

Communication from Public

Name: M Preissel

Date Submitted: 08/31/2025 12:40 AM

Council File No: 25-0742

Comments for Public Posting: Another land use plan without informing the community or getting input. This "underutilized" metro park and ride is underutilized because it is not safe for cars to be left their all day and not be vandalized. If anyone wants to talk to the business' across the street and learn how they deal with constant thefts and having to lock their doors during the day, please do your job. You are taking a valuable transit park and ride, ignoring the issues with the homeless in the basin causing this crime and lack of trust in this metro lot and adding another lot that will be broken into, and even have budgeted porta potties so they have RV's to break into to sleep, bathrooms to use, police cannot remove them according to our Safety meeting, and the business' and schools at this corner will suffer. As a community we would like to see decisions made from the facts and not be thrown at the community and voted by a council that has no idea of our area, our area having a lack of community representation from our Councilmember who thinks compromise means that we meet with her after the fact and approve the height of the fence and doesn't understand that the Mayor's Emergency Directive was not necessary to push this through over our heads, as Monica Rodrigues pointed out. Does the city council ever see how this effects the dark outlook the the community has of their representatives and this lot, being at a prime location on the edge of the Sepulveda Basin our suffering park land that has not been attended to by this representative at all, is not safe anymore for a kid to ride their bike in, good enough for the Olympics, but not protecting the transit park and ride that would help move people around and replace it with this blight of relinquished RV's has been sprung on us, the facts have not been represented, and I cannot imagine there isn't a much better location for this in the district. Putting Imelda on the Metro board was not a good choice for her district is the common opinion of the community now who feels like we are without representation. Can we ask of these motions, even if falsely attributed to ED1, that they actually are researched and it is presented through the community, business' near the location and the nearby schools before unanimously approving another thoughtless project? It also makes Neighborhood Council input questionable and involvement a waste of time for many, continuing to lose faith in our local government. This going to a committee that the Councilwoman

who moved this motion which she chairs is also a conflict of interest in my opinion, there will be no consideration to look at any facts that would motivate them to consider other locations, as this office does not reconsider their decisions, the talk compromise like the community is asking for unjust representation, when we are actually asking to be properly represented as the Councilmember's first, not the last priority.