



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 25-0630

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, jamesaskew@nohonc.org

Fri, Feb 13, 2026 at 2:47 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: NoHo

Name: James Askew

Email: jamesaskew@nohonc.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(13) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 01/14/2026

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information

Date: 02/13/2026

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 25-0630

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: At a Brown Act-compliant meeting on January 14th, 2026, the NoHo Neighborhood Council voted in support of CF 25-0630 by a vote of 13-0-0. Please see the attached statement for more details.



NoHoNC.org     @NoHoNC

President
Jillian Burgos

Vice President
James Askew

Secretary
Danielle Mudge

Treasurer
Sommerly Simser

Sgt. At Arms
Hunter Gibson

Jorge Aparicio
Zachary Bilsky
Ken Dorfman
Fareid El Gafy
Charlotte Gerry
Selene Gonzalez
Matthew Haviland
Letisha Hines
Wendy Kheel
Maricela Mendez
Jen Montzingo
James O'Connell
Vicky Papa
Nathanael Roe
Leedun Solanchek
Jerry Yang

Date: 1/16/2026

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 25-0630

Summary: At a Brown Act-compliant meeting on January 14th, 2026, the NoHo Neighborhood Council voted in support of CF 25-0630 by a vote of 13-0-0.

We are in favor of this council file and its aim to properly communicate current and reliable information to tenants throughout the city. We recognize the frustration, as any individual who has been stuck with a robot when they intended to speak with a human being has experienced, of being given the runaround when reaching out for help. The last thing one wants is to speak with an overstuffed answering machine, least of all when there is an issue concerning one's home. It is not too much to ask property owners at all scales, but especially the larger corporate entities managing multiple properties through complex automated systems, to make a real human being available to tenants as and when they need problems solved within their unit.

As with our support of [CF 25-1006](#), we aim not only to support proactive communication of information to tenants, but also to decrease friction between landlords and tenants where possible. This council file breaks down communication barriers between the two that will no doubt decrease frustration in the long term. For these reasons, we support CF 25-0630.