

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 25-1036

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, lauramink@aol.com

Mon, Dec 1, 2025 at 6:03 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enable by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Councils rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

******* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *******

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: United Neighborhoods

Name: Laura Meyers Email: lauramink@aol.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(10) Nay(3) Abstain(0) Ineligible(1) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 11/06/2025

Type of NC Board Action: Against Unless Amended

Impact Information Date: 12/02/2025

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 25-1036 City Planning Number: Agenda Date: 12/02/2025

Item Number:

Summary: UNNC, the United Neighborhoods of the Historic Arlington Heights, West Adams and Jefferson Park Communities Neighborhood Council, OPPOSES this motion unless it is significantly changed. In concept, we support the idea that it's way too hot now, it's getting hotter, and people have the right to live in healthy homes. However, this motion would establish a "heat threshold" (actually a cooling threshold) of 82 degrees for L.A.'s rental units for ALL rooms in the unit. It does not seem realistic to require every room in a rental to meet that standard. UNNC's first recommendation is that the initiative be changed to require a maximum of TWO ROOMS be kept at 82 degrees. Older multifamily properties, which are common within the UNNC footprint, may not currently have the electrical capacity to accommodate new AC

units, and many permit and LADWP requirements make it difficult and expensive for small landlords to upgrade their systems. So second, the City Council should mandate that LADWP have a streamlined process for small landlords to upgrade their electric systems. Third, AC is not the only option for cooling and in fact is taxing on the City's electrical grid. The City (perhaps CEMO) should prepare toolkits to help owners identify alternative options and resources to keep their rentals cool and should also consider providing incentives to owners. Fourth, before finalizing this, the City should know what percentage of renters (versus owners) pay for electricity. It won't matter if AC is installed, if a renter cannot afford to run the unit when it's hot. UNNC therefore requests that the City Council, with the help of LAHD, CEMO, LADWP, Planning Dept., and the City Attorney, reevaluate this initiative to make it more feasible and practical to implement.