



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 25-1083

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, lauramink@aol.com

Mon, Feb 23, 2026 at 7:56 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: United Neighborhoods

Name: Laura Meyers

Email: lauramink@aol.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(13) Nay(1) Abstain(2) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 06/05/2025

Type of NC Board Action: Against Unless Amended

Impact Information

Date: 02/24/2026

Update to a Previous Input: Yes

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 25-1083

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date: 02/24/2026

Item Number:

Summary: UNNC has a long record of supporting equity and diversity in affordable housing. We support major housing/mixed use projects on our corridors rather than in stable character and historic neighborhoods. LA's housing crisis is experienced by people who are unhoused and Angelenos at risk of losing their homes. That's why UNNC, in June 2025, filed a CIS under CF No. 25-0002-S19 opposing SB-79. We noted that "SB79 will destroy neighborhoods and communities by overriding existing zoning within a half mile of major rail/bus stops without ensuring housing affordability; and generational equity in single family homes in historically underserved/under resourced communities like UNNC and

CD10.” We now know SB79 will fully undermine affected HPOZs, allowing not just infill housing but also demolition of designated historic resources, decimating some historic districts. Worse, the new maps that were released to accompany the Planning Department’s SB79 report include multiple SPECULATIVE planned stations that at best won’t be implemented for decades. These maps identify zones subject to higher density zoning/development now – or by 2029 -- within the radius of Metro stations. These maps should be keyed to EXISTING STATIONS rather than SPECULATIVE STATIONS. For the station within our boundaries, Crenshaw/Adams, Metro's most optimistic timeline for the opening of the Crenshaw/K extension is now 2047-2049. The fact that Metro’s Final EIR/EIS has not been certified and the level of uncertainty around federal funding suggests that Metro's rosy timeline is subject to further delays. Upzoning existing stable neighborhoods based on UNAPPROVED, and UNFUNDED Metro stations that may never materialize is the epitome of irresponsibility. Entire neighborhoods could be subjected to developer profiteering by demolition and densification; planned access to transit may never materialize and surely won't materialize in any reasonably foreseeable horizon. Please only approve existing stations.