



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 25-0642

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

Tue, Feb 24, 2026 at 12:16 PM

Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, planning.mobility@lacity.org, CPC@lacity.org, amosm@resedacouncil.org, ladbs.haulrequest@lacity.org, Daisy.Bonilla@lacity.org

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Reseda

Name: Amos Marvel

Email: amosm@resedacouncil.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(4) Nay(3) Abstain(3) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 02/17/2026

Type of NC Board Action: For if Amended

Impact Information

Date: 02/24/2026

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: Building and Safety Commissioners, City Planning Commission, Street/Transportation Projects Oversight Committee, Street Standards Committee, City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 25-0642

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: The Reseda Neighborhood Council (RNC) supports the spirit of analysis and data collection that studies can produce, which is always a "good thing." In times of extreme budgetary crisis, we support studies which are being conducted "in-house" and not via outside agencies that require additional city expenditure. We welcome the information such a study can provide, but will oppose any effort to use such data to justify a misguided agenda of creating a blanket

citywide policy regarding the elimination of parking requirements, which is simple minded on the face of it. It will simply serve to further stratify our city into "Have" and "Have Not" communities all while wealthy developers enrich themselves at the expense of neighborhoods that they do not live in. Even reduced parking give-a-ways have proven to be extremely damaging to our community. We acknowledge that more housing for less advantaged Angelenos needs to open up in the city, and there are other pertinent studies that need to be made to accomplish this. Where appropriate these studies need to consider that the goal is not to simply warehouse people but it must be livable housing with the infrastructure to provide a good "quality of life." Part of that is recognizing that parking is and shall continue to be a major infrastructure need in housing considerations and should be considered on a neighborhood by neighborhood basis before being eliminated as a requirement. Please see attached pdf for our full statement.