los Angeles. I respectfully ask the Council to explore the formation of a Climate Resiliency District and initiate the necessary studies, stakeholder outreach, and legislative steps to move this forward. Thank you for your leadership and your commitment to rebuilding a safer and stronger community.

Communication from Public

Name: Lee Ann Daly

Date Submitted: 02/16/2026 05:39 PM

Council File No: 25-0006-S38

Comments for Public Posting: Public Comment – Lee Ann Daly, Pacific Palisades Resident
Good afternoon, My name is Lee Ann Daly. I live in Pacific Palisades and lost my home in the January 2025 fire. As we rebuild, I urge you to support the creation of a Climate Resiliency District for Pacific Palisades. The scale of destruction is overwhelming — but it’s also a rare opportunity to rebuild smarter. A CRD would allow us to modernize aging infrastructure, reduce wildfire risk, and coordinate investments at a community level instead of property by property. This could mean undergrounding utilities to reduce ignition risk, strengthening and expanding water systems for firefighting capacity, improving defensible space in public areas, and stabilizing hillsides vulnerable to erosion. Fire risk doesn’t stop at lot lines, and neither should mitigation. A Climate Resiliency District would also create a predictable, locally controlled funding mechanism. It could leverage state and federal climate grants and reduce dependence on one-time emergency funding. Demonstrating measurable, community-scale mitigation could even improve our standing with insurers at a time when coverage stability is critical. Rebuilding to outdated standards only increases long-term risk and cost. Investing proactively in resilience protects people, property values, and the City’s future liabilities. Pacific Palisades has the opportunity to serve as a model for climate-adaptive recovery within Los Angeles. Thank you. Lee Ann Daly