

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 09/09/2025 03:41 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0811

**Comments for Public Posting:** I do not support the demolition of these houses on Carlton Way.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Gary Canning

**Date Submitted:** 09/09/2025 06:44 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0811

**Comments for Public Posting:** COUNCIL FILE NO: 25-0811 CASE NO: CPC-2024-914-DB-SPPC-VHCA CEQA: ENV-2024-915-CE  
Plan Area: Hollywood Council District: 13 - Soto-Martinez  
Project Site: 5416 - 5418, 5420, 5424-5428 and 5430 West Carlton Way Los Angeles, CA 90027 Honorable Chair Blumenfield and members of the PLUM Committee, I urge you to support the Carlton Serrano Tenants Association Appeal and reject this proposed development, which would demolish seven rent-controlled buildings, displace nearly fifty vulnerable tenants, and would result in a net loss of naturally occurring low-income RSO housing. The project is noncompliant with SB8, “No Net Loss,” the Resident Protection Ordinance (RPO), SNAP Subarea A, and CEQA. Failing to comply with SB8 is grounds for project rejection and accordingly, this project must be denied. Replacing 25 existing RSO units with only 15 “Very Low Income” units (just 10.8% of the total) fails to justify the destruction of naturally occurring low-income RSO housing and promotes gentrification. The use of off-menu waivers for a 176% height increase and 74% open space reduction prioritizes profit over community standards. The claim that the project complies with SNAP is flawed, especially in Subarea A. SNAP was specifically designed to protect quality of life and to prevent invasive noncompliant projects like this from occurring. The removal of 17 trees, including two protected California Oaks, violates the Protected Tree Ordinance and necessitates a full Environmental Impact Report. Additionally, the site includes historic buildings with contextual value near the Edith Northman-designed Carlton Apartments, and their demolition would erode the area's historic fabric. The developers, ROM Investments and their affiliates, have a documented history of tenant harassment, code violations, and unethical conduct, further underscoring why this project should not proceed. Tenant harassment, such as ROM Investments documented cases of refusing to conduct repairs violates the Housing Crisis Act (SB8), the Housing Crisis Act Replacement Unit Determination (RUD) and the Resident Protection Ordinance (RPO). As this development project is noncompliant, it must be denied. Please side with the community, not bad actors, and support the appeal. Sincerely, Gary Canning

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Edward Shurla

**Date Submitted:** 09/09/2025 08:45 AM

**Council File No:** 25-0811

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please help save our historic Carlton Way homes .