

Communication from Public

Name: Deborah Gonzales

Date Submitted: 06/26/2025 08:18 PM

Council File No: 25-0642

Comments for Public Posting: It is appalling to know that eliminating parking requirements for new development and ADUs is even being considered! This kind of policy completely disregards the realities and needs of existing neighborhoods, especially single-family communities. Our streets are becoming increasingly crowded with parked cars, often from homes that once had garages but have since converted those spaces into ADUs without providing any replacement parking. What were once quiet, open, and safe residential roads are now narrow and difficult to navigate, creating dangerous driving conditions and increasing frustration among neighbors. The cumulative effect of approving apartment-style developments, commercial centers, and ADUs without adequate parking is contributing to the decline of our communities. This approach prioritizes density over livability and is not a sustainable solution to the housing crisis. It breeds traffic congestion, elevates tensions as residents compete for limited street parking, and strips away the aesthetic and functionality that once defined our neighborhoods. We have paid property taxes for decades with the expectation that our local quality of life would be preserved, not diminished. Instead of pushing through shortsighted legislation that ignores critical infrastructure needs, we ask that you focus on restoring basic services—cleaning our streets, repairing our sidewalks, and protecting our residential character. Please oppose this bill and any future measures that continue to erode parking requirements. The peace, safety, and integrity of our neighborhoods depend on thoughtful, balanced planning—not blanket exemptions that lead to chaos.

Communication from Public

Name: Ruth Doxsee

Date Submitted: 06/25/2025 07:03 PM

Council File No: 25-0642

Comments for Public Posting: New developments need adequate parking requirements. In my dictionary, adequate parking is at least two vehicle (not bicycle or moped) spots per bedroom of unit.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/25/2025 09:43 PM

Council File No: 25-0642

Comments for Public Posting: It is my understanding that the LA Council is considering a motion to eliminate parking requirements for all new developments. This is quite unacceptable, as it will add more congestion, due to an over abundance of vehicles coming to the neighborhood where the development would be built. In addition, the construction of high rise buildings adds significant carbon to the atmosphere and this occurs thru the construction process and the materials used, steel and concrete. High rise buildings are extremely carbon intensive adding large amounts of carbon to the atmosphere, thus creating a very unhealthy environment for the public, (families in the neighborhood, and others). Please reconsider your proposal to eliminate parking requirements for these buildings. Also, it is very important that the location of these buildings, if you must build them, be in locations that are away from family neighborhoods. Families, with children, of all ages cannot ride their bikes, nor can they walk in an environment meant for other purposes. Older adults would also feel encumbered by the amount of traffic. Please re-evaluate your decision on this matter.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/26/2025 01:09 PM

Council File No: 25-0642

Comments for Public Posting: The perimeter around a private property has already disappeared, and now you want to deregulate parking as well. Anybody voting for this will certainly never receive my vote to continue being a representative! This is just another of many of the liberal, socialistic changes that are happening against my will. I still have voting rights, however, and may it ever be!!!

Communication from Public

Name: Saima S.

Date Submitted: 06/26/2025 02:52 PM

Council File No: 25-0642

Comments for Public Posting: I am in favor of this motion "to report with recommendations regarding the feasibility and cost-benefit analysis of citywide elimination of off-street parking requirements for new development and changes of use". Other public commenters are acting like voting in favor of this motion automatically removes the parking requirements. I see no reason not to further explore our options and conduct a cost-benefit analysis. People wax poetic about how LA and the San Fernando Valley used to be...50 years ago. We cannot keep legislating as if the population has not quintupled since 1970. The roads ARE more congested. Housing IS becoming scarce. Parking IS becoming more limited. These are all just attributes of an urban environment; one which we all continue to choose to live in. The SFV used to be suburbia, but LA's sprawl is quickly filling in and becoming more densely populated. It's not suburbia anymore. This is why we need to reevaluate how we build, where we build, and what barriers we put in place in order to do so. It's just not realistic to have 2 parking spaces for every person, and we need to build a lot more affordable housing in order to accommodate people. Anyone who's owned property in LA knows just how absurdly difficult it can be to build or renovate ANYTHING here. Permits and codes take over your life and make you want to tear your hair out! Making it easier and cheaper to build is necessary to address the growing population, and if we discover that removing the parking requirements can actually help, that would be GOOD news! Now if we could just do something to address how ugly most of the new construction is...but I guess that's a different conversation for another time.

Communication from Public

Name: Christina Fenimore

Date Submitted: 06/26/2025 03:56 PM

Council File No: 25-0642

Comments for Public Posting: Donald Shoup has been pushing this matter for 50 years in classrooms and I totally disagree with his idea. We have a terrible public transportation system that does not cover Santa Clarita, Lancaster, Palmdale, the San Fernando Valley. There is one train system and an express bus to get to DTLA. I live in Northridge and drive to Downtown LA, because public transportation is for the San Fernando Valley is terrible. The city has made a mess with North Hollywood and all those apartments with no required building parking. No building should go up without parking for tenants. Eliminating the parking requirement is terrible for the disabled person. This is a bad idea!!!!

Communication from Public

Name: Michael Smith

Date Submitted: 06/26/2025 05:18 PM

Council File No: 25-0642

Comments for Public Posting: No building permits should be approved without adequate parking included in the proposal. This should apply for ADU construction as well as commercial buildings. Thank you