

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 01:03 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: The attached letter from the Neighborhood Council of Westchester/Playa requests that Councilmember Park reconsider and/or amend her SB 79 motion or initiate a separate Emergency Access and Evacuation Study to ensure that community safety is addressed in relation to the impacts of SB 79.



Neighborhood Council of Westchester Playa

8726 South Sepulveda Boulevard, PMB 191A Los Angeles, CA 90045
email: inquiries@ncwpr.org • www.ncwpr.org



November 4, 2025

Honorable Traci Park,
Councilmember CD 11
Los Angeles City Planning
200 N. Spring St.
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Sean Silva, Planning Liaison /
sean.silva@lacity.org
Trey Grogan, Westchester / Playa Field
Deputy / trey.grogan@lacity.org

RE: Request for Emergency Services Study – CPU and SB 79 Impacts

Dear Honorable Traci Park,

Whereas, the Westchester/Playa community borders Los Angeles International Airport (LAX), one of the busiest airports in the world, and includes neighborhoods uniquely constrained by airport operations, flight paths, and limited surface-access routes bounded by the 405 and 105 freeways, the Ballona Wetlands, and the Pacific Ocean; and

Whereas, few cities in the world locate a major international airport within dense residential neighborhoods, making Westchester’s planning context uniquely vulnerable from a public safety and infrastructure standpoint; and

Whereas, the pending Westchester/Playa Community Plan Update (CPU) and new state law SB 79 may substantially increase residential density, intensifying pressure on already limited evacuation routes and emergency-response capacity; and

Whereas, California law requires evacuation and response planning for wildfire zones, yet no comparable analysis exists for airport-adjacent neighborhoods facing equal or greater safety constraints; and

Whereas, in the event of an aviation accident, hazardous-materials release, or terrorist incident, airport-adjacent neighborhoods could face gridlock, delayed emergency access, and compromised life safety due to limited roadway capacity and regional congestion;

Therefore, be it resolved, that the Neighborhood Council of Westchester/Playa urges Councilmember Traci Park move to reconsider her motion, Council File 25-1083, to explicitly include “Sites within a 2-mile radius of LAWA: LAX and Van Nuys Airports”, within the scope of the proposed safety and infrastructure study, or to introduce a new motion, initiating a distinct Safety and Infrastructure Study consistent with the recommendations outlined herein; and

Be it further resolved, that Councilwoman Traci Park immediately direct the Los Angeles Fire Department, Los Angeles Police Department, Los Angeles World Airports, and the City's Emergency Management Department to jointly prepare a comprehensive emergency-access and evacuation report evaluating CPU and SB 79 impacts within a 2-mile radius of LAX and Van Nuys Airports. This report shall identify current evacuation routes, access corridors, operational constraints, and mitigation measures to ensure that any new development does not exceed emergency-response capacity or compromise community safety; and

Be it finally resolved, that Councilwoman Traci Park delay any further advancement of the Westchester/Playa Community Plan Update (CPU) until the findings of the above-referenced study have been completed, publicly reviewed, and fully integrated into both the CPU and the City's SB 79 implementation and compliance process, applying the same life-safety standards required for wildfire zones and other sensitive areas.

Thank you for considering this critical request. Please don't hesitate to reach out with questions

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Paula Gerez". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Paula Gerez
President, NCWP

Communication from Public

Name: Carol Wolfe

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 04:17 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered.

Communication from Public

Name: Sunset Square Neighborhood Organization

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 04:24 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Sunset Square Neighborhood Organization respectfully requests your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you, Courtney Small Board Member Sunset Square Neighborhood Organization

Communication from Public

Name: Courtney Small

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 04:26 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although I am disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, I support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you, Courtney Small

Communication from Public

Name: Laura Chapin

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 04:30 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered.

Communication from Public

Name: Jeffrey Michael Kalban

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 04:51 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered

Communication from Public

Name: Terri M Tippit

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 05:05 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered.

Communication from Public

Name: Amnon Charash
Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 05:06 PM
Council File No: 25-1083
Comments for Public Posting: It is imperative that the Council adopt Option B of the CF 25-1083 so as to minimize the huge damage that SB79 will cause to many neighborhoods in California. Thank you very much for doing that which is just and correct for your constituents.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 05:09 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request approval of the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed by the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference by SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered.

Communication from Public

Name: Tammy Scher

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 06:13 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you, Tammy Scher Resident, Sherman Oaks

Communication from Public

Name: Lionel B. Sanders

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 06:14 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you, Lionel B. Sanders

Communication from Public

Name: Ellyne Citron-Greenbaum

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 06:37 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I, Ellyne Citron-Greenbaum, a resident of Encino, California respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered.

Communication from Public

Name: Janey Robinson

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 07:47 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I, Janey Robinson, respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although the lack of local control granted by SB 79 would be devastating to our communities, and our Housing Element is not being allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of the harmful options being offered. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Laurie Kelson
Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 08:48 PM
Council File No: 25-1083
Comments for Public Posting: I support Option B regarding SB79. The city does not have time to do another Housing Element.

Communication from Public

Name: Beka tischker

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 09:02 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Councilmember , I (HOA, NC, or individual) respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you,

Communication from Public

Name: David Spitzer

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 09:27 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I David Spitzer respectfully want to share my support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although I am disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered.

Communication from Public

Name: Joseph C Steins
Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 09:31 PM
Council File No: 25-1083
Comments for Public Posting: November 14, 2025. As a homeowner in the Miracle Mile for 55 years, I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on Council File #25-1083. I am extremely disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79, especially in light of ALL THE TIME AND EFFORT that was expended in the last 2 to 3 years, forming the Housing Element for the City of Los Angeles. As you know, our Housing Element would have enabled us to provide all the housing anticipated for the Miracle Mile on the major boulevards forming the perimeter of the Miracle Mile, leaving single family housing intact, without the interference of SB 79. I support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of the options being offered and hope that the Los Angeles City Council will support it also. Thank you. Joseph C. Steins, 1052 South Sierra Bonita Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90019

Communication from Public

Name: Donna Stillo

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 11:00 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I (HOA, NC, or individual) respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you,
Donna Stillo 4774 Park Encino Lane Encino, CA. 91436

Communication from Public

Name: Ellen

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 11:06 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you, Ellen Turner

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/14/2025 11:27 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Councilmembers I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are very disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. This should not be allowed to betray the historic districts promise, and it would be incredibly foolish to allow in high fire zones. Thank you, B. Cobb

Communication from Public

Name: Gordon Morris

Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 12:54 AM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Dear PLUM Councilmembers, I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you
Gordon Morris 7149 Rival Rd. West Hills, CA 91307
818-384-2455

Communication from Public

Name: Jane and Bob Anderson

Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 08:55 AM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: We respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Option B for Council File 25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Option B as the least worst, most equitable, and most responsible of options being offered. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Bob Keehn

Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 10:06 AM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: The Cheviot Hills Neighborhood Association respectfully requests your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you

Communication from Public

Name: Philip Holahan

Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 12:16 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Councilmembers, I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you, Philip Holahan 923 S Windsor Blvd.

Communication from Public

Name: Jan Reichmann

Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 12:41 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Since our neighborhood of 300 homes is impacted due to our close proximity to Century City, our choice is Option B. It's the only hope of not being completely obliterated because of SB79. Our frontage road of small businesses is now considered a an opportunity corridor 3. We want to protect our neighborhood that started in the 1920's with mature trees and gardens where children were close to nature and were taught to protect the environment.

Communication from Public

Name: Gloria Mitchell

Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 01:52 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I, Gloria Mitchell, respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered.

Communication from Public

Name: Leslie Elkan

Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 01:53 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I, Leslie Elkan, respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although am disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, I support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you, Leeslie Elkan 4612 Hayvenhurst Ave. Encino, CA 91436 818-326-0273

Communication from Public

Name: Emily Loughran
Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 02:47 PM
Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I am strongly opposed to the implementation of SB79 in single family neighborhoods and ESPECIALLY those in very high fire zones. Adding density to areas that have single family homes with narrow roads and limited ingress and egress is criminal. When we had to evacuate a few years ago for the Getty Fire at 3AM with flames in the near distance forcing everyone to flee, traffic out of the canyon was at a standstill. We got out BUT adding MORE people and MORE cars is a recipe for fatalities in the next fire. Los Angeles has a Housing Element that does not rely upon building in the high fire zones and also does not disrupt single family neighborhoods. Follow it. We have the capacity to add housing without harming single family neighborhoods that lack the capacity for the massive density contemplated in SB79. Yes, transit is needed in LA and building housing in already dense areas makes sense. Sadly with SB79 the idea of adding transit anywhere near neighborhoods becomes very unappealing and a non-starter and I along with many will not longer support transit if it comes at the expense of destroying neighborhoods. It is possible and desirable to PROTECT single family neighborhoods AND grow transit. It is possible to do both and not turn SB79 into a transit killing bill. Of the options available to PLUM on November 17th, Option B is the least bad and I would urge you to adopt it.

Communication from Public

Name: Jason

Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 04:28 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Councilmember, I am respectfully requesting your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. I am disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, but I support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you

Communication from Public

Name: Charles and Roberta Baker

Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 04:35 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: We respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you, Charles and Roberta Baker Sherman Oaks Residents for 37 years

Communication from Public

Name: Richard Mirisch

Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 04:43 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: We respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you, Richard & Belen Mirisch EPOA Board Member

Communication from Public

Name: Victoria Miller

Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 05:31 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: To Whom This May Concern: As a third-generation Angeleno I am writing to express my strong support for the City of Los Angeles Planning Department's Plan B in CF #25-1083. While this is not the optimum outcome I was hoping for, and does not let individual municipalities decide the planning of their individual communities through our own "Housing Element," I believe Plan B would be the most reasonable, not to mention equitable option of the options offered. Thank you in advance for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Victoria Miller Encino, 91436

Communication from Public

Name: Grayburn Avenue Block Club

Date Submitted: 11/15/2025 08:24 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Please support Option "B" regarding CF 25-10-83 Councilmembers Hutt, Blumenfield, Lee and Nazarian We, Grayburn Avenue Block Club, respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of the options being offered. Thank you, Lynetta A.S. McElroy, J.D. Captain, Grayburn Avenue Block Club Lead, Western Quadrant of North Leimert Park in South Los Angeles

Communication from Public

Name: Brian Newhouse

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 09:39 AM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I support the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083 and ask that you do the same. Thank you. Brian Newhouse

Communication from Public

Name: Juanita myers
Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 10:28 AM
Council File No: 25-1083
Comments for Public Posting: Please choose option "B" as the option PLUM recommends!! This bill is one of the worst ever to come out of Scott Weiner and considering almost everything he has put out is usually poor, it says a lot! Thank you

Communication from Public

Name: Encino Property Owners Association

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 11:11 AM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Motion: EPOA supports Option B which will try to defer as many neighborhoods as possible from the impacts of SB 79 until 2030.
CF 25-1083 Motion Kelson, 2nd R Glushon

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 12:46 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I write in support of delaying the implementation of SB79. The City is only part way through its approved 2021-2029 Housing Element, and the City and State should determine the post-pandemic impact of the Housing Element prior to changing the rules once again. A better way for Los Angeles to achieve the goals of SB79 would be as an integrated approach such as a part of the 2030 Housing Element. If the State insists on immediate implementation of SB79, I ask for clarification of its definition of BRT Stations and Dedicated Bus Stops. The brtdata.org website shows only the Orange line and the Silver line. A search for BRT on metro.net led to a 2020 Metro Vision and Principles Report that includes (a) a map showing 15 Countywide BRT Study Corridors (Figure 4, page 7) and (b) Table 6 showing the difference between 'Full BRT' and 'BRT Lite' (page 24). How exactly were the sites for SB79 implementation determined? What options are available to the public to contest the determinations on a site by site basis? see: https://www.metro.net/documents/2025/01/nov_2020_brtvp-final-reportpdf/ Once there is clarity on how a site qualifies for BRT, that clarity should be communicated to the public so they will know the ramifications of living near a current and/or future BRT site, and make decisions accordingly. For what it is worth, the latest draft of the Westside Community Plan included SB79 'circles' covering areas I am familiar with. Not all of them are on Metro's 2020 BRT map (see link above). Thank you for your attention and action on this critical matter.

Communication from Public

Name: Peter White

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 01:24 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you, Peter White Wilshire Park CD5

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 01:43 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 05:29 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Upper Nichols Canyon Neighborhood Association respectfully requests your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Thank you

Communication from Public

Name: Shelley Wagers

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 06:09 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: PLUM is now considering the Planning Department's recommendations for the City's response to SB 79. On behalf of Beverly Grove Neighborhood Association, we urge you to take the opportunity to respond with thoughtful planning. We believe the best approach to this one-size-fits-none legislation lies with the Planning Department's Option (D). Given an extremely tight deadline, modifying the City's existing Housing Element provides the most efficient approach and the greatest scope for local planning. Failing that, we reluctantly support Option(C), which delays effectuation for a significant number of neighborhoods and provides at least an element of local planning for those – like ours – that do not qualify for delay. Thank you, Claudia Beaton, President · Danielle Peters, Vice President · Shelley Wagers, Secretary Beverly Grove Neighborhood Association

Communication from Public

Name: Lesley O'Toole-Roque

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 07:20 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I live in the seventh-oldest HPOZ in Los Angeles, Spaulding Square. Owing to Metro's planned priority bus lanes from Vermont in LA to Havenhurst in WeHo, we will be pushed back into SB 79 after our Tier 3 bus service on Fairfax was removed from the bill. There is so little history in LA and Sen. Scott Wiener is determined to wipe out every last vestige of it. A tragedy. We are asking that you vote for Plan B on CF 25-1083. While not a great solution, it is the best of those offered. Here is a short video (fewer than 3 mins), we made about opposing SB 79: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j06A9DUR89o> Removing local control is heinous, particularly when we have a well-thought-out and planned, and very expensive Housing Element. Thank you. Lesley O'Toole-Roque Spaulding Square HPOZ Los Angeles CA 90046

Communication from Public

Name: Alexandra Squiers

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 07:44 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you, Alexandra Squiers

Communication from Public

Name: Raun

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 08:48 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you for your consideration. Ms. Raun L. Thorp, Principal Tichenor & Thorp Architects, Inc. 10506 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025 T 310 358 8444 F 310 358 8450 E raun@tichenorandthorp.com

Communication from Public

Name: John Gresham

Date Submitted: 11/16/2025 11:30 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Councilmember , I John Gresham respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered at this time. Thank you, John Gresham Wilshire Park Association

Communication from Public

Name: Patricia Carroll

Date Submitted: 11/17/2025 12:00 AM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB79 and that our well thought out Housing element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of AB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you, Patricia Carroll St. Andrews Sq Neighborhood Association.

Communication from Public

Name: Brentwood Community Council

Date Submitted: 11/17/2025 12:16 AM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: Brentwood community Council respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. See letter attached. Thank you, Very truly yours, Chair, Brentwood Community Council Carolyn LoBuglio



149 South Barrington Ave.
Box 194
Los Angeles, California 90049
www.bcc90049.org

November 17, 2025

VIA EMAIL

traci.Park@lacity.org

councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org

councilmember.lee@lacity.org

councilmember.nazarian@lacity.org

heather.hutt@lacity.org

Re: Council File CF#25-1083 – In Support of Planning Department’s Plan B

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The Brentwood Community Council (“**BCC**”) is the broadest-based Brentwood community organization, representing approximately 35,000 stakeholders of the 90049

Bel Air Knolls Property Owners Association * Bel Air Skycrest Property Owners Association * Brentwood Hills Homeowners Association * Brentwood Glen Association * Brentwood Homeowners Association * Brentwood Park Property Owners Association * Crestwood Hills Association * Mandeville Canyon Association * Mountaingate Open Space Management Association * Residential Neighbors of the Brentwood School * South Brentwood Residents' Association * Sullivan Canyon Property Owners Association * Upper Mandeville Canyon Property Owners' Association * Brentwood Village Chamber of Commerce * Civic * Educational Institutions – Independent and Public * Environmental * Land Use * Members-At-Large * Ministerial * Multi-Family Residential * Public Safety * San Vicente Business District * Transportation

Addressee
November 17, 2025
page 2

community. BCC includes 13 homeowner and resident associations, multi-family residential dwellers, business organizations, schools, religious groups, volunteer service groups, public safety and environmental organizations.

Brentwood community Council respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered. Thank you,

Very truly yours,

Chair, Brentwood Community Council

Communication from Public

Name: Ann Rubin

Date Submitted: 11/17/2025 02:01 AM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: The Carthay Circle Neighborhood Association respectfully requests your support for the City Planning Department's Approach B that includes delayed effectuation for local historic resources. Carthay Circle Historic Preservation Overlay Zone (HPOZ) is one of many historic neighborhoods at risk in the transit station target zones. Established in 1922 as Carthay Center by J. Harvey McCarthy -- Carthay Circle is regarded as a foundational model for planned communities in Southern California, and -- 100 years later -- this accounts for our neighborhood being added to the National Register of Historic Places. We are a small neighborhood of a little over 400 properties, including single-family homes, duplexes, and multi-tenants -- designed in revival styles by a long list of recognized architects and builders such as Paul Williams, Horatio Bishop, Irving Gill, Louis Korn, and Lewis Arthur Smith, as well as a school, a church, pocket parks, monuments to California historical figures, pedestrian walkways, and underground utilities. Our famous Carthay Circle Theatre was demolished in 1969 and inspired the preservation movement in LA. A replica now exists as a restaurant at Disneyland, imagine that. The whole of Carthay Circle is greater than the sum of our parts, and thusly recognized by the visionary, heroic founders of our HPOZ in 1998. Looking at the map in the City Planning SB 79 report, we are shocked at the scale of likely loss of historic resources, while land values will soar. LA will not look like LA. We are the *caretakers* for the next generations of Los Angelenos. No one wants to see the destruction of established, rooted communities and historic resources that tell the LA story. Most of our oldest neighborhoods are more diverse and affordable than the city as a whole -- for both renters and property owners. SB 79 does not provide for planning, design, or parks and open space -- necessary for a livable city. We may not have 200-300 year old buildings like other regions of our country, but we have our history and architectural and cultural heritage embedded in the HPOZs and HCMs, not to mention the National Register designations and eligible resources. No great city builds a subway or lightrail and then destroys its past and neighborhoods near the transit stops. We don't have to make this tradeoff while adding density and increasing true affordability. It's deeply regretful that the City of

Los Angeles has lost local planning control because of SB 79. We are now in a real fix. We ask you to adopt Approach B as the most equitable and responsible option on the menu. Thank you,
Ann Rubin On Behalf of the Carthay Circle Neighborhood Association

Communication from Public

Name: Westchester resident
Date Submitted: 11/17/2025 06:16 AM
Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly urge the PLUM Committee to amend the motion to add the LAX area as one of the specific areas the city is to study to determine the impact of SB 79. Among the areas to be studied for SB 79 impact are coastal zones, VHFSZ zones, historical zones and others. Perhaps omitting LAX was an oversight because I recall when CM Park spoke on the council floor in opposition to SB 79, she emphasized the pollution, traffic, and parking problems around LAX as a reason for opposition to SB 79. When she wrote a letter to Governor Newsom, she wrote of the SB 79 impacts around LAX in the first sentence of that letter, saying it has some of the worst pollution, traffic and parking problems across LA. This area next to LAX already has most of the ED 1 units in the district and many of those are in the noise contour of LAX where the noise and air pollution are the worst in the city. People living so close to LAX will suffer harm to their health, that scientifically established. This motion must be amended to specifically mention LAX. We have asked CM Park to amend it, and I hope she does that. Protecting people who would live there is necessary, and keeping the boulevards in the LAX specific plan passable is essential for airport operations, and it is essential as a matter of public safety in case of the need for evacuation. Just last week, there was a UPS plane crash at the airport in Louisville, and a huge fireball destroyed nearby businesses and there were fatalities. Protecting flora and fauna of the coastal zone areas is an admirable goal, but protecting people is essential by any standard. Thank you so much for your consideration.

Communication from Public

Name: Resident near LAX

Date Submitted: 11/17/2025 06:29 AM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly urge the PLUM Committee to amend the motion to add the LAX area as one of the specific areas the city is to study to determine the impact of SB 79. Among the areas to be studied for SB 79 impact are coastal zones, VHFSZ zones, historical zones and others. Perhaps omitting LAX was an oversight because I recall when CM Park spoke on the council floor in opposition to SB 79, she emphasized the pollution, traffic, and parking problems around LAX as a reason for opposition to SB 79. When she wrote a letter to Governor Newsom, she wrote of the SB 79 impacts around LAX in the first sentence of that letter, saying it has some of the worst pollution, traffic and parking problems across LA. This area next to LAX already has most of the ED 1 units in the district and many of those are in the noise contour of LAX where the noise and air pollution are the worst in the city. People living so close to LAX will suffer harm to their health, that is scientifically established. This motion must be amended to specifically mention LAX. We have asked CM Park to amend it, and I hope she does that. Protecting people who would live there is necessary, and keeping the boulevards in the LAX specific plan passable is essential for airport operations, and it is essential as a matter of public safety in case of the need for evacuation. Just last week, there was a UPS plane crash at the airport in Louisville, and a huge fireball destroyed nearby businesses and there were fatalities. Protecting flora and fauna of the coastal zone areas is an admirable goal, but protecting people is essential by any standard. Thank you so much for your consideration.

Communication from Public

Name: Beatrix Zilinskas

Date Submitted: 11/17/2025 06:49 AM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan D on CF #25-1083. Los Angeles is already the most populated county in the state, with an infrastructure that is and has been stretched beyond its breaking point for years (as evidenced by recent fatally devastating fires) and the catchall nature of the barely-passed SB 79 will push the Los Angeles area into a borderline third world country condition. We are addressing the homeless situation in a deliberate and thoughtful way and I feel that mindless application of SB 79 will interfere with those efforts. As the largest population in the state, we should DEMAND the time to develop our Housing Element so that the application of SB 79 is done in a responsible and sustainable manner. If you cannot find the wherewithal to stand up for the wellbeing of your existing constituents, then Plan B would be my second choice.

Communication from Public

Name: Kathleen Davidson

Date Submitted: 11/17/2025 07:40 AM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: We respectfully request your support for the Planning Department's Plan B on CF #25-1083. Although we are disappointed at the lack of local control granted by SB 79 and that our Housing Element is not allowed to be implemented without interference of SB 79, we support Plan B as the most equitable and responsible of options being offered.