

Communication from Public

Name: Artur

Date Submitted: 10/29/2025 03:02 AM

Council File No: 25-0843

Comments for Public Posting: I see that a decision has been made about the RV park proposal on lemona ave. I want to press further and state that approving such a facility within residential neighborhoods that happen to be family homes and structures from the 50s, which have a certain character would be gross and neglectful of health, safety, and property values. We see how communities are ruined through careless and characterless gentrifications, and how it can push true angelenos out of the area. This sort of addition to a community would be a "ghettofication." We all know that this sort of "housing" is not what the city is fighting for nor pushing. We have seen the conditions that RV's have within the city of LA. Many are vehicles that are barely in condition to drive and an eyesore, let alone a health and safety hazard. Its very clear that these sort of facilities arent really attracting families nor showing care for the area around them. This area is kept afloat by homeowners taking care of their respective spaces, allowing these sort of abominations like RV parks would be like graffiti on a freshly painted wall. The space currently vacant on that lot is best suited for a few townhomes and nothing more. It currently is all the bones of a failed nursery and has turned to a massive eyesore with no sidewalk, rendering that par of the street a hazard. Its become a stain on a very vibrant community and neighborhood that is very friendly and welcoming. On a final note, I would add that this area keeps having additions of ADU due to investments by flippers and that needs to be revisited, these areas are already dense and having a two floor addition encroaching onto a neighbors privacy is not the sort of affordable housing this city needs. There needs to be new regulations established as to how many ADUS can be constructed and have neighborhood approval as the streets are already getting packed with cars. These ADUS are not even affordable nor helping with housing because they are jacked up compared to current market rates because the investor wants to regain money on a mortgage thats not feasible. Much like the RV proposal, all structures and projects that fall out of a community's character need to be addressed with the neighborhood itself, its unbelievable that projects of this sort are only between the proposer and city council, when the critical component (neighbors and community) is completely disregarded and left out! We think its all about affordable housing but it really

isnt, it only benefits the one that charges rent.