

Communication from Public

Name: Leticia Tascian

Date Submitted: 09/03/2025 04:05 PM

Council File No: 25-0919

Comments for Public Posting: I do not support the Tiny Homes Project. In my opinion what these people need is programs to help them with their drug addiction and to want to get out of the streets, not tiny homes. they don't want to live/go by rules or regulations, a good example is the Branford Project, many of those people got evicted/kicked out because they could not respect the rules/regulations, and the tiny homes had to be filled out with people from other districts/areas. If you drive by that area all you see is trash and bulky items everywhere. Again invest the money in programs to help them with their drug addiction and other bad habits, to help them stay off the streets and don't pose a threat to the neighborhood.

Communication from Public

Name: Sunny Lopez

Date Submitted: 09/03/2025 02:40 PM

Council File No: 25-0919

Comments for Public Posting: OPPOSED: This comment is submitted to strongly oppose the manner in which the Sun Valley Metrolink Station Improvements are being pursued. These improvements are long overdue and should have proceeded INDEPENDENTLY, rather than being inextricably linked to the highly controversial tiny home project at the Sun Valley Metrolink Station. It is of paramount concern that the Los Angeles City Council continues to operate without accountability or consequences for providing the public with false and misleading information, specifically the unsubstantiated claim that Sun Valley residents agreed to or supported this project. This is categorically FALSE. Councilmember Nazarian's decision to co-sign the motion alongside someone like Imelda Padilla, who is widely discredited and justifiably the target of public outcry, is deeply disturbing. This alliance reeks of political self-interest and quid pro quo, utterly disregarding the true needs and voices of the community. It is a blatant betrayal of public trust and raises serious concerns about the integrity and accountability of those elected to represent us. Such conduct decimates public trust and paves the way for serious allegations of government misconduct, suppression of genuine public input, and distortion of facts. Once again, our low-income community of color is denied a true voice in determining projects that deeply affect our well-being and safety. This process is an embarrassment to the City Council and a clear demonstration that, regardless of whom residents vote for, systemic disregard for community input persists. We demand that these urgently needed infrastructure improvements be separated from the tiny homes project and that the record be corrected to reflect the community's strong and sustained opposition. Seems like we have been sounding the alarm since the inception of this horrendous project that "The residents of Sun Valley deserve transparency, honesty, and respect, not political gamesmanship at our expense."

NO
TINY
HOMES
Enough
and
Surviving
agendas

SHAME
ON
Imelda
PADILLA

RESPECT
OUR
COMMUNITY

NO
TINY
HOMES IN
THE
VALLEY
NO
PADA
PADILLA

Communication from Public

Name: Velveth

Date Submitted: 09/03/2025 03:08 PM

Council File No: 25-0919

Comments for Public Posting: It's disheartening that a city with historically little investment in health, wellness, or green spaces continues to be chosen for low-income projects, rather than receiving the resources needed to truly uplift and elevate our community. Placing a Tiny Home community at the Metrolink station not only risks deterring people from using public transportation- which will be essential with upcoming events like the Olympics and World Cup- but also raises concerns about the direct access into a residential neighborhood via the pedestrian bridge. While we understand that not all unhoused individuals pose a danger, homeowners invest here seeking a safe community, and statistics show that crime often increases around such developments. This inevitably impacts property values and the overall wellbeing of the neighborhood. There are abandoned buildings and underused commercial areas that would make far more suitable locations for these projects- areas not directly bordering homes. Beyond that, Tiny Homes are a temporary fix, not a true solution. What should be addressed with funding and energy are the root causes: the high cost of living, lack of mental health services, and substance abuse treatment.

Communication from Public

Name: Veronoica Alcaraz

Date Submitted: 09/03/2025 03:32 PM

Council File No: 25-0919

Comments for Public Posting: This comment is submitted to vehemently oppose the reckless and irresponsible manner in which the Sun Valley Metrolink Station Improvements are being pushed forward. These vital improvements have been long overdue, yet instead of being pursued independently and transparently, they are being shamefully tied to the deeply divisive and highly controversial tiny home project at the Sun Valley Metrolink Station. It is utterly unacceptable and infuriating that the Los Angeles City Council continues to operate without any accountability, brazenly disseminating false and misleading information. The claim that Sun Valley residents agreed to or support this project is a blatant and cynical lie. Councilmember Nazarian's decision to co-sign this motion alongside Imelda Padilla, who is widely discredited and rightly the subject of public outrage, exposes a disturbing pattern of political backroom deal-making and quid pro quo that tramples on the very voices and needs of the community. This betrayal of public trust undermines the integrity of governance, opens the door to government misconduct, and actively suppresses genuine public input while twisting facts to fit a predetermined agenda. Once again, our environmental justice community of color is silenced and ignored in decisions that directly threaten our safety and our family's well being. This entire process is an embarrassment and disgrace to the Los Angeles City Council, a stark demonstration that no matter who residents vote for, systemic corruption, disregard and marginalization of community voices persist without consequence. No wonder the city council is rightfully referred to as the gang of 15. We demand immediate separation of these desperately needed infrastructure improvements from the tiny home project and insist that the official record reflects the unwavering and sustained opposition of the Sun Valley community. Sun Valley is disgusted by the way all these unwanted projects end up being dumped in our public spaces.

Communication from Public

Name: Mariam Moore

Date Submitted: 09/03/2025 09:55 AM

Council File No: 25-0919

Comments for Public Posting: This motion represents a clear FALSEHOOD and an obstruction of the actual facts. It is already evident that Councilmember Padilla lacks the public's trust due to hastily approved projects that have been justified under the guise of an emergency crisis, such as the tiny homes at the Sun Valley Metrolink station. However, it is truly astonishing that Councilmember Adrin Nazarian would blindly support Padilla by endorsing this motion. What is happening within this council? Is it a case of mutual back-scratching? It certainly appears that way. To clarify: the residents of Sun Valley have consistently and unequivocally opposed this project since its inception and continue to do so, standing united against a machine known as the Los Angeles city council. Our community has been systematically denied the opportunity to express concerns and provide feedback that is genuinely considered, rather than merely "acknowledged" in passing. Any assertion that Sun Valley residents are in favor of this project is unequivocally FALSE. Equally concerning is the ongoing spread of misleading and inaccurate information by both council districts: 2 and 6. Despite numerous requests, council district six has failed to disclose the results of its community survey, which was also manipulated to distort responses and suppress public records by misinterpreting certain questions (#15) that did not allow for options to decline or opt out. The refusal to ensure transparency raises even more serious concerns and further diminishes public trust. This behavior constitutes a gross misrepresentation of facts and public dissent. It undermines the principles of open government and breaches the ethical standards expected of public officials. The dissemination of knowingly inaccurate information is not only unacceptable but may also violate state transparency laws, and depending on the intent, could escalate to civil or even criminal misconduct. We demand the immediate release of all pertinent public information regarding this controversial tiny home project, including but not limited to the recent survey results, and an end to any further misrepresentation.