



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 25-1083

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, wncseat18@gmail.com

Thu, Mar 12, 2026 at 10:36 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Westside

Name: Joseph Roth

Email: wncseat18@gmail.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(13) Nay(0) Abstain(1) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 03/12/2026

Type of NC Board Action: For if Amended

Impact Information

Date: 03/13/2026

Update to a Previous Input: Yes

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 25-1083

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: After previously supporting 25-1083 in October 2025, tonight, in a regular monthly meeting of the Westside Neighborhood Council, the board voted to support the Council File #25-1083 IF AMENDED to include citywide implementation of Option C1 (discussed in the PLUM Committee meeting) as the locally preferred alternative.



WESTSIDE NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL
P.O. Box 64370 Los Angeles CA 90064
www.wncla.org (310) 474-2326



March 12, 2026

OFFICERS

Terri Tippit, Chair
Lisa Morocco, Vice-Chair
Shannon Burns, Treasurer
Jane Wishon, Parliamentarian

Los Angeles City Council
200 N. Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90064

BOARD MEMBERS

Galina Atencio
Barbara Broide
Vicki Curry
Joanne Dorfman
Margaret Gillespie
Karriann Hinds
Jeff Hronek
Mary Kusnic
Sean McMillan
Joseph Roth
Louis Schillace
Lindsay Shea
Jae Wu

Re: CF25-1083

The Westside Neighborhood Council, representing approximately 80,000 Stakeholders, reviewed the options and voted to continue to condition our support of C1 support Citywide Implementation Option C1, and on the following:

1. The proposed density changes must be clearly defined as an **“incentive”** program, not a rezoning or upzoning of these neighborhoods allowing the incentives to be withdrawn if SB 79 is amended or repealed.
2. All existing setback requirements of the underlying zoning must be maintained.
3. All affected property owners and residents must receive **mailed notification** of the proposed changes to ensure robust outreach beyond online notifications.

C1 is the more equitable path forward for all LA communities. C1 would delay implementation of SB 79 in low-resource areas, high fire severity zones, HPOZs, and low sea level areas until 2030. Option C1 proactively “incentivizes” all qualifying single-family and low-density neighborhoods to allow three story apartment buildings with 4-16 units, rather than the seven-story apartment buildings mandated under SB 79 and includes these incentives for neighborhoods near rail stops not exempted by C2.

Sincerely,

Terri Tippit

Terri Tippit, Chair

