

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Sunset Square Neighborhood Organization  
**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2026 05:52 PM  
**Council File No:** 25-1083  
**Comments for Public Posting:** SSNO supports option C1 for 25-1083. Please see attached letter.



**A NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION FOR THE SUNSET SQUARE HPOZ**

Representing the parcels bounded by Hollywood Blvd to the north, Vista Street to the east, Sunset Blvd to the south and Fairfax to the west, the historic district is comprised of single and multi-family residences constructed between 1910 and 1930.

[www.sunsetsquarehollywood.org](http://www.sunsetsquarehollywood.org) ♦ [sunsetsquarehwd@gmail.com](mailto:sunsetsquarehwd@gmail.com)

March 9, 2026

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council:

Sunset Square Neighborhood Organization, in agreement with United Neighbors, **supports Citywide Implementation Option C1**, (Council File CF 25-1083).

**C1** is the more equitable path forward for all LA communities. C1 would delay implementation of SB 79 in low-resource areas, high fire severity zones, HPOZs, and low sea level areas until 2030. Option C1 proactively “incentivizes” all qualifying single-family and low-density neighborhoods to allow three story apartment buildings with 4-16 units, rather than the seven-story apartment buildings mandated under SB 79 and includes these incentives for neighborhoods near rail stops not exempted by C2.

We continue to condition our support of C1 on the following:

1. The proposed density changes must be clearly defined as an “**incentive**” program, not a rezoning or upzoning of these neighborhoods allowing the incentives to be withdrawn if SB 79 is amended or repealed.
2. All existing setback requirements of the underlying zoning must be maintained.
3. All affected property owners and residents must receive **mailed notification** of the proposed changes to ensure robust outreach beyond online notifications.

Thank you,  
Courtney Small  
Board Member  
Sunset Square Neighborhood Organization

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Lisa Carothers

**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2026 02:16 PM

**Council File No:** 25-1083

**Comments for Public Posting:** Previously I responded to ask the Council to choose C2; however, after further consideration I believe option C1 offers more communities more protection until 2030 when SB 79 will go into full effect. C1 makes all qualifying areas near transit eligible for three story developments rather than the seven story allowed. Thank you.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Mark  
**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2026 01:51 PM  
**Council File No:** 25-1083  
**Comments for Public Posting:** With regards to implementing SB-79, I strongly request you go with option C1. Thank you

## Communication from Public

**Name:** NANCY SOGOIAN  
**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2026 02:02 PM  
**Council File No:** 25-1083  
**Comments for Public Posting:** PLEASE VOTE TO ADOPT OPTION C-1 AS IT IS MUCH PREFERRED - Thank you for your vote choosing Option C1 - Sincerely, Nancy Sogoian 14014 Hartsook St., Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 50-year Homeowner in Los Angeles Taxpayer, Voter, Concerned Citizen

## Communication from Public

**Name:** David Spitzer

**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2026 02:10 PM

**Council File No:** 25-1083

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am a strong advocate of C1. This is a complicated piece of legislation to implement and it needs time to work well for all locations. I am a fan of the intent but not the law as written and this seems the best way to look after neighborhood safety and manage continuity that supports the right kind of change. Thanks.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** David Spitzer

**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2026 02:11 PM

**Council File No:** 25-1083

**Comments for Public Posting:** and in conclusion to my comment I am in support of C1 as the best current option. THANKS

## Communication from Public

**Name:** J.Ross

**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2026 02:11 PM

**Council File No:** 25-1083

**Comments for Public Posting:** I support C1 option. C1 offers more communities more protection until 2030 when SB 79 will go into full effect. C1 makes all qualifying areas near transit eligible for three story developments rather than the seven story allowed, C2 allows the same C1 three story developments but changes some areas near rail stops to allow seven story developments instead of three. According to the Planning Department, both options will satisfy Sacramento density requirements. C1 seems the more equitable option that does the least harm. LA is zoned for 11,000,000 persons. Plz ask the Planning Dept for their calcs and if they can disprove that. LA does not need upzoning, we have plenty of zoning for 11,000,000, which is well documented, and we have all the zoning that we need for our non growing population of 4,000,000 persons.