



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 25-0930 - Agenda Item Number: 9. c.

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

Tue, Nov 25, 2025 at 8:27 PM

Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, cindycleghorn@gmail.com

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Sunland-Tujunga

Name: Cindy Cleghorn

Email: cindycleghorn@gmail.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(12) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 11/12/2025

Type of NC Board Action: Against

Impact Information

Date: 11/26/2025

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 25-0930

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number: 9. c.

Summary: Summary: The Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council (STNC) has reviewed Council File 25-0930 regarding options and amendments to require that bike lanes provide sufficient width for emergency vehicle access. The original motion was introduced by Councilmember Heather Hutt and seconded by Councilmember Tim McOsker on August 13, 2025. The amendment was introduced by Councilmembers Hugo Soto-Martínez and Nithya Raman and seconded by

Councilmember Katy Yaroslavsky. Community Position: The Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council's STAT Committee unanimously approved a motion recommending that the STNC Board oppose this amendment. Our community does not believe this proposal would be practical or safe for the Sunland-Tujunga area. Foothill Boulevard and Sunland Boulevard are already constrained by existing bike lanes and on-street parking, leaving limited space for vehicle traffic in both directions. Further restricting these travel lanes to accommodate emergency vehicles within the bike lanes would exacerbate congestion and reduce roadway safety. Additionally, allowing emergency vehicles to use bike lanes poses a serious risk to cyclists, who could become trapped between fast-moving traffic and the curb during emergency responses. Such a policy would endanger both bicyclists and motorists rather than improving safety or emergency response times. Conclusion: For these reasons, the Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council respectfully opposes the proposed amendment requiring bike lanes to provide additional width for emergency vehicle access.