

Communication from Public

Name: Mariam Moore

Date Submitted: 04/17/2025 10:50 AM

Council File No: 25-0396

Comments for Public Posting: As a transit rider of the Sun Valley Metrolink station, I strongly oppose this thoughtless motion. Many essential workers use this station to get back and forth to work. It is disturbing that the marginalized low income community is the sole burden-bearer of interim and "affordable" labeled housing in district 6, overcrowding the community, making it more dysfunctional, cutting down public transportation instead of improving and expanding it, and by doing so, spreading around more poverty, lack, and disproportionate environmental pollution overburden, overpopulation and discriminatory housing practices without building and reinforcing sustainable infrastructure and attracting investments to support rapidly growing housing population in a struggling, under-resourced and under-invested community. The city promotes public transportation yet approves taking away something as vital as the Sun Valley metro Station that supports not only the Sun Valley area but transit riders from all surrounding areas and districts. By promoting public transportation the logical thing to do is to improve the existing infrastructure and beautify it to increase existing ridership, provide signage, and fully utilize the station, instead of repurposing it, or even worse, converting it to something entirely different and illogical. This case is much similar to unsubstantiated and meritless campaign by council member Rodriguez to shut down an historical community asset Whiteman airport, which also happens to be a critical emergency landing platform. Dear council, IF IT AIN'T BROKEN, DON'T FIX IT! There is no shortage of broken system in all over Los Angeles, you should try to fix that, not something that actually works and performs. It seems that planning decisions in district 6 are made haphazardly, without actual planning background, knowledge, and expertise. The outcome of such decisions is always devastating to the community, let alone, a low income community of color that is being victimized over and over again as a result of poor planning decisions. If you expect people to use public transportation, then you are ultimately responsible of creating, updating, and maintaining such infrastructure. The right thing to do, just like with Whiteman airport, is to beautify the station, do an outreach, plant mature trees to increase the tree canopy and provide shade for commuters, provide street furniture, etc. There

are plenty of other places for interim housing outside the city limits, without causing disturbance and nuisance to existing residents who call this area home for many decades. There is always hanging like a dark cloud over our heads the issue of budget deficit, why not use the \$300K to beautify and improve the station and the surrounding areas, broken sidewalks, plant trees? Now, that's an efficient use of scarce budget not building something entirely incompatible, such as interim housing around a metro station where people travel with children. In addition to all the reasons above, this motion is vague, doesn't provide any specifics, and opens the door to many uncertainties and litigation. I don't think the city needs more litigation that takes up a big chunk of the budget. You need to learn how to manage the budget. There is more than enough budget had the city managed it properly.

Communication from Public

Name: Carmenlina minasova

Date Submitted: 04/17/2025 09:21 AM

Council File No: 25-0396

Comments for Public Posting: The this motion if approve will lead problems such overpopulation of more homeless people in our district which dint have enough housing and will also change demographics of population in our district To fix homeless issue or in housing people need reform at city of la councils ideas how address this issue properly I advise look how other countries deal with sane issue They organize and take construction by own and build up in new lands high rise floors Appartments with all safety and support striations such play yeards and undergone or more parking basically they buildup new town for homeless or low income people outside district This only way will keep demographic of district same and help homeless or low income people have place to stay This propose motion will lead conflict between people who leave in district like single housing and Appartment complex low income and will distribué district look and function as now La city all councils must have new way think and idea what I recommending is more safe and logic this idea work over 300 years like in Singapore or Russia or other places Only problem la city councils don't have ideas and vision to how fix issues that's why this motion look bad All constructions must need by local government not by vendors to reduce cost of build houses or Appartment complex all need to do invite people like me and others to evaluate and reconnect what I did now This motion same as yiu bring inside your home homeless people and tell your family they will stay Untill we find place for them so what will your family tell you will happy share with homeless people same place ? Think better and seven times rethink and one time act correct This is my advise to Padila and others be smart not damp All problems can be solve if have good think brain and experience and passion to fix not destroyed by stupid and fast solutions I'm always ready to help to our city councils so this is what I recommend to all councils There is always have better options only need to see and ask and help on way but not what this motion Thanks Carmenlina Minasova 8183353550 Happy answer any questions