

25-0600 PC 04-30-2025

1 message

City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Wed, Apr 30, 2025 at 8:30 AM

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Cc: Bcc:

Date: Wed, 30 Apr 2025 07:31:16 -0700

Subject: Fwd: Public Comment: \$5M Long COVID Line-Item to Prevent \$3B in Losses (CF 25-0600)

Thank you!

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Emelia Hennessy <erhenne@umich.edu>

Date: Wed, Apr 30, 2025 at 5:28 AM

Subject: Public Comment: \$5M Long COVID Line-Item to Prevent \$3B in Losses (CF 25-0600)

To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>, <Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>, <katy.yaroslavsky@lacity.org> Co: <Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org>, <DOD.Contact@lacity.org>, <controller.mejia@lacity.org>, <councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>, <heather.hutt@lacity.org>

Dear Budget and Finance Committee Members,

Please accept this email as my official public comment for inclusion in the record for Council File 25-0600 regarding the Fiscal Year 2025–26 City of Los Angeles Budget. In the face of a \$1 billion budget shortfall, it is essential to act strategically. I urge you to reverse the proposed \$1.075 million cut to the Department on Disability and to allocate a \$5 million Long COVID Response line-item as a critical fiscal stabilization and cost-containment measure. Key facts: • \$1.075 million cut to the Department on Disability proposed • \$5 million requested dedicated for Long COVID case management, benefits navigation, public outreach, prevention, and more • \$3 billion in projected five-year economic losses if Long COVID is ignored¹ • \$5 million investment now is less than 0.2% of projected losses over the next five years • 268,000 Angelenos living with Long COVID (and growing)² • Over 20× the number impacted when the City first funded AIDS services³ By Harvard economists estimations, Long COVID will cost Los Angeles over \$3 billion in five years¹. Globally, Long COVID is already costing more than \$1 trillion per year4, and U.S. losses exceeded \$152.6 billion in 2024 alone5. Without intervention, these costs will continue to rise and directly undermine the City's tax base and services. For comparison, during the AIDS crisis, Los Angeles began allocating dedicated funding in 1987, and by 1990 independently invested from its general fund—even though annual AIDS diagnoses in the County peaked at roughly 4,000 cases in 1992. Today, over 267,000 adults in Los Angeles are living with Long COVID, which is more than twenty times the peak impact of AIDS. Targeted investment at scale is again urgently needed. A \$5 million starter investment today would stabilize residents, reverse critical service cuts, prevent escalating liabilities, and position Los Angeles to leverage future State and Federal pandemic recovery funds. This small, targeted investment today is a cost-saving, revenue-protecting action for the City's future. It strengthens our workforce, protects public health infrastructure, and positions Los Angeles to leverage additional State and Federal recovery funding. And, it's the right thing to do. Investing now is responsible governance. Thank you for your leadership and for considering this critical measure.

Best, Emelia Hennessy 91344

References: ¹ Cutler, D. (2022). The Economic Impact of Long COVID. Harvard Kennedy School. ² CDC Household Pulse Survey. (September 2024). Long COVID Prevalence Estimates. ³ Los Angeles Times. (1990). City Council Approves Funds for AIDS Prevention. ⁴ Nature Medicine. (2024). Global Economic Cost of Long COVID Estimated at \$1 Trillion Annually. ⁵ Economist Impact. (2024). Incomplete Picture: Understanding the Burden of Long COVID.

Forwarded message From: Office of the City Clerk <citycle and="" bcc:<="" cc:="" city="" clerk="" council="" public="" se="" th="" to:=""><th>erk@lacity.org></th><th></th></citycle>	erk@lacity.org>	
Date: Wed, 30 Apr 2025 07:32:20 -0' Subject: Fwd: Public Comment Subr Thank you!		
Forwarded message From: Gabriela Juarez <gabrielaoju 2025="" 30,="" 6:36="" <cityclerk.cps@lacity.org="" am="" apr="" at="" comment="" date:="" public="" subject:="" submissio="" to:="" wed,="">, <cityclerk.cps@lacity.org>, <cityclerk.cps@lacity.cps@lacity.org>, <cityclerk.cps@lacity.org>, <cityclerk.cps@lacity.cps@lacity.org>, <cityclerk.cps@lacity.org>, <cityclerk.cps@lacity.cps@la< td=""><td>on - Council File No.: 25-0600</td><td></td></cityclerk.cps@lacity.cps@la<></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></cityclerk.cps@lacity.org></gabrielaoju>	on - Council File No.: 25-0600	
Forwarded messageFrom: <clerk.publiccomment@lacity -="" 2025="" 28,="" 6:24="" <="" apr="" at="" commnet="" council="" date:="" f="" mon,="" pm="" public="" subject:="" to:=""></clerk.publiccomment@lacity>		
То:		
**************************************	EASE DO NOT REPLY TO THIS AUTOMATED EMAIL	*******

Council File Number: 25-0600

Comments for Public Posting:

Re: Opposition to the City Planning climate and environmental justice related budget cuts proposed by Mayor Bass Honorable Chair Yaroslavsky and Budget and Finance Committee members, As a member of EAA, a civil servant for City Planning going on 20 years of service, and a resident of the City, I respectfully ask that you reinstate the proposed cuts to the City Planning Department's Environmental Justice Policy Unit, Office of Racial Justice and Equity, Boyle Heights Community Planning team and Wilmington Community Planning team. These work programs have been pivotal in closing the gaps in service to our historically underserved communities throughout our City. They are the City's best examples of how to do authentic and meaningful engagement. The staff in these units are not only tasked with the work programs in those titles but they also work on numerous assignments that are not captured in those assignments because there is not enough capacity elsewhere in the department or in other departments. For example, I am not only tasked with working on the department's climate equity work leading the City's first Climate Vulnerability Assessment and serving on several citywide wildfire recovery and floodplain plan update taskforces, and also supporting the Environmental Justice Policy team and the Open Space Element Update work, I am also tasked with other assignments outside of this Unit. I am tasked with monitoring Advanced Aerial Mobility so that the City is able to keep abreast on the evolving technology and what it would mean for the City. I am tasked with responding to various council motions regarding citywide policies as well as drafting the City's Annual Progress Report for the State reporting requirements and provide support to integrate climate resiliency in our Olympics planning and preparations. I am also assigned to updating the Safety Element to include the newly adopted Local Hazard Mitigation Plan so that the City can be eligible for additional disaster relief funds from the State under AB2140 as part of the wildfire recovery efforts that the Emergency Management Department and City critically need. Similarly, my colleagues are also given multiple assignments that are not reflected in the Unit and in the department's organizational chart. As such, eliminating this unit and the other units not only would stop the much needed work that provide equity to our underserved communities and ensure the City's resiliency but it would also cut the other work that responds to the City Council's directions to our department, support to international events, support in obtaining millions of dollars for wildfire recovery, support in meeting annual State reporting requirements, work to keep the City knowledgeable and prepared for emerging land use and transportation technologies and countless other miscellaneous work programs, reports and functions that other staff do not have capacity to accomplish and complete. One of the most essential functions we perform is to provide context, continuity and the policy perspective across departments. We bring the lens of the voices of the community as well as the technical knowledge contextualizing implementation for other departments with equity. Cutting these units in City Planning would not only stunt Los Angeles from being the innovative, progressive, and premiere leader that the world looks to for equity and resiliency but also create a severely inequitable distribution of public services to Angelenos. With appreciation for your consideration, Gabriela Juarez, AICP

If the above button is not clickable or is broken, please copy and paste the entire URL (minus any spaces) into your browser window.

If you DO NOT want to submit this public comment, simply ignore this E-mail and do not click on the link above.

Thank you,

Office of the City Clerk
Council & Public Services Division
City of Los Angeles
https://clerk.lacity.org/council-and-public-services

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Cc: Bcc:

Date: Wed, 30 Apr 2025 07:30:39 -0700

Subject: Fwd: URGENT: Public Comment: \$5M Long COVID Line-Item to Prevent \$3B in Losses (CF 25-0600)

Thank you!

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Tanya Vidhyarkorn <tanyav@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Apr 30, 2025 at 12:49 AM

Subject: URGENT: Public Comment: \$5M Long COVID Line-Item to Prevent \$3B in Losses (CF 25-0600)

To: <katy.yaroslavsky@lacity.org>, <CityClerk@lacity.org>, <Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org>
Co: <Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org>, <heather.hutt@lacity.org>, <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>,

<councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, <DOD.Contact@lacity.org>, <controller.mejia@lacity.org>

Dear Budget and Finance Committee Members,

Please accept this email as my official public comment for inclusion in the record for Council File 25-0600 regarding the Fiscal Year 2025–26 City of Los Angeles Budget.

In the face of a \$1 billion budget shortfall, it is essential to act strategically. I urge you to reverse the proposed \$1.075 million cut to the Department on Disability and to allocate a \$5 million Long COVID Response line-item as a critical fiscal stabilization and cost-containment measure.

Key facts:

- \$1.075 million cut to the Department on Disability proposed
- \$5 million requested dedicated for Long COVID case management, benefits navigation, public outreach, prevention, and more
- \$3 billion in projected five-year economic losses if Long COVID is ignored1
- \$5 million investment now is less than 0.2% of projected losses over the next five years
- 268,000 Angelenos living with Long COVID (and growing)²
- Over 20× the number impacted when the City first funded AIDS services³

By Harvard economists estimations, Long COVID will cost Los Angeles over \$3 billion in five years¹. Globally, Long COVID is already costing more than \$1 trillion per year⁴, and U.S. losses exceeded \$152.6 billion in 2024 alone⁵. Without intervention, these costs will continue to rise and directly undermine the City's tax base and services.

For comparison, during the AIDS crisis, Los Angeles began allocating dedicated funding in 1987, and by 1990 independently invested from its general fund—even though annual AIDS diagnoses in the County peaked at roughly 4,000 cases in 1992. Today, over 267,000 adults in Los Angeles are living with Long COVID, which is more than twenty times the peak impact of AIDS. Targeted investment at scale is again urgently needed.

A \$5 million starter investment today would stabilize residents, reverse critical service cuts, prevent escalating liabilities, and position Los Angeles to leverage future State and Federal pandemic recovery funds. This small, targeted investment today is a cost-saving, revenue-protecting action for the City's future. It strengthens our workforce, protects public health infrastructure, and positions Los Angeles to leverage additional State and Federal recovery funding. And, it's the right thing to do.

Investing now is responsible governance. Thank you for your leadership and for considering this critical measure.

Respectfully, Tanya Vidhyarkorn Mid city 90019

References:

- ¹ Cutler, D. (2022). The Economic Impact of Long COVID. Harvard Kennedy School.
- ² CDC Household Pulse Survey. (September 2024). Long COVID Prevalence Estimates.
- ³ Los Angeles Times. (1990). City Council Approves Funds for AIDS Prevention.
- 4 Nature Medicine. (2024). Global Economic Cost of Long COVID Estimated at \$1 Trillion Annually.
- ⁵ Economist Impact. (2024). Incomplete Picture: Understanding the Burden of Long COVID.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Cc: Bcc:

Date: Wed, 30 Apr 2025 07:30:10 -0700

Subject: Fwd: Public Comment: \$5M Long COVID Line-Item to Prevent \$3B in Losses (CF 25-0600)

Email: koicel@icloud.com

----- Forwarded message ------

From: 'Cel Koi' via Clerk - CityClerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Date: Wed, Apr 30, 2025 at 12:46 AM

Subject: Public Comment: \$5M Long COVID Line-Item to Prevent \$3B in Losses (CF 25-0600)

To: <katy.yaroslavsky@lacity.org>, <CityClerk@lacity.org>, <Clerk.BudgetandFinanceCommittee@lacity.org> Cc: <Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org>, <heather.hutt@lacity.org>, <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>,

<councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, <DOD.Contact@lacity.org>, <controller.mejia@lacity.org>

Dear Budget and Finance Committee Members,

Please accept this email as my official public comment for inclusion in the record for Council File 25-0600 regarding the Fiscal Year 2025–26 City of Los Angeles Budget.

In the face of a \$1 billion budget shortfall, it is essential to act strategically. I urge you to reverse the proposed \$1.075 million cut to the Department on Disability and to allocate a \$5 million Long COVID Response line-item as a critical fiscal stabilization and cost-containment measure.

Key facts:

- \$1.075 million cut to the Department on Disability proposed
- \$5 million requested dedicated for Long COVID case management, benefits navigation, public outreach, prevention, and more
- \$3 billion in projected five-year economic losses if Long COVID is ignored1
- \$5 million investment now is less than 0.2% of projected losses over the next five years
- 268,000 Angelenos living with Long COVID (and growing)²
- Over 20× the number impacted when the City first funded AIDS services³

By Harvard economists estimations, Long COVID will cost Los Angeles over \$3 billion in five years¹. Globally, Long COVID is already costing more than \$1 trillion per year⁴, and U.S. losses exceeded \$152.6 billion in 2024 alone⁵. Without intervention, these costs will continue to rise and directly undermine the City's tax base and services.

For comparison, during the AIDS crisis, Los Angeles began allocating dedicated funding in 1987, and by 1990 independently invested from its general fund—even though annual AIDS diagnoses in the County peaked at roughly 4,000 cases in 1992. Today, over 267,000 adults in Los Angeles are living with Long COVID, which is more than twenty times the peak impact of AIDS. Targeted investment at scale is again urgently needed.

A \$5 million starter investment today would stabilize residents, reverse critical service cuts, prevent escalating liabilities, and position Los Angeles to leverage future State and Federal pandemic recovery funds. This small, targeted investment today is a cost-saving, revenue-protecting action for the City's future. It strengthens our workforce, protects public health infrastructure, and positions Los Angeles to leverage additional State and Federal recovery funding. And, it's the right thing to do.

Investing now is responsible governance. Thank you for your leadership and for considering this critical measure.

Respectfully, Celeste L 90024

References:

- ¹ Cutler, D. (2022). The Economic Impact of Long COVID. Harvard Kennedy School.
- ² CDC Household Pulse Survey. (September 2024). Long COVID Prevalence Estimates.
- ³ Los Angeles Times. (1990). City Council Approves Funds for AIDS Prevention.
- ⁴ Nature Medicine, (2024), Global Economic Cost of Long COVID Estimated at \$1 Trillion Annually.
- ⁵ Economist Impact. (2024). Incomplete Picture: Understanding the Burden of Long COVID.

Sent from an informed resident

Fwd: Public Comment: \$5M Long COVID Line-Item to Prevent \$3B in Losses (CF 25-0600).eml
Fwd: Public Comment Submission - Council File No.: 25-0600.eml
Fwd: URGENT: Public Comment: \$5M Long COVID Line-Item to Prevent \$3B in Losses (CF 25-0600).eml
Fwd: Public Comment: \$5M Long COVID Line-Item to Prevent \$3B in Losses (CF 25-0600).eml