

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 25-0600

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, jacobnwwnc@gmail.com

Sun, Jun 8, 2025 at 10:56 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enable by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Councils rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

******* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *******

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: North Westwood

Name: Jacob Wasserman Email: jacobnwwnc@gmail.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(13) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 06/04/2025

Type of NC Board Action: Against Unless Amended

Impact Information Date: 06/09/2025

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 25-0600

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date: Item Number:

Summary: NWWNC strongly opposes the reduction in NC funding from \$32,000 to \$25,000 as outlined in the Mayor's FY 2025-26 budget. This cut would significantly undermine our ability to serve our community—particularly the vulnerable, unhoused, and youth populations who rely on the services and resources we support. We put these funds directly into programs that meet critical local needs. We have supported unhoused residents through nonprofit partnerships, funded musical instruments and arts education for students, and consistently assisted in providing free, accessible learning resources to the public. Our funding also supports safety and quality of life through cleanups, infrastructure

improvements, and third spaces that foster connection and mutual responsibility. In a district that encompasses UCLA,

student housing, family homes, and major pedestrian corridors, ensuring safe and well-maintained public spaces is both a basic necessity and a public duty. We have helped fund outreach and case management efforts that connect individuals with long-term housing, medical support, and paths to self-sufficiency. NCs serve as first responders to hyperlocal issues —able to act quickly, equitably, and with the input of the communities we represent. The current \$32,000 allocation is not excessive; it is essential. A \$7,000 cut would represent nearly 1/4 of our budget and would force us to scale back or eliminate programming that our stakeholders have come to rely on. This reduction would not only limit support for ongoing efforts but also prevent us from responding flexibly to new and unforeseen community needs. We urge the City Council to reject this funding cut and to preserve the full \$32,000 allocation to all NCs. These funds are vital to our mission of empowering communities, supporting local nonprofits, and maintaining safety, dignity, and opportunity. The NC system is a proven and cost-effective model of grassroots governance. It deserves continued investment—not constraint.

CIS_NC Budget Restoration.pdf



- COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT -

Council File: 25-0600-S7

Title: Budget Motion / Neighborhood Council Fund / Restore

Position: For

Council File: 25-0600

Title: Budget Proposal Fiscal Year 2025-26

Position: Against unless Amended

Council File: 02-0699

Title: CERTIFIED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL GRANT PROGRAM STATUS

Position: For

Summary:

The North Westwood Neighborhood Council strongly opposes the proposed reduction in Neighborhood Council funding from \$32,000 to \$25,000 as outlined in the Mayor's Fiscal Year 2025-26 budget. This cut would significantly undermine our ability to serve our community—particularly the vulnerable, unhoused, and youth populations who rely on the services and resources we support. In North Westwood, we put these funds directly into programs that meet critical local needs. We have supported unhoused residents in the Westwood Village through nonprofit partnerships, funded musical instruments and arts education for students across age groups, and consistently assisted in providing free, accessible learning resources to the public.

Our funding also supports public safety and quality of life through community cleanups, infrastructure improvements, and necessary third spaces that foster neighborhood connection and mutual responsibility. In a district that encompasses

UCLA, student housing, family homes, and major pedestrian corridors, ensuring safe and well-maintained public spaces is both a basic necessity and a public duty. We have helped fund outreach and case management efforts that connect individuals with long-term housing services, medical support, and pathways to self-sufficiency. Neighborhood Councils like ours serve as first responders to hyperlocal issues—able to act quickly, equitably, and with the input of the communities we represent.

The current \$32,000 allocation is not excessive; it is essential. A \$7,000 cut would represent nearly a quarter of our annual budget and would force us to scale back or eliminate programming that our stakeholders have come to rely on. This reduction would not only limit our support for ongoing efforts but also prevent us from responding flexibly to new and unforeseen community needs—whether they concern housing, safety, education, or wellness.

We therefore urge the City Council to reject this funding cut and to preserve the full \$32,000 allocation to all Neighborhood Councils. We also call upon our fellow 99 Neighborhood Councils to file similar Community Impact Statements. These funds are vital to our shared mission of empowering communities, supporting local nonprofits, and maintaining safety, dignity, and opportunity across Los Angeles. The Neighborhood Council system is a proven and cost-effective model of grassroots governance. It deserves continued investment—not constraint.