

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/15/2026 08:18 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of Approach C, Option1 (C-1), as described in the Planning Department recommendation letter of February 18, 2026, for the implementation of SB79 by the City of Los Angeles. Approach C-1 is a judicious approach that allows lower-rise, lower-density, missing-middle, multi-family buildings to be built as offsets in eligible single-family residential areas. In addition, I request that the City Council stand firm and further require the following in conjunction with Approach C-1: 1. Clarification that all properties within the boundaries of all HPOZs, City-designated Historic Districts and sites/areas designated on the National Register of Historic Places will be fully protected from SB 79 development. As this protection may last for only three (3) years, the City must also allocate all necessary resources to immediately initiate the development of Alternative Plans to ensure these areas remain protected on-going. 2. Notification by mail to all single-family property owners currently or potentially affected by SB 79 that their single-family properties may be reclassified with incentives to permit higher density uses. Under SB79, close to 66% of single family properties may be reclassified with these incentives. It is unconscionable to initiate such a massive de facto "upzoning" without actively informing all affected individuals that their fundamental quality of life is at stake. 3. Assurance, by ordinance, that there will be no changes to zoning classifications (e.g, R-1, etc.) made by allowing the "incentives" in (2) above, and if SB79 is repealed or amended, in whole or in part, that these incentive(s) be revoked. 4. Stipulation that any City SB 79 implementation actions include written justification for the position that the action taken is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) regardless of the Planning Department's interpretation that SB 79 implementation is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. A full CEQA analysis would likely disclose potentially significant impacts on air quality, transportation, and the City's aging infrastructure systems. Aida & Darren Eminian 550 N Lucerne Blvd Los Angeles _____

Communication from Public

Name: Catherine Roberts

Date Submitted: 03/15/2026 08:49 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of Approach C, Option1 (C-1), as described in the Planning Department recommendation letter of February 18, 2026, for the implementation of SB 79 by the City of Los Angeles. Approach C-1 is a judicious approach that allows lower-rise, lower-density, missing-middle, multi-family buildings to be built as offsets in eligible single-family residential areas. In addition, I request that the City Council stand firm and require the following in conjunction with Approach C-1: 1. Requirement that all properties impacted by SB 79 development need to have plans and proof of financing before a demolition permit can be issued. And that the approval process for plans under SB 79 not allow for any "remodels" that then turn into full demolitions. 2. Notification by mail to all single-family property owners currently or potentially affected by SB 79 that their single-family properties may be reclassified with incentives to permit higher density uses. Under SB 79, close to 66% of single family properties may be reclassified with these incentives. It is unconscionable to initiate such a massive de facto "upzoning" without actively informing all affected individuals. 3. Assurance, by ordinance, that there will be no changes to zoning classifications (e.g, R-1) made by allowing the "incentives" in (2) above, and that if SB 79 is repealed or amended, in whole or in part, these incentive(s) be revoked. 4. Stipulation that any City SB 79 implementation actions include written justification for the position that the action taken is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) regardless of the Planning Department's interpretation that SB 79 implementation is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. A full CEQA analysis would likely disclose potentially significant impacts on air quality, transportation, and the City's aging infrastructure systems.

Communication from Public

Name: Marian Williams
Date Submitted: 03/15/2026 05:44 PM
Council File No: 25-1083
Comments for Public Posting: Please support Option C-1

Communication from Public

Name: Phil & Beth Braen
Date Submitted: 03/15/2026 05:58 PM
Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of Approach C, Option1 (C-1), as described in the Planning Department recommendation letter of February 18, 2026, for the implementation of SB 79 by the City of Los Angeles. Approach C-1 is a judicious approach that allows lower-rise, lower-density, missing-middle, multi-family buildings to be built as offsets in eligible single-family residential areas. In addition, I request that the City Council stand firm and require the following in conjunction with Approach C-1: 1. Requirement that all properties impacted by SB 79 development need to have plans and proof of financing before a demolition permit can be issued. And that the approval process for plans under SB 79 not allow for any "remodels" that then turn into full demolitions. 2. Notification by mail to all single-family property owners currently or potentially affected by SB 79 that their single-family properties may be reclassified with incentives to permit higher density uses. Under SB 79, close to 66% of single family properties may be reclassified with these incentives. It is unconscionable to initiate such a massive de facto "upzoning" without actively informing all affected individuals. 3. Assurance, by ordinance, that there will be no changes to zoning classifications (e.g, R-1) made by allowing the "incentives" in (2) above, and that if SB 79 is repealed or amended, in whole or in part, these incentive(s) be revoked. 4. Stipulation that any City SB 79 implementation actions include written justification for the position that the action taken is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) regardless of the Planning Department's interpretation that SB 79 implementation is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. A full CEQA analysis would likely disclose potentially significant impacts on air quality, transportation, and the City's aging infrastructure systems. Phil & Beth Braen 439 S Citrus Ave La Brea-Hancock 90036

Communication from Public

Name: Andrew Blaine Prentiss

Date Submitted: 03/15/2026 06:19 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of Approach C, Option1 (C-1), as described in the Planning Department recommendation letter of February 18, 2026, for the implementation of SB 79 by the City of Los Angeles. Approach C-1 is a judicious approach that allows lower-rise, lower-density, missing-middle, multi-family buildings to be built as offsets in eligible single-family residential areas. In addition, I request that the City Council stand firm and require the following in conjunction with Approach C-1: 1. Requirement that all properties impacted by SB 79 development need to have plans and proof of financing before a demolition permit can be issued. And that the approval process for plans under SB 79 not allow for any "remodels" that then turn into full demolitions. 2. Notification by mail to all single-family property owners currently or potentially affected by SB 79 that their single-family properties may be reclassified with incentives to permit higher density uses. Under SB 79, close to 66% of single family properties may be reclassified with these incentives. It is unconscionable to initiate such a massive de facto "upzoning" without actively informing all affected individuals. 3. Assurance, by ordinance, that there will be no changes to zoning classifications (e.g, R-1) made by allowing the "incentives" in (2) above, and that if SB 79 is repealed or amended, in whole or in part, these incentive(s) be revoked. 4. Stipulation that any City SB 79 implementation actions include written justification for the position that the action taken is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) regardless of the Planning Department's interpretation that SB 79 implementation is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. A full CEQA analysis would likely disclose potentially significant impacts on air quality, transportation, and the City's aging infrastructure systems.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/15/2026 06:50 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of Approach C, Option1 (C-1), as described in the Planning Department recommendation letter of February 18, 2026, for the implementation of SB 79 by the City of Los Angeles. Approach C-1 is a judicious approach that allows lower-rise, lower-density, missing-middle, multi-family buildings to be built as offsets in eligible single-family residential areas. In addition, I request that the City Council stand firm and require the following in conjunction with Approach C-1: 1. Requirement that all properties impacted by SB 79 development need to have plans and proof of financing before a demolition permit can be issued. And that the approval process for plans under SB 79 not allow for any "remodels" that then turn into full demolitions.

Communication from Public

Name: Amanda Perez

Date Submitted: 03/15/2026 06:53 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of Approach C, Option1 (C-1), as described in the Planning Department recommendation letter of February 18, 2026, for the implementation of SB 79 by the City of Los Angeles. Approach C-1 is a judicious approach that allows lower-rise, lower-density, missing-middle, multi-family buildings to be built as offsets in eligible single-family residential areas. In addition, I request that the City Council stand firm and require the following in conjunction with Approach C-1: 1. Requirement that all properties impacted by SB 79 development need to have plans and proof of financing before a demolition permit can be issued, and that the approval process for plans under SB 79 not allow for any "remodels" that then turn into full demolitions. 2. Notification by mail to all single-family property owners currently or potentially affected by SB 79 that their single-family properties may be reclassified with incentives to permit higher density uses. Under SB 79, close to 66% of single family properties may be reclassified with these incentives. It is unconscionable to initiate such a massive de facto "upzoning" without actively informing all affected individuals. 3. Assurance, by ordinance, that there will be no changes to zoning classifications (e.g, R-1) made by allowing the "incentives" in (2) above, and that if SB 79 is repealed or amended, in whole or in part, these incentive(s) be revoked. 4. Stipulation that any City SB 79 implementation actions include written justification for the position that the action taken is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) regardless of the Planning Department's interpretation that SB 79 implementation is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. A full CEQA analysis would likely disclose potentially significant impacts on air quality, transportation, and the City's aging infrastructure systems.

Communication from Public

Name: Jill Brown

Date Submitted: 03/15/2026 04:43 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of Approach C, Option1 (C-1), as described in the Planning Department recommendation letter of February 18, 2026, for the implementation of SB 79 by the City of Los Angeles. Approach C-1 is a judicious approach that allows lower-rise, lower-density, missing-middle, multi-family buildings to be built as offsets in eligible single-family residential areas. In addition, I request that the City Council stand firm and require the following in conjunction with Approach C-1: 1. Requirement that all properties impacted by SB 79 development need to have plans and proof of financing before a demolition permit can be issued. And that the approval process for plans under SB 79 not allow for any "remodels" that then turn into full demolitions. 2. Notification by mail to all single-family property owners currently or potentially affected by SB 79 that their single-family properties may be reclassified with incentives to permit higher density uses. Under SB 79, close to 66% of single family properties may be reclassified with these incentives. It is unconscionable to initiate such a massive de facto "upzoning" without actively informing all affected individuals. 3. Assurance, by ordinance, that there will be no changes to zoning classifications (e.g, R-1) made by allowing the "incentives" in (2) above, and that if SB 79 is repealed or amended, in whole or in part, these incentive(s) be revoked. 4. Stipulation that any City SB 79 implementation actions include written justification for the position that the action taken is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) regardless of the Planning Department's interpretation that SB 79 implementation is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. A full CEQA analysis would likely disclose potentially significant impacts on air quality, transportation, and the City's aging infrastructure systems. Jill Brown La Brea Hancock (90036) Council District 5

Communication from Public

Name: Stephen

Date Submitted: 03/15/2026 04:57 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of Approach C, Option 1 (C-1), as described in the Planning Department recommendation letter of February 18, 2026, for the implementation of SB 79 by the City of Los Angeles. Approach C-1 is a balanced approach that allows lower-rise, lower-density, missing-middle multi-family buildings to be built as offsets in eligible single-family residential areas

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/15/2026 05:13 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of Approach C, Option1 (C-1), as described in the Planning Department recommendation letter of February 18, 2026, for the implementation of SB 79 by the City of Los Angeles. Approach C-1 is a judicious approach that allows lower-rise, lower-density, missing-middle, multi-family buildings to be built as offsets in eligible single-family residential areas. In addition, I request that the City Council stand firm and require the following in conjunction with Approach C-1: 1. Requirement that all properties impacted by SB 79 development need to have plans and proof of financing before a demolition permit can be issued. And that the approval process for plans under SB 79 not allow for any "remodels" that then turn into full demolitions. 2. Notification by mail to all single-family property owners currently or potentially affected by SB 79 that their single-family properties may be reclassified with incentives to permit higher density uses. Under SB 79, close to 66% of single family properties may be reclassified with these incentives. It is unconscionable to initiate such a massive de facto "upzoning" without actively informing all affected individuals. 3. Assurance, by ordinance, that there will be no changes to zoning classifications (e.g, R-1) made by allowing the "incentives" in (2) above, and that if SB 79 is repealed or amended, in whole or in part, these incentive(s) be revoked. 4. Stipulation that any City SB 79 implementation actions include written justification for the position that the action taken is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) regardless of the Planning Department's interpretation that SB 79 implementation is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. A full CEQA analysis would likely disclose potentially significant impacts on air quality, transportation, and the City's aging infrastructure systems.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 03/15/2026 05:14 PM

Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of Approach C, Option1 (C-1), as described in the Planning Department recommendation letter of February 18, 2026, for the implementation of SB 79 by the City of Los Angeles. Approach C-1 is a judicious approach that allows lower-rise, lower-density, missing-middle, multi-family buildings to be built as offsets in eligible single-family residential areas. In addition, I request that the City Council stand firm and require the following in conjunction with Approach C-1: 1. Requirement that all properties impacted by SB 79 development need to have plans and proof of financing before a demolition permit can be issued. And that the approval process for plans under SB 79 not allow for any "remodels" that then turn into full demolitions. 2. Notification by mail to all single-family property owners currently or potentially affected by SB 79 that their single-family properties may be reclassified with incentives to permit higher density uses. Under SB 79, close to 66% of single family properties may be reclassified with these incentives. It is unconscionable to initiate such a massive de facto "upzoning" without actively informing all affected individuals. 3. Assurance, by ordinance, that there will be no changes to zoning classifications (e.g, R-1) made by allowing the "incentives" in (2) above, and that if SB 79 is repealed or amended, in whole or in part, these incentive(s) be revoked. 4. Stipulation that any City SB 79 implementation actions include written justification for the position that the action taken is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) regardless of the Planning Department's interpretation that SB 79 implementation is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. A full CEQA analysis would likely disclose potentially significant impacts on air quality, transportation, and the City's aging infrastructure systems. David Lin, 90036, Council District 5

Communication from Public

Name: Andrea Graham
Date Submitted: 03/15/2026 05:14 PM
Council File No: 25-1083

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of Approach C, Option1 (C-1), as described in the Planning Department recommendation letter of February 18, 2026, for the implementation of SB 79 by the City of Los Angeles. Approach C-1 is a judicious approach that allows lower-rise, lower-density, missing-middle, multi-family buildings to be built as offsets in eligible single-family residential areas. In addition, I request that the City Council stand firm and require the following in conjunction with Approach C-1: 1. Requirement that all properties impacted by SB 79 development need to have plans and proof of financing before a demolition permit can be issued. And that the approval process for plans under SB 79 not allow for any "remodels" that then turn into full demolitions. 2. Notification by mail to all single-family property owners currently or potentially affected by SB 79 that their single-family properties may be reclassified with incentives to permit higher density uses. Under SB 79, close to 66% of single family properties may be reclassified with these incentives. It is unconscionable to initiate such a massive de facto "upzoning" without actively informing all affected individuals. 3. Assurance, by ordinance, that there will be no changes to zoning classifications (e.g, R-1) made by allowing the "incentives" in (2) above, and that if SB 79 is repealed or amended, in whole or in part, these incentive(s) be revoked. 4. Stipulation that any City SB 79 implementation actions include written justification for the position that the action taken is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) regardless of the Planning Department's interpretation that SB 79 implementation is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. A full CEQA analysis would likely disclose potentially significant impacts on air quality, transportation, and the City's aging infrastructure systems.